

# Adult Consumer Survey 2011-12 Final Report



**NATIONAL CORE  
INDICATORS**

A Collaboration of  
the National Association of State Directors of  
Developmental Disabilities Services  
and Human Services Research Institute



**Human Services Research Institute (HSRI)**

2336 Massachusetts Avenue  
Cambridge, MA 02140



**National Association of State Directors Of  
Developmental Disabilities Services  
(NASDDDS)**

113 Oronoco Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

April 17, 2013

## Organization of Report

Nineteen (19) states and one regional council submitted National Core Indicators (NCI) Adult Consumer Survey data during the 2011-2012 project year. The Survey was administered to individuals who were receiving at least one service in addition to case management. This Report provides a summary of results based on data submitted by June 30, 2012.

This report is organized as follows:

### **I. Introduction**

Provides a brief overview of NCI activities to date and presents the Core Indicators measured by the Adult Consumer Survey.

### **II. Adult Consumer Survey**

Describes the development and structure of the survey instrument.

### **III. Methods**

Describes the protocol for administering the Adult Consumer Survey, including sampling criteria, administration guidelines, and data collection procedures.

### **IV. Data Analysis**

Explains the statistical methods used to analyze the Adult Consumer Survey data, including an explanation of how certain outcomes are “adjusted” for the purposes of making comparisons across states, scale construction, and significance testing of results.

### **V. Results**

Presents demographic information and results by indicator, both by each state and by the average of all states.

### **VI. Appendices**

Includes state sampling strategies, how items are collapsed and recoded, and raw data for each question.

## List of Abbreviations

CMS – Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Consumer Survey or CS – Adult Consumer Survey

HCBS – Home and Community Based Services

HSRI – Human Services Research Institute

N – Number of respondents

NASDDDS – National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services

NCI – National Core Indicators

# Table of Contents

<b>Adult Consumer Survey 2011-12 Final Report .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Table of Contents.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>I. Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Overview of National Core Indicators.....	2
State Participation .....	3
Chart 1. NCI State Participation 2011-12 .....	3
The Core Indicators.....	3
The Indicators.....	5
Table I1. NCI Adult Consumer Survey Indicators – Domains and Sub-Domains .....	5
How NCI Data are Used.....	5
Data Limitations .....	6
<b>II. Adult Consumer Survey .....</b>	<b>8</b>
Survey Development .....	9
Organization of the Survey.....	9
<b>III. Methodology.....</b>	<b>11</b>
Administration.....	12
Proxy Respondents.....	12
Surveyor Training .....	13
Criteria for Exclusion of Responses .....	14
Exclusion of Responses for Section I .....	14
Exclusion of Responses for Section II.....	15
Sampling.....	15
Table I2. Valid Surveys and Response Rates by State for 2011-12.....	16

<b>IV. Data Analysis.....</b>	<b>17</b>
Data Management and Analysis .....	18
Outcome Adjustment.....	18
Scale Development .....	19
Collapsing Data.....	20
Significance Testing.....	20
 <b>V. Results .....</b>	 <b>1</b>
Demographic Characteristics of Respondents.....	1
Presentation of Demographic Information.....	1
Profile of Respondents for Overall Sample .....	2
Table 1. Age.....	3
Table 2. Gender .....	4
Table 3. Race.....	1
Table 4. Ethnicity.....	1
Table 5. Type of Residence.....	2
Table 6. Level of ID .....	3
Table 7. Other Disabilities .....	4
Table 8. Primary Means of Expression .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 9. Language.....	6
Table 10. Overall Health.....	7
Table 11. Mobility.....	8
Table 12. Support to Manage Self Injurious Behavior .....	9
Table 13. Support to Manage Disruptive Behavior .....	10
Table 14. Support to Manage Destructive Behavior .....	11
Core Indicator Outcomes and Comparisons across States .....	12
Presentation of Core Indicators .....	12
Table 15. Type of Residence for Analysis .....	13

Table 1.1 Proportion of people who reported they chose or had some input in choosing where they live <i>if not living in the family home</i> (Adjusted Variable) .....	16
Table 1.2 Proportion of people who reported they have staff at home and chose or were aware they could request to change their staff at home (Adjusted Variable).....	16
Table 1.3 Proportion of people who reported they chose or had some input in choosing their roommates <i>if not living in the family home</i> (Adjusted Variable) .....	17
Table 1.4 Proportion of people reported to have a job in the community and who reported they chose or had some input in choosing their job* (Adjusted Variable).....	17
Table 1.5 Proportion of people reported to have a job in the community and who reported they chose or were aware they could request to change the staff who help them at work* (Adjusted Variable) .....	18
Table 1.6. Proportion of people who reported they have a day program or activity and chose or had some input in choosing their day program or activity (Adjusted Variable) .....	18
Table 1.7 Proportion of people who reported they have a day program or other daily activity and chose or were aware they could request to change the staff who help them at their day program or activity (Adjusted Variable).....	19
Table 1.8 Proportion of people who reported they choose or help decide their daily schedule (Adjusted Variable) .....	19
Table 1.9 Proportion of people who reported they choose or help decide how to spend free time (Adjusted Variable).....	20
Table 1.10 Proportion of people who reported they chose or help decide what to buy with their spending money (Adjusted Variable) .....	20
Table 1.11 Life Decisions Scale (Adjusted Variable) .....	21
Table 1.12 Everyday Choices Scale (Adjusted Variable) .....	21
Chart 1.1 Chose Case Manager (un-collapsed).....	22
Table 1.13 Proportion of people who reported they chose or were aware they could request to change their case manager/service coordinator (“yes” and “no, but can change” responses) ..	22
Chart 2.1 Has a job in the community* .....	25
Table 2.1. Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community* .....	25
Chart 2.2 Type of job in the community* .....	26
Table 2.2 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community by type of employment support* .....	26
Chart 2.3 Bi-weekly hours by type of community employment.....	27
Table 2.3 Average number of bi-weekly hours by type of community employment support .....	27

Chart 2.4 Bi-weekly gross wages by type of community employment .....	28
Table 2.4. Average bi-weekly gross wages by type of community employment support.....	28
Chart 2.5 Bi-weekly hourly wage by type of community employment .....	29
Table 2.5 Average bi-weekly hourly wage by type of community employment support .....	29
Chart 2.6 Worked 10 of the past 12 months in community employment.....	30
Table 2.6 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in community employment who worked 10 of the past 12 months in community employment .....	30
Chart 2.7 Length of employment in current community job .....	31
Table 2.7 Average length of employment in current job for people who were reported to have a job in the community .....	31
Chart 2.8 Received paid vacation and/or sick time at their job .....	32
Table 2.8 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community and received paid vacation and/or sick time at their job .....	32
Chart 2.9 Job Industry .....	33
Table 2.9 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community in the four most common types of employment .....	33
Chart 2.10 Wants a job in the community* (un-collapsed) .....	34
Table 2.10 Proportion of people who were reported not to have a job in the community and who reported they would like a job in the community* (“yes” responses only) .....	34
Chart 2.11 Has community employment as a goal in service plan .....	35
Table 2.11 Proportion of people who were reported to have community employment as a goal in their service plan.....	35
Chart 2.12 Has a day program or regular activity .....	36
Table 2.12 Proportion of people who reported going to a day program or regular activity.....	36
Chart 2.13 Volunteers.....	37
Table 2.13 Proportion of people who reported doing volunteer work.....	37
Chart 3.1. Uses Self-Directed Supports .....	40
Table 3.1 Proportion of people who were reported to be using a self-directed supports option .....	40
Table 3.2 Proportion of people self-directing who reported someone talked with them about their individual budget/services (“yes” responses only) .....	41

Table 3.3 Proportion of people self-directing who reported they have help in deciding how to use their individual budget/services (“yes” responses only).....	41
Table 3.4 Proportion of people self-directing who reported they can make changes to their individual budget/services if they need to (“yes” responses only) .....	42
Table 3.5 Proportion of people self-directing who reported they get enough help in deciding how to use their budget/services (“yes” responses only) .....	42
Table 3.6 Proportion of people self-directing who reported they receive information about their budget/services (“yes” responses only) .....	43
Table 3.7 Proportion of people self-directing who report they get enough information about their budget/services and information is easy to understand (“yes” responses only) .....	43
Table 3.8 Proportion of people self-directing who reported support workers come when they are supposed to (“yes” responses only) .....	44
Table 3.9 Proportion of people self-directing who reported who get the help they need to work out problems they have with their support workers (“yes” responses only).....	44
Table 4.1 Number of times people reported they went out shopping in the past month (Adjusted Variable) .....	47
Table 4.2 Number of times people reported they went out on errands in the past month (Adjusted Variable) .....	47
Table 4.3 Number of times people reported they went out for entertainment in the past month (Adjusted Variable) .....	48
Table 4.4 Number of times people reported they went out to eat in the past month (Adjusted Variable).....	48
Table 4.5 Number of times people reported they went out to religious services in the past month (Adjusted Variable) .....	49
Table 4.6 Number of times people reported they went out for exercise in the past month (Adjusted Variable) .....	49
Table 4.7 Number of times people reported they went on vacation in the past year (Adjusted Variable).....	50
Table 4.8 Community Inclusion scale score (Adjusted Variable) .....	50
Chart 5.1 Has friends (un-collapsed).....	53
Table 5.1 Proportion of people who reported they have friends who are not staff or family members (“yes, not staff or family” only).....	53
Chart 5.2 Has a best friend (un-collapsed) .....	54
Table 5.2 Proportion of people who reported they have a best friend (may be staff or family).....	54

Chart 5.3 Able to see family (un-collapsed).....	55
Table 5.3 Proportion of people who reported they have family they see and the support needed to see their family when they want to (“yes” responses only) .....	55
Chart 5.4 Can see friends (un-collapsed).....	56
Table 5.4 Proportion of people who reported they have friends (may be staff or family) and the support needed to see their friends when they want to (“yes” responses only) .....	56
Chart 5.5 Can date (un-collapsed) .....	57
Table 5.5 Proportion of people who reported they can go on a date if they want to or can date with some restriction (“yes, can date” and “yes, can date with some restriction or rules” responses) .....	57
Chart 5.6 Feels lonely** (un-collapsed).....	58
Table 5.6 Proportion of people who reported they feel lonely at least half the time** (“yes” and “sometimes” responses).....	58
Chart 5.7 Helps other people (un-collapsed).....	59
Table 5.7 Proportion of people who reported they can help other people if they want to at least some of the time (“yes” responses only).....	59
Chart 6.1 Likes home (un-collapsed).....	62
Table 6.1 Proportion of people who reported they like their home (“yes” responses only).....	62
Chart 6.2 Likes neighborhood (un-collapsed).....	63
Table 6.2 Proportion of people who reported they like their neighborhood (“yes” responses only) .....	63
Chart 6.3 Wants to live somewhere else (un-collapsed) .....	64
Table 6.3 Proportion of people who reported they would like to live somewhere else (“yes” responses only) .....	64
Chart 6.4. Likes work* (un-collapsed) .....	65
Table 6.4 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community and who reported they like where they work (“yes” responses only)* .....	65
Chart 6.5 Wants to work somewhere else* (un-collapsed).....	66
Table 6.5 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community and who reported they want to work somewhere else*(“yes” responses only) .....	66
Chart 6.6 Likes day program or activity (un-collapsed) .....	67

Table 6.6 Proportion of people who reported they attend a day program or activity and like their day program or activity (“yes” responses only) .....67

Chart 6.7 Wants to go or do something different during the day\*\* (un-collapsed) ..... 68

Table 6.7 Proportion of people who reported they attend a day program or activity and want to go or do something different during the day (“yes” responses only) .....68

Chart 7.1 Met service coordinator/case manager (un-collapsed).....71

Table 7.1 Proportion of people who reported they met their service coordinator/case manager (“yes” responses only) .....71

Chart 7.2 Service coordinator/case manager asks what person wants (un-collapsed) .....72

Table 7.2 Proportion of people who reported their service coordinator/case manager asks them what they want (“yes” responses only) .....72

Chart 7.3 Service coordinator/case manager helps get what person needs (un-collapsed).....73

Table 7.3 Proportion of people who reported their service coordinator/case manager helps them get what they need (“yes” responses only) .....73

Chart 7.4 Service coordinator/case manager calls person back right away (un-collapsed) .....74

Table 7.4 Proportion of people who reported their service coordinator/case manager calls them back right away (“yes” responses only) .....74

Chart 7.5 Helped make service plan (un-collapsed).....75

Table 7.5 Proportion of people who reported they helped make their service plan (“yes” responses only) .....75

Chart 8.1 Gets services needed (un-collapsed) .....78

Table 8.1 Proportion of people who report getting the services they need (“yes” responses only) .....78

Chart 8.2 Has a way to get places (un-collapsed) .....79

Table 8.2 Proportion of people who reported they always they have a way to get places when they want to go somewhere (“yes” responses only) .....79

Chart 8.3 Types of transportation (multiple responses allowed) .....80

Table 8.3 Proportion of people who use various types of transportation (multiple responses allowed).....80

Chart 8.4 Staff have adequate training (un-collapsed) .....81

Table 8.4 Proportion of people who reported their staff have adequate training to meet their needs (“yes” responses only) .....81

Chart 9.1 Has a primary care doctor .....	84
Table 9.1 Proportion of people who were reported to have a primary care doctor .....	84
Chart 9.2 Poor health** (un-collapsed) .....	85
Table 9.2 Proportion of people who were reported to be in poor health** (“poor health” response only) .....	85
Chart 9.3 Last physical exam (un-collapsed) .....	86
Table 9.3 Proportion of people who were reported to have had a complete physical exam in the past year (“within the past year” responses) .....	86
Chart 9.4 Last dental exam (un-collapsed) .....	87
Table 9.4 Proportion of people who were reported to have had a dental exam in the past year (“within the past year” or “within the past six months” responses) .....	87
Chart 9.5 Last eye exam (un-collapsed) .....	88
Table 9.5 Proportion of people who were reported to have had an eye exam in the past year (“within the past year” responses) .....	88
Chart 9.6 Last hearing test (un-collapsed) .....	89
Table 9.6 Proportion of people who were reported to have had a hearing test in the past five years (“within the past five years” responses) .....	89
Chart 9.7 Last Pap test (un-collapsed) .....	90
Table 9.7 Proportion of women who were reported to have had a Pap test in the past three years (“within the past three years” or less responses) .....	90
Chart 9.8 Last mammogram (un-collapsed) .....	91
Table 9.8 Proportion of women 40 or over who were reported to have had a mammogram test in the past two years (“within the past two years” or “within past year” responses) .....	91
Chart 9.9 Last PSA exam (un-collapsed) .....	92
Table 9.9 Proportion of men 50 or over who were reported to have had a PSA test in the past year (“within the past year” responses) .....	92
Chart 9.10 Last colorectal cancer screening (un-collapsed) .....	93
Table 9.10 Proportion of people 50 or over who were reported to have had a colorectal cancer screening in the past year (“within the past year” responses) .....	93
Chart 9.11. Had flu vaccine in the past year .....	94
Table 9.11 Proportion of people who were reported to have had a flu vaccine in the past year (“within the past year “responses) .....	94

Chart 9.12 Ever had pneumonia vaccine .....	95
Table 9.12 Proportion of people who were reported to have had a pneumonia vaccine .....	95
Chart 10.1 Takes medication for mood disorders, anxiety, behavior problems, psychotic disorders (not mutually exclusive)** .....	98
Table 10.1 Proportion of people who were reported to take medication for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, behavior problems, psychotic disorders** .....	98
Chart 11.1 Engages in regular physical activity (at least 30 minutes 3 times a week) .....	101
Table 11.1 Proportion of people who were reported to engage in regular physical activity (at least 30 minutes 3 times a week) .....	101
Chart 11.2 Body mass index range .....	102
Table 11.2 Proportion of people in each BMI category .....	102
Chart 11.3 Chews or smokes tobacco** .....	103
Table 11.3 Proportion of people who were reported to chew or smoke tobacco** .....	103
Chart 12.1 People knock before entering home (un-collapsed) .....	106
Table 12.1 Proportion of people who reported people always knock before entering home (“yes” responses only) .....	106
Chart 12.2 People knock before entering bedroom (un-collapsed) .....	107
Table 12.2 Proportion of people who reported people always knock before entering bedroom (“yes” responses only) .....	107
Chart 12.3 Can be alone at home with visitors (un-collapsed) .....	108
Table 12.3 Proportion of people who reported they could be alone at home with visitors (“yes” responses only) .....	108
Chart 12.4 Has enough privacy at home .....	109
Table 12.4 Proportion of people who reported they have enough privacy at home .....	109
Chart 12.5 Mail is read without permission by others** (un-collapsed) .....	110
Table 12.5 Proportion of people who reported people never read their mail without permission** (“no” responses only) .....	110
Chart 12.6 Can use phone and internet (un-collapsed) .....	111
Table 12.6 Proportion of people who reported they can use phone and internet without restriction (“yes without restriction” response only) .....	111
Chart 12.7 Staff at home are nice and polite (un-collapsed) .....	112

Table 12.7 Proportion of people who reported their staff at home are nice and polite (“yes” responses only) ..... 112

Chart 12.8 Staff at work are nice and polite\* (un-collapsed) ..... 113

Table 12.8 Proportion of people who reported their staff at work are nice and polite\* (“yes” responses only) ..... 113

Chart 12.9 Staff at day program or activity are nice and polite (un-collapsed) ..... 114

Table 12.9 Proportion of people who reported their staff at their day program or activity are nice and polite (“yes” responses only)..... 114

Chart 12.10 Participated in a self-advocacy event (un-collapsed)..... 115

Table 12.10 Proportion of people who reported they have attended a self advocacy event, or chose not to (“yes” and “chose not to” responses) ..... 115

Chart 13.1 Sacred or afraid at home\*\* (un-collapsed) ..... 118

Table 13.1 Proportion of people who reported they never feel scared or afraid in their home\*\* (‘no’ responses only)..... 118

Chart 13.2 Feels sacred or afraid in their neighborhood\*\* (un-collapsed)..... 119

Table 13.2 Proportion of people who reported they never feel scared or afraid in their neighborhood\*\* (“no” responses only) ..... 119

Chart 13.3 Feels sacred or afraid at their work or day program/regular activity\*\* (un-collapsed)..... 120

Table 13.3 Proportion of people who reported they never feel scared or afraid at their work or day program/regular activity\*\* (“no” responses only)..... 120

Chart 13.4 Has someone to go to for help if they feel scared (un-collapsed) ..... 121

Table 13.4 Proportion of people who reported they have someone to go to for help if they feel scared (“yes” responses only)..... 121

**Appendices ..... 122**

Table A.1 Did you choose (did this person choose) where you live? (unadjusted)..... 127

Table A.2 Did you choose (did this person choose) the staff who help you at home? (unadjusted) ..... 128

Table A.3 Did you choose (did this person choose) the people who live with you? (unadjusted) ..... 129

Table A.4 Did you choose your (did this person choose his/her) place of work? (unadjusted) ..... 130

---

Table A.5 Did you choose (did this person choose) the staff who help you at work? (unadjusted) .....	131
Table A.6 Did you choose your (did this person choose his/her) day activity? (unadjusted) .....	132
Table A.7 Did you choose (did this person choose) the staff who help you at your day activity? (unadjusted) .....	133
Table A.8 Do you choose your (does this person choose his/her) daily schedule? (unadjusted) .....	134
Table A.9 Do you choose (does this person choose) how to spend free time? (unadjusted) ...	135
Table A.10 Do you choose (does this person choose) what to buy with spending money? (unadjusted) .....	136
Table A.11 Did you choose your (did this person choose his/her) case manager/service coordinator? .....	137
Table A.12 Person has a job in the community.....	138
Table A.13 Type of job in the community.....	139
Table A.14 Did this person worked at least 10 of the last 12 months in a community job? .....	140
Table A.15 Does this person receive paid sick or vacation time at community job? .....	141
Table A.16 If no community job, would you like a job in the community?.....	142
Table A.17 Is integrated employment a goal in service plan? .....	143
Table A.18 Do you go to day program or do other activity during the day? .....	144
Table A.19 Do you do any volunteer work?.....	145
Table A.20 Does this person currently use a self-directed supports option?.....	146
Table A.21 Does someone talk with you about budget and services? .....	147
Table A.22 Is there someone who helps you decide how to use budget/services? .....	148
Table A.23 Can You Make Changes To Your Budget/Services If You Need To? .....	149
Table A.24 Do you need more help deciding how to use your budget/services?.....	150
Table A.25 Do you get information about how much money is left in budget?.....	151
Table A.26 Is the information easy to understand? .....	152
Table A.27 Do your support workers come when they are supposed to? .....	153
Table A.28 Do you get help you need to work out any problems you have with support workers?.....	154
Table A.29 In the past month, did you (did this person) go shopping? .....	155

Table A.30 Average times person went shopping -- unadjusted .....	155
Table A.31 In the past month, did you (did this person) go out on errands or appointments? .....	156
Table A.32 Average times person went out on errands -- unadjusted .....	156
Table A.33 In the past month, did you (did this person) go out for entertainment? .....	157
Table A.34 Average times person went out to for entertainment -- unadjusted .....	157
Table A.35 In the past month, did you (did this person) go out to restaurant or coffee shop? .....	158
Table A.36 Average times person went out to eat -- unadjusted .....	158
Table A.37 In the past month, did you (did this person) go to religious services or spiritual practice? .....	159
Table A.38 Average times person went to religious services or spiritual practice --unadjusted .....	159
Table A.39 In the past month, did you (did this person) go out for exercise? .....	160
Table A.40 Average times person went out for exercise --unadjusted .....	160
Table A.41 In past year, did you (did this person) go on vacation? .....	161
Table A.42 Average times person went on vacation --unadjusted .....	161
Table A.43 Do you have friends, or people you are close with? .....	162
Table A.44 Do you have a best friend? .....	163
Table A.45 Are you able to see your friends when you want to? .....	164
Table A.46 Are you able to see your family when you want to? .....	165
Table A.47 Do you ever feel lonely? .....	166
Table A.48 Can you go on a date if you want to? .....	167
Table A.49 Can you help other people if you want to? .....	168
Table A.50 Do you like where you live?.....	169
Table A.51 Do you like your neighborhood? .....	170
Table A.52 Would you like to live somewhere else?.....	171
Table A.53 Do you like your job in the community? .....	172
Table A.54 Would you like to work somewhere else? .....	173
Table A.55 Do you like day program/regular activity? .....	174

Table A.56 Would you like to go/do something else during the day? .....	175
Table A.57 Have you met your case manager/service coordinator? .....	176
Table A.58 Does your case manager/service coordinator ask you what you want?.....	177
Table A.59 Does your case manager/service coordinator help get what you need? .....	178
Table A.60 If you call and leave a message, does your case manager/service coordinator call you right away? .....	179
Table A.61 Did you help make service plan?.....	180
Table A.62 When you want to go somewhere, do you always have a way to get there?.....	181
Table A.63 How do you usually get places you need to go (not mutually exclusive)? .....	182
Table A.64 Do you (does this person) get all the services needed?.....	183
Table A.65 Does your (this person’s) support staff have the right training to meet your needs? .....	184
Table A.66 Does person have primary care doctor?.....	185
Table A.67 When was this person’s last complete annual physical exam? .....	186
Table A.68 What is this person’s overall health? .....	187
Table A.69 When was this person’s last dentist visit? .....	188
Table A.70 When was this person’s last eye exam or vision screening? .....	189
Table A.71 When was this person’s last hearing test?.....	190
Table A.72 When was this person’s last pap test screening (women)? .....	191
Table A.73 When was this person’s last mammogram (women 40 and older)?.....	192
Table A.74 When was this person’s last PSA test (males 50 and over)? .....	193
Table A.75 When was this person’s last colorectal cancer screening (individuals 50 and over)? .....	194
Table A.76 Has this person had a flu vaccination in the past 12 months? .....	195
Table A.77 Has this person ever been vaccinated for pneumonia? .....	196
Table A.78 Person takes at least one medication for mood, behavior, anxiety, or psychotic disorder. ....	197
Table A.79 Does this person take medications for a mood disorder? .....	198
Table A.80 Does this person take medication for anxiety?.....	199
Table A.81 Does this person take medication for behavior problems? .....	200

---

Table A.82 Does this person take medication for psychotic disorders? .....	201
Table A.83 Does this Person Engage in Physical Activity for at least 30 minutes 3 or more times a week? .....	202
Table A.84 Person’s BMI category .....	203
Table A.85 Does this person smoke or chew tobacco? .....	204
Table A.86 Do people let you know before entering home? .....	205
Table A.87 Do people let you know before entering bedroom? .....	206
Table A.88 Do you have enough privacy at home? .....	207
Table A.89 Can you (this person) be alone with friends or visitors? .....	208
Table A.90 Are the staff who help you at home nice and polite? .....	209
Table A.91 Are the staff who help you at your job nice and polite? .....	210
Table A.92 Are staff who help you at your day program/other activity staff nice and polite? .....	211
Table A.93 Do people read your (this person’s) mail or email without asking person first?... ..	212
Table A.94 Are you (is this person) allowed to use phone or internet at any time? .....	213
Table A.95 Have you (has this person) ever participated in a self-advocacy event or meeting? .....	214
Table A.96 Do you ever feel afraid or scared at home? .....	215
Table A.97 Do you ever feel afraid or scared in your neighborhood? .....	216
Table A.98 Do you ever feel afraid or scared at work or at day program/activity? .....	217
Table A.99 If you ever feel afraid, is there someone you can go to for help? .....	218

# I. Introduction

*This section gives a brief overview of the history of NCI, its current activities, and the Core Indicators.*

## Overview of National Core Indicators

In December 1996, the National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services (NASDDDS), in collaboration with Human Services Research Institute (HSRI), launched the Core Indicators Project (CIP). The aim of CIP was to support state developmental disabilities authorities in developing and implementing performance and outcome indicators as well as related data collection strategies that would enable them to measure service delivery system performance. This effort, now called National Core Indicators (NCI), strives to provide states with valid and reliable tools to use in support of their efforts to improve system performance and thereby to better serve people with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their families. NASDDDS' active sponsorship of NCI facilitates states pooling their knowledge, expertise and resources in this endeavor.

In 1997, 15 states convened to discuss the scope and content of a potential performance measurement framework that could be shared across states. Directors and staff from these 15 states worked to identify the major domains and sub-domains of performance, indicators, measures, and data sources. The original 61 indicators, developed through a consensus process, were intended to provide a system-level “snapshot” of how well each state was performing. The states were guided by a set of criteria designed to select indicators that were:

1. Measurable
2. Related to issues the states had some ability to influence
3. Important to all individuals they served, regardless of level of disability or residential setting

During this initial phase, data collection protocols were developed and field-tested, including a face-to-face Adult Consumer Survey (for individuals age 18 and older who were receiving services) and a mail-out Adult Family Survey (for families who have an adult family member living at home). Seven states volunteered to pilot test the indicators. Eight additional states served on the Steering Committee.

Since the initial field test, NCI has expanded its scope to include outcomes of services for the families of children with intellectual and developmental disabilities. In addition, NCI has continued to develop and refine the indicators and expand state



The current set of performance indicators include approximately 100 consumer, family, system, and health and safety outcomes –outcomes that are important to understanding the overall health of public developmental disabilities agencies. Indicators are organized across five broad domains: Individual Outcomes, Health Welfare & Rights, Staff Stability & Competency, Family Outcomes, and System Performance. Each domain is broken down into sub-domains through which the indicator outcome can be discerned. Four data sources are used to assess outcomes: the Adult Consumer Survey (e.g., rights and choice issues), three Family Surveys (e.g., satisfaction with supports), a Provider Survey (e.g., staff turnover), and system data from state administrative records (e.g., mortality rates).

The indicators have remained generally consistent over the years and thus can be used to analyze system-level trends over time. However, the NCI program is a dynamic effort that allows for measures to be added, dropped, or changed in order to reflect the current and future priorities of participating states. Most recently, the indicator set was revised to include enhanced information about health and wellness, employment status, and experience of self-direction among people with intellectual and developmental disabilities.<sup>1</sup>

The data collection tools used to gather indicator data are refined and tested to ensure they remain valid, reliable, and applicable to current issues within the field. Details on the design and testing of this tool are provided in the next section.

---

<sup>1</sup> For a complete list of Core Indicators, visit the Indicators Page on the NCI website at: <http://nationalcoreindicators.org/indicators/>.

## The Indicators

The survey instrument is designed specifically to measure certain Core Indicators. Most indicators correspond to single survey items. However, a few indicators refer to clusters of related survey items. The following table lists the domains and sub-domains covered by the NCI Adult Consumer Survey indicators.

**Table I1. NCI Adult Consumer Survey Indicators – Domains and Sub-Domains**

Domain	Sub-Domain	Description of Sub-Domain
<b>Individual Outcomes</b>	Work	People have support to find and maintain community integrated employment.
	Community Inclusion	People have support to participate in everyday community activities.
	Choice and Decision-Making	People make choices about their lives and are actively engaged in planning their services and supports.
	Self Determination	People have authority and are supported to direct and manage their own services.
	Relationships	People have friends and relationships.
	Satisfaction	People are satisfied with the services and supports they receive.
<b>Health, Welfare, and Rights</b>	Safety	People are safe from abuse, neglect, and injury.
	Health	People secure needed health services.
	Medications	Medications are managed effectively and appropriately.
	Wellness	People are supported to maintain healthy habits.
	Respect/Rights	People receive the same respect and protections as others in the community.
<b>Staff Stability and Competence</b>	Staff Competence	Direct contact staff are competent to provide services and support.
<b>System Performance</b>	Service Coordination	Service coordinators are accessible, responsive, and support the person's participation in service planning.
	Access	Publicly-funded services are readily available to individuals who need and qualify for them.

## How NCI Data are Used

The Core Indicators provide information for quality management and are intended to be used in conjunction with other state data sources, such as risk management information, regional level performance data, results of provider monitoring

processes, and administrative information gathered at the individual service coordination level. States typically use the indicator data to inform strategic planning, produce legislative reports, and prioritize quality improvement initiatives. Some states use NCI as a data source for supplemental performance measures in their HCBS waiver quality management systems and include the information in support of evidentiary reports to CMS. Many states share the indicator data with stakeholder groups such as Quality Councils and use the stakeholder feedback to help set priorities and establish policy direction. It is also important to note that states do not use the information in a punitive way to sanction service providers, nor do they use the results to remediate individual issues (unless specifically requested by the participant or required by law as in the case of suspected abuse, neglect, or mistreatment).

## Data Limitations

This report does not provide benchmarks for acceptable or unacceptable levels of performance for each indicator. Rather, it is up to each state to decide whether its score or percentage is an acceptable performance level. States that fall into the “below average” tier on any scale or indicator are not necessarily underperforming on that scale or indicator. Instead, falling into the “below average” tier indicates the state’s scale score or indicator percentage is significantly lower than the average, where “significantly” means “not due to chance.” The tables display states’ scores relative to one another and show which states tend to have similar results. The difference between a “below average” state and the average across the other states may be very small. Again, it is up to public managers, policy-makers, and other stakeholders to decide whether the differences in results suggest state-level changes or further investigation are necessary.

The average of states’ proportions should not be interpreted as defining “acceptable” levels of performance or satisfaction. Instead, it is a multi-state “norm” that describes present average levels of performance or satisfaction across the participating states. Instances in which there are few significant differences among states denote the majority of states are performing similarly. Instances in which several states’ results are especially high (considerably above the average level) indicate the levels of performance or satisfaction achieved in those states might define a level of performance that may serve as a guidepost for other states.

Data from previous years are not presented in this report. Comparisons of results from year to year should be made with caution for several reasons: even slight changes in wording or response options of certain questions may affect comparability of results from one year to the next; the mix of participating states differs slightly each year and may affect the NCI Averages; and the states draw new samples each year rather than following the same group of individuals.

## II. Adult Consumer Survey

*Briefly describes the development and structure of the survey instrument.*

## Survey Development

The Adult Consumer Survey was initially developed by a technical advisory subcommittee with the purpose of collecting information directly from individuals with developmental disabilities and their families or advocates. The survey is designed to measure over half of the original 60 Core Indicators. Many questions were drawn from survey instruments already in use in the field; other questions were developed specifically for NCI. NCI staff routinely refine the instrument based on feedback from surveyors and state staff.

Most participating states use the basic survey tool developed by the project. However, some states may opt to incorporate additional survey questions to look more deeply at specific issues. The addition of supplementary questions is typically done after the state participates in NCI for at least a year and establishes baseline results.

## Organization of the Survey

The Adult Consumer Survey is composed of a pre-survey form, three distinct sections, and an interviewer feedback sheet.

**Pre-Survey Form** asks questions to help the surveyor prepare for the meeting. Information from this section is not seen by NCI project staff.

**Background Information** asks questions about demographics, residence, health, and employment. This data is generally collected from state records, case managers, or a combination of both.

**Section I** of the survey is aimed at obtaining individuals' level of satisfaction and opinions. It may *only* be completed through a direct, face-to-face meeting with the individual.

**Section II** questions are answered by the individual if possible. If the person is unable to respond, a proxy who knows the person well may assist. Case managers or service coordinators are not allowed to respond to these questions.

The **Interviewer Feedback Sheet** is located at the end of the survey. Surveyors are asked to record the length of the NCI meeting with the individual and describe any problematic questions.

## III. Methodology

*This section describes protocol for administering the Adult Consumer Survey, including sampling criteria, administration guidelines, and data collection procedures.*

## Administration

A key principle of NCI is the importance of gathering information directly from service recipients. Because the indicators are meant to apply to all individuals receiving services regardless of their level of disability or where they live, NCI administration protocols dictate every person selected into the sample is given an opportunity to respond (i.e., no one is pre-screened or pre-determined to be unable to respond). Questions should not be marked “not applicable” on the basis of a person’s level of ability.

Data from the Adult Consumer Survey is collected via a direct conversation with the person receiving services as well as through the collection of background information from the individual’s record. Section I questions are only allowed to be answered by the individual since it includes questions that require subjective judgments and personal experiences. Section II allows for the use of “proxy” or other respondents who know the individual receiving services well (such as a family member or friend); this section consists of objective questions regarding the individual’s involvement in the community, choices, and access to services.

## Proxy Respondents

The issue of proxy responses is a consideration in the interpretation of survey responses among individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities. Proxy responses may not be fully in concordance with the individuals’ responses, but are an important source of information. Studies have found the greatest discrepancies between individual and proxy responses occur when the information being collected is subjective (i.e., for questions about how a person feels, proxies would only be aware of the correct answers if the individual had expressed his or her feelings previously)<sup>2</sup>. Questions relating to observable behaviors tend to have higher levels of agreement between individuals and proxies. Without allowing proxies to respond, a significant percentage of individuals would be unrepresented in the data. Thus for NCI purposes, it was determined proxy respondents may be used, but only for specific questions, and only in situations where the individual

---

<sup>2</sup> Magaziner, Jay, Sheryl Zimmerman, Ann L. Gruber-Baldini, J. Richard Hebel, and Kathleen M. Fox. "Proxy Reporting in Five Areas of Functional Status Comparison with Self-Reports and Observations of Performance." *American Journal of Epidemiology* 146.5 (1997): 418-28.

surveyed either could not effectively communicate with the surveyor or chose to have a proxy respondent.

The use of proxy respondents for the NCI tool is limited to questions in Section II, the scope of which relates to observable and/or measurable items: Community Inclusion, Choices, Rights, and Access to Needed Services. These questions relate to everyday occurrences on which others may be able to reliably report. State records are also used to report objective data on an individual's health status and exam history as well as employment information. This objective information is collected in the Background Section of the survey.

There are some ways to reduce discrepancies that may arise, such as making the questions as accessible as possible to increase participation by individuals and having a set of standards for proxy respondents. NCI aims to increase the accessibility of the Adult Consumer Survey by using easy-to-understand language and including suggested rephrasing for questions that may be nuanced or more difficult to understand. Surveyors are also trained to solicit responses from the individual receiving services before reporting the proxy responses.

To increase the reliability of proxy responses, only people who know the individual well (such as family, friends, or staff) are acceptable proxy respondents. To avoid conflict of interest, service coordinators are not allowed to respond as proxies. If both the individual and a proxy respondent give the same answer, the individual is recorded as the respondent so long as his/her answer is deemed reliable by the surveyor. Surveyors also keep track of who responds to each questions—the individual or the proxy (as well as the proxy's relationship to the individual).

## Surveyor Training

States employ a variety of individuals to conduct the face-to-face meetings, including university students, state staff, private contractors, advocacy organizations, and individuals with disabilities and their families.. To avoid conflict, surveyors cannot be the individual's service provider, relative, personal case manager, etc.

NCI program staff provides on-site, standardized surveyor training to new states as well as refresher trainings for previously participating states conducted via webinar. The method employed is typically a "train-the-trainer" technique, whereby training is provided to a selection of staff from the state's lead agency and/or those

managing the surveyors. These individuals then go on to train those who will conduct the NCI meetings.

Trainings consist of a detailed review of the survey tool as well as general surveying techniques. Trainers are given a packet of standardized materials (including scripts for contacting respondents, frequently asked questions, general surveying tips and skill exercises) to be used at these in-state training sessions. In some instances, all of a state’s surveying team participated in the “train-the-trainer” sessions. An additional training session reviews the process for entering survey data in the Online Data Entry Survey Application (ODESA).

## Criteria for Exclusion of Responses

All persons selected in the survey sample are given an opportunity to participate in a face-to-face meeting. There are no pre-screening procedures. Exclusion of responses is done at the time of data analysis by HSRI, based on the specific criteria described below. There is no threshold of number of answers to be given in order to consider a survey complete. The total number of surveys administered in 2011-12 was 12,236.

Surveys are excluded if the following criteria are met:

1. If there are no answers to Section I or II; or
2. Section II is not completed, or completed by the individual; and
  - i. The surveyor determines that the individual receiving services did not understand the questions being asked in Section I; or
  - ii. The surveyor records that the individual receiving services gave inconsistent responses in Section I.

## Exclusion of Responses for Section I

Approximately eighty percent (79.9%) of individuals in the total 2011-2012 sample were able to respond to Section I of the direct meeting. The “% Valid Answers To Section I” column in Table I2 indicates the percentage of individuals who were able to respond to Section I by state. Section I response rates by state ranged from 57.3% to 86.6%.

## Exclusion of Responses for Section II

Section II allows for multiple respondents who know the individual well (e.g., family, friend, support worker) to provide answers. In the final analysis, if an individual's responses were excluded from Section I, responses from Section II were also excluded if the individual is the only respondent. For 2011-12, the valid response rate (proxies included) to Section II was 98.6%.

## Sampling

Each state is instructed to complete a minimum of 400 surveys with a random sample of individuals over the age of 18 who are receiving at least one publicly funded service in addition to case management. A sample size of 400 allows valid comparisons to be made across states with a 95% confidence level and a +/-5% margin of error. Most states draw an over-sample greater than 400 in order to account for refusals and surveys that may potentially be deemed invalid. Table I2 on the following page presents the number of surveys completed, by state. A more detailed description of each state's sampling strategy can be found in Appendix C.

Table I2. Valid Surveys and Response Rates by State for 2011-12

State	% Valid Answers to Section 1	% Valid Answers to Section 2	Sample Size (N)	% of Total Sample Size (N)
AL	57.3%	99.3%	436	3.6%
AR	65.4%	99.5%	396	3.2%
AZ	86.8%	94.0%	401	3.3%
CT	71.9%	97.8%	402	3.3%
GA	74.6%	96.2%	547	4.5%
HI	52.5%	99.5%	434	3.5%
IL	71.4%	97.8%	357	2.9%
KY	70.5%	99.6%	474	3.9%
LA	66.5%	98.6%	430	3.5%
MA	81.5%	99.8%	503	4.1%
ME	76.7%	97.5%	360	2.9%
MEORC	82.7%	97.9%	388	3.2%
MI	65.8%	97.9%	430	3.5%
MO	71.5%	100.0%	502	4.1%
NC	71.8%	99.6%	931	7.6%
NJ	84.5%	99.3%	431	3.5%
NY	70.2%	98.2%	2,596	21.2%
OH	84.6%	100.0%	462	3.8%
PA	70.3%	99.6%	1,333	10.9%
SC	79.9%	98.3%	423	3.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>98.6%</b>	<b>12,236</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## IV. Data Analysis

*This section explains the statistical methods used to analyze the Adult Consumer Survey data, including an explanation of how certain outcomes are “adjusted” for the purposes of making comparisons across states. This section also discusses scale construction and significance testing of results.*

## Data Management and Analysis

NCI data management and analysis is coordinated by HSRI. For the 2011-2012 survey cycle, most states entered data into the Online Data Entry Survey Application (ODESA) system, which HSRI staff subsequently downloaded into an SPSS data file. In 2011-2012, a few states entered data into their own data files and submitted those files to HSRI for analysis. All data files were “cleaned,” meaning they were reviewed for completeness and compliance with standard NCI formats. Invalid responses were also eliminated. Files from individual states were then merged into one SPSS file. The merged file from 2011-2012 was used for all analyses in this report.

Below is a summary of the statistical procedures used to analyze the Adult Consumer Survey data.

### Outcome Adjustment

Outcome adjustment or “risk adjustment” is a statistical process used to control for differences in the individual characteristics of people who completed the NCI Survey across states. This procedure allows for more legitimate state comparisons by effectively “leveling the playing field.” It is necessary to perform this analysis to account for the fact that states have different eligibility definitions for services and may have samples with different characteristics. Only those indicators that are likely to be affected by individual characteristics were adjusted (e.g., indicators in the Choice and Community Inclusion sub-domains). For example, a person who has limited mobility and is older may be less likely to participate in shopping or other community activities. On the other hand, such characteristics should not affect whether a person has friends or staff who are respectful.

The indicators are risk-adjusted using the following seven characteristics of the individual: age, level of intellectual disability, primary means of expression (spoken or not), level of mobility, health status, mental illness/psychiatric diagnosis, and whether any behavioral supports are needed to prevent self-injury, disruptive, or destructive behavior. In this report, results for indicators that were adjusted are labeled as such, and the state-by-state unadjusted results for these indicators are presented in Appendix B.

For this report, outcome adjustment was performed using logistic regression. This statistical analysis produced a predicted value that one would expect to observe given the individual's characteristics. The state's average observed rate (i.e., the state average prior to risk-adjustment) was adjusted by the average predicted rate to produce the risk-adjusted rate. As a result of this procedure, differences in adjusted indicators reflect true state differences rather than differences due to the demographic or characteristic make-up of state service populations.

## Scale Development

Scales are used to combine similar responses from multiple questions into one outcome. Scales are only used for two domains for the Adult Consumer Survey -- Community Inclusion and Choice. By using scales, it is possible to create a measure of an overarching concept rather than specific elements of the concept. In order to create a scale, statistical tests are required to ensure that the multiple items share common properties.

For this report, selected indicators were combined to form three reliable scales for the sub-domains of Community Inclusion and Choice and Decision-making. The Choice and Decision-making section were divided into two scales consisting of Life Decisions and Everyday Choices. The Community Inclusion Scale was created by totaling the individual's scores for four of the questions relating to the number of times people went out in the community for particular activities, while the two Choice and Decision-making scales were created by averaging the items within those categories<sup>3</sup> for each individual. Higher scale scores represent higher levels of community inclusion and choice. The scales were also risk-adjusted.

Each scale's reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha. This statistic indicates whether individuals' responses to the indicators that comprise the scale of interest tend to be similar. An alpha value of 0.70 or greater generally indicates that these responses are similar, and therefore the indicators are likely to be measuring the same dimension, though the lowest acceptable value is 0.60. Testing administered in previous years showed that the Cronbach's alpha ratings for the three scales were: 0.64 for Community Inclusion; 0.80 for Life Decisions; and 0.76 for Everyday Choices. Thus, the scales had an adequate level of internal consistency and reliability.

---

<sup>3</sup> Indicators used for scales are listed in the corresponding sections.

## Collapsing Data

For many of the indicators in this report, only “yes” responses are analyzed and reported for state to state comparisons and significance testing. However, there are several indicators for which the “yes” response was collapsed with the middle response (for example, a “sometimes” response, or a “some input” response) to form the “yes” indicator category which was then analyzed and subsequently reported. For example, for choice indicators, responses “person chose” and “person had some input” were collapsed into one “yes, person chose” category. This was done because for those indicators, the two responses were considered to be equally indicative of a positive outcome. Appendix A contains the collapsing and recoding rules for all indicators and risk-adjustment variables.

## Significance Testing

For all non-adjusted items, each state’s score was compared to the NCI Average (average of state averages) across all NCI states. These comparisons were conducted using t-tests. T-tests were performed only on non-adjusted indicators.

The t-test analyses determined whether each state’s performance on the indicator was:

1. Significantly higher than the other states’ average proportion (NCI Average, average of averages),
2. Within the average range (i.e., no different from the other states’ average proportion in a statistical sense); or
3. Significantly lower than the other states’ average proportion.

A conservative cut-off point of  $p \leq 0.005$  was used to determine significant differences. The placement of each state into one of these three groups is indicated in tables for each outcome.

## V. Results

*Presents demographic information and results by each indicator, both by each state and by the average of all states.*

## Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

### Presentation of Demographic Information

Nineteen (19) states and one regional council administered the Adult Consumer Survey in 2011-2012 and submitted valid data for analysis. Together, survey information was collected on a total of 12,236 individuals. The participating states represented are: Alabama (AL), Arkansas (AR), Arizona (AZ), Connecticut (CT), Georgia (GA), Hawaii (HI), Illinois (IL), Kentucky (KY), Louisiana (LA), Maine (ME), Massachusetts (MA), Michigan (MI), Missouri (MO), North Carolina (NC), New Jersey (NJ), New York (NY), Ohio (OH), Middle-East Ohio Regional Council (MEORC), Pennsylvania (PA), and South Carolina (SC).

This section presents descriptive information on the individuals receiving services who were surveyed. A brief summary below describes the demographic profile of all respondents. The results indicated in this profile include the total aggregate data. The following pages illustrate respondent characteristics in tables which are broken out by individual state and include the NCI Average (the average of all states' means). Demographic information used for outcome adjustment is noted with an asterisk (\*).

## Profile of Respondents for Overall Sample

Most states had a slight majority of males in their sample – overall, 56.3% of respondents were male compared to 43.7% female. The average age of respondents across states was 43.3 years old. The majority of respondents were either white (72.0%) or black/African American (19.2%); 3.7% were Hispanic.

Nearly two-thirds of individuals had either mild or moderate ID (35.3% and 28.7%, respectively) while 14.1% had severe and 12.7% profound ID. Though 15.2% of individuals had no other diagnosis, the most common disabilities other than ID were: mental illness/psychiatric disorder (33.6%), seizure or neurological disorder (26.1%), cerebral palsy (15.2%), and vision and/or hearing impairment (11.6%).

The majority of individuals did not need support to manage behavioral issues. However, of those who were reported to need at least some support to manage issues: 22.7% were for self-injurious behavior, 38.8% for disruptive behavior, and 25.1% for destructive behavior. Approximately three-quarters of respondents moved without aids (76.8%), while 14.1% moved with aids and 8.9% were non-ambulatory. The large majority of individuals were in either excellent or very good health (40.0%) or fairly good health (54.3%); 4.8% were in poor health.

**Age\*****Table 1. Age**

State	Mean	Min	Max	Standard Deviation	Median	N
AL	46.3	18.0	87.0	13.6	47.0	436
AR	39.3	18.0	77.0	14.5	37.0	396
AZ	37.0	18.0	84.0	15.4	33.0	394
CT	44.4	18.0	86.0	16.2	44.0	402
GA	40.0	18.0	80.0	13.3	38.0	547
HI	43.5	19.0	89.0	16.0	42.0	434
IL	42.6	20.0	87.0	14.1	42.0	357
KY	41.7	19.0	82.0	14.2	42.0	474
LA	39.7	18.0	78.0	14.1	39.0	429
MA	47.4	19.0	89.0	14.7	47.0	503
ME	45.8	20.0	94.0	15.8	44.0	347
MEORC	46.4	19.0	90.0	16.6	47.0	387
MI	44.0	18.0	88.0	15.0	45.0	422
MO	44.8	19.0	87.0	15.4	45.0	501
NC	39.9	18.0	91.0	15.1	38.0	931
NJ	47.0	22.0	84.0	12.4	48.0	431
NY	45.3	18.0	101.0	15.8	46.0	2,584
OH	43.2	19.0	93.0	15.1	43.0	462
PA	43.8	18.0	92.0	16.3	44.0	1,253
SC	44.4	21.0	88.0	13.8	44.0	407
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>43.6</b>			<b>15.4</b>	<b>44.0</b>	<b>12,097</b>

## Gender

Table 2. Gender

State	% Male	% Female	Valid N
AL	59.5%	40.5%	435
AR	57.6%	42.4%	396
AZ	61.0%	39.0%	392
CT	56.8%	43.3%	400
GA	57.0%	43.0%	546
HI	55.5%	44.5%	434
IL	53.9%	46.1%	356
KY	55.9%	44.1%	474
LA	55.8%	44.2%	430
MA	57.5%	42.5%	503
ME	56.7%	43.3%	358
MEORC	51.9%	48.1%	387
MI	60.0%	40.0%	422
MO	55.2%	44.8%	502
NC	58.6%	41.4%	900
NJ	49.4%	50.6%	431
NY	55.4%	44.6%	2,588
OH	53.9%	46.1%	462
PA	55.9%	44.1%	1,250
SC	58.0%	42.0%	410
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>43.7%</b>	<b>12,076</b>

## Race

Table 3. Race

State	% American Indian or Alaska Native	% Asian	% Black or African American	% Pacific Islander	% White	% Other Race Not Listed	% Two or More Races	% Don't Know	Valid N
AL	0.0%	0.0%	38.0%	0.0%	60.6%	0.5%	0.9%	0.0%	434
AR	0.5%	1.0%	20.5%	0.0%	76.8%	0.5%	0.8%	0.0%	396
AZ	7.9%	1.0%	4.6%	0.0%	76.2%	6.2%	0.3%	3.8%	390
CT	1.0%	0.8%	13.3%	0.0%	77.7%	4.3%	0.0%	3.0%	399
GA	0.2%	0.7%	46.7%	0.4%	50.7%	0.9%	0.2%	0.2%	544
HI	0.5%	42.9%	0.7%	18.0%	16.4%	3.0%	18.2%	0.5%	434
IL	0.6%	2.0%	21.6%	0.0%	72.8%	1.7%	0.6%	0.8%	357
KY	0.2%	0.2%	12.9%	0.0%	84.1%	1.3%	1.1%	0.2%	472
LA	0.9%	0.7%	38.8%	0.0%	58.4%	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%	430
MA	0.4%	1.0%	6.2%	0.0%	89.1%	2.2%	0.0%	1.2%	503
ME	2.0%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	96.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	348
MEORC	0.5%	0.8%	3.1%	0.0%	93.8%	0.3%	0.0%	1.6%	384
MI	0.5%	0.5%	19.0%	0.0%	75.3%	2.9%	1.4%	0.5%	421
MO	0.2%	0.2%	14.3%	0.0%	83.9%	1.0%	0.4%	0.0%	502
NC	1.3%	0.9%	36.2%	0.0%	59.5%	1.3%	0.8%	0.1%	930
NJ	0.2%	1.2%	22.3%	0.0%	71.2%	3.2%	0.7%	1.2%	431
NY	0.7%	1.3%	17.3%	0.1%	70.3%	7.0%	0.7%	2.6%	2,585
OH	0.2%	0.2%	12.8%	0.0%	84.4%	1.9%	0.4%	0.0%	462
PA	0.6%	0.5%	6.8%	0.1%	89.9%	1.2%	0.0%	1.0%	1,239
SC	0.0%	0.0%	47.7%	0.0%	51.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%	409
<b>NCI Average</b>	0.9%	2.8%	19.2%	0.9%	72.0%	2.0%	1.3%	0.9%	12,070

## Ethnicity

Table 4. Ethnicity

State	% Non-Hispanic	% Hispanic	% Don't Know	Valid N
AL	99.5%	0.5%	0.0%	436
AR	99.0%	0.8%	0.3%	395
AZ	72.6%	23.3%	4.1%	391
CT	90.3%	7.5%	2.2%	402
GA	98.2%	1.7%	0.2%	545
HI	92.9%	6.0%	1.2%	434
IL	95.5%	3.7%	0.8%	356
KY	98.9%	0.8%	0.2%	471
LA	98.4%	1.2%	0.5%	426
MA	95.0%	4.8%	0.2%	503
ME	98.6%	0.3%	1.1%	349
MEORC	97.4%	0.8%	1.8%	386
MI	97.1%	2.4%	0.5%	412
MO	98.6%	0.4%	1.0%	499
NC	98.2%	1.5%	0.3%	928
NJ	94.2%	5.8%	0.0%	428
NY	89.3%	8.5%	2.2%	2,580
OH	98.3%	1.5%	0.2%	462
PA	96.0%	2.5%	1.5%	1,247
SC	98.5%	0.5%	1.0%	405
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>95.3%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>12,055</b>

## Residence

Table 5. Type of Residence

State	% Specialized Institutional Facility	% Group Home	% Apartment Program	% Independent Home/ Apartment	% Parent/ Relative's Home	% Foster Care/ Host Home	% Nursing Facility	% Other	% Don't Know	N
AL	0.0%	55.4%	5.7%	4.8%	32.6%	0.9%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	435
AR	19.7%	14.9%	1.0%	24.2%	31.1%	7.8%	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%	396
AZ	0.8%	24.6%	3.6%	12.6%	48.2%	9.2%	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%	390
CT	8.0%	35.8%	4.3%	12.3%	31.0%	2.3%	1.0%	5.0%	0.5%	400
GA	0.0%	24.0%	0.2%	7.3%	59.6%	7.2%	0.0%	1.5%	0.2%	545
HI	0.2%	6.9%	1.2%	4.1%	49.8%	34.6%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	434
IL	0.3%	46.8%	4.8%	6.5%	36.9%	2.3%	0.0%	1.1%	1.4%	355
KY	5.3%	25.8%	4.9%	6.1%	37.8%	9.1%	1.9%	9.1%	0.0%	473
LA	7.7%	22.1%	0.2%	18.6%	49.8%	0.5%	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%	430
MA	0.0%	41.2%	5.2%	13.1%	23.9%	15.1%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	502
ME	2.0%	46.3%	15.9%	9.9%	6.0%	11.1%	1.7%	7.1%	0.0%	352
MEORC	0.3%	30.0%	3.1%	25.8%	31.8%	4.1%	3.1%	1.0%	0.8%	387
MI	1.9%	32.2%	2.9%	20.8%	32.0%	6.0%	0.2%	3.1%	1.0%	419
MO	6.2%	29.1%	10.8%	49.8%	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	502
NC	15.3%	21.2%	1.4%	6.3%	43.9%	4.6%	1.8%	5.3%	0.1%	920
NJ	0.7%	58.1%	16.5%	1.2%	14.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	430
NY	1.9%	42.9%	6.5%	9.3%	30.3%	4.0%	0.2%	4.3%	0.5%	2,586
OH	11.0%	22.7%	3.9%	20.1%	38.3%	1.3%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	462
PA	6.5%	29.5%	1.6%	9.8%	35.5%	3.8%	3.0%	9.5%	0.8%	1,215
SC	1.7%	35.1%	6.4%	10.1%	34.9%	1.2%	0.2%	10.3%	0.0%	407
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>32.2%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>33.4%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>12,040</b>

**Level of ID\*****Table 6. Level of ID**

State	% No ID	% Mild	% Moderate	% Severe	% Profound	% Unspecified	% Unknown	Valid N
AL	0.0%	20.7%	32.0%	14.7%	32.4%	0.2%	0.0%	435
AR	6.3%	28.7%	26.9%	15.7%	20.3%	1.3%	0.8%	394
AZ	7.7%	39.3%	35.2%	11.5%	3.3%	1.5%	1.5%	392
CT	0.5%	40.5%	33.3%	13.7%	11.4%	0.0%	0.5%	402
GA	0.6%	31.1%	34.9%	14.7%	8.5%	8.5%	1.8%	544
HI	0.5%	15.1%	38.4%	22.2%	20.5%	1.7%	1.7%	424
IL	0.6%	34.6%	33.0%	17.2%	13.0%	1.1%	0.6%	355
KY	0.2%	42.4%	24.6%	16.9%	9.4%	5.1%	1.3%	467
LA	8.7%	29.2%	24.9%	12.9%	21.6%	2.1%	0.5%	425
MA	3.6%	34.3%	22.6%	6.7%	1.6%	8.9%	22.2%	495
ME	4.4%	38.8%	26.2%	14.4%	9.4%	3.8%	2.9%	340
MEORC	3.0%	46.4%	27.6%	11.7%	6.3%	2.5%	2.5%	366
MI	1.5%	40.4%	21.7%	16.7%	15.0%	4.2%	0.5%	401
MO	8.7%	40.5%	19.8%	15.7%	11.3%	3.4%	0.6%	496
NC	1.0%	31.4%	28.6%	17.8%	19.1%	1.5%	0.6%	870
NJ	5.2%	38.3%	20.1%	4.7%	2.4%	13.2%	16.1%	423
NY	2.0%	37.6%	28.0%	13.3%	16.2%	1.2%	1.8%	2,500
OH	0.0%	43.0%	33.0%	11.3%	11.1%	1.4%	0.2%	433
PA	1.3%	43.5%	30.4%	11.2%	10.2%	2.5%	0.8%	1,229
SC	2.8%	29.2%	32.7%	19.1%	11.6%	0.8%	3.8%	397
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>35.3%</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>11,788</b>

## Other Disabilities<sup>4</sup>

Table 7. Other Disabilities

State	% Mental Illness/ Psychiatric Diagnosis	% Autism Spectrum Disorder	% Cerebral Palsy	% Brain Injury	% Seizure Disorder/ Neurological Problem	% Chemical Dependency	% Vision and/or Hearing Impairment	% Alzheimer's Disease/ Other Dementia	% Down Syndrome	% Prader- Willi Syndrome	% Other	% No Other
AL	29.7%	7.1%	13.3%	0.7%	33.3%	0.0%	12.9%	1.1%	7.8%	0.0%	15.6%	22.3%
AR	28.5%	10.1%	28.5%	3.6%	32.9%	0.3%	11.9%	0.8%	10.9%	0.5%	28.5%	10.4%
AZ	19.8%	13.4%	19.6%	1.6%	25.7%	0.0%	8.8%	1.6%	10.5%	0.8%	14.2%	20.4%
CT	35.4%	15.8%	13.2%	2.4%	28.8%	0.5%	13.2%	3.7%	10.0%	0.3%	25.1%	9.5%
GA	20.2%	10.3%	10.1%	1.7%	21.2%	0.2%	5.2%	1.0%	11.1%	0.6%	11.7%	35.5%
HI	15.6%	10.9%	15.1%	2.8%	36.7%	0.0%	12.6%	2.8%	8.6%	0.7%	26.5%	18.8%
IL	31.2%	13.2%	16.5%	1.2%	24.7%	0.9%	10.3%	1.5%	15.0%	0.0%	21.2%	14.1%
KY	44.5%	11.6%	11.6%	3.1%	26.8%	0.9%	13.2%	1.8%	8.6%	0.4%	24.8%	11.0%
LA	28.3%	11.5%	22.0%	4.0%	33.3%	0.2%	17.1%	1.2%	8.9%	0.2%	42.4%	11.0%
MA	46.3%	11.6%	13.0%	3.5%	26.2%	0.4%	18.8%	3.5%	14.0%	0.2%	18.6%	10.7%
ME	38.4%	19.9%	13.3%	3.0%	24.8%	0.6%	16.9%	3.9%	11.2%	0.6%	29.3%	10.9%
MEORC	46.8%	6.6%	11.3%	4.2%	21.3%	0.0%	7.9%	1.6%	6.3%	0.8%	26.6%	15.5%
MI	45.3%	14.2%	17.5%	1.8%	22.0%	0.5%	7.8%	2.5%	7.1%	0.8%	21.5%	10.9%
MO	45.0%	9.1%	13.2%	3.3%	26.4%	0.0%	12.0%	1.2%	7.2%	0.8%	31.6%	11.0%
NC	33.0%	16.0%	16.4%	2.8%	31.3%	0.3%	12.2%	2.1%	7.4%	0.5%	27.7%	8.5%
NJ	41.9%	16.1%	14.7%	1.9%	26.5%	0.2%	11.3%	0.5%	12.8%	1.2%	43.9%	6.5%
NY	29.9%	13.4%	13.4%	2.4%	25.2%	0.6%	10.7%	1.5%	11.3%	0.4%	18.9%	15.2%
OH	30.6%	9.2%	19.2%	3.7%	27.3%	0.0%	9.2%	2.8%	10.0%	1.5%	27.7%	14.8%
PA	41.3%	3.9%	10.2%	1.0%	8.6%	0.1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SC	20.5%	9.6%	11.4%	0.5%	18.2%	0.8%	8.3%	1.6%	9.6%	0.3%	14.0%	32.5%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>33.6%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>

<sup>4</sup> Individuals may have been diagnosed with more than one other disability

## Primary Means of Expression\*

Table 8. Primary Means of Expression

State	% Spoken	% Gestures	% Sign Language	% Communication Device	% Other	% Don't Know	Valid N
AL	69.1%	28.9%	1.4%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	433
AR	69.9%	25.0%	1.8%	1.5%	1.8%	0.0%	396
AZ	76.8%	16.6%	3.3%	1.5%	1.8%	0.0%	397
CT	71.6%	17.4%	1.5%	0.7%	7.2%	1.5%	402
GA	78.3%	19.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	545
HI	62.9%	28.6%	2.8%	0.7%	4.4%	0.7%	434
IL	76.2%	17.4%	1.7%	1.1%	3.6%	0.0%	357
KY	72.7%	24.1%	1.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.6%	473
LA	71.6%	24.4%	0.9%	0.9%	1.9%	0.2%	430
MA	81.6%	14.2%	2.0%	1.2%	0.8%	0.2%	501
ME	76.3%	17.2%	3.4%	1.4%	1.4%	0.3%	354
MEORC	88.1%	10.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	387
MI	71.7%	23.3%	1.2%	0.5%	2.2%	1.0%	407
MO	80.4%	15.6%	1.4%	0.2%	1.6%	0.8%	499
NC	69.3%	27.1%	1.0%	1.1%	1.4%	0.1%	925
NJ	92.3%	6.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	429
NY	74.9%	20.6%	1.4%	1.2%	1.5%	0.4%	2,591
OH	80.5%	16.0%	0.4%	1.1%	1.9%	0.0%	462
PA	77.2%	18.6%	1.3%	1.0%	1.8%	0.2%	1,248
SC	83.4%	14.2%	1.2%	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	409
<b>NCI Average</b>	76.2%	19.3%	1.5%	0.8%	1.8%	0.3%	12,079

## Language

Table 9. Language

State	% English	% Other	N
AL	100.0%	0.0%	431
AR	99.5%	0.5%	395
AZ	91.6%	8.4%	392
CT	95.0%	5.0%	400
GA	98.9%	1.1%	541
HI	97.7%	2.3%	434
IL	97.5%	2.5%	355
KY	99.6%	0.4%	472
LA	99.1%	0.9%	428
MA	97.2%	2.8%	501
ME	98.9%	1.1%	352
MEORC	96.1%	3.9%	386
MI	99.0%	1.0%	420
MO	100.0%	0.0%	500
NC	99.5%	0.5%	926
NJ	98.6%	1.4%	430
NY	96.7%	3.3%	2,567
OH	99.1%	0.9%	462
PA	99.8%	0.2%	1,333
SC	100.0%	0.0%	410
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>98.2%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>12,135</b>

**Overall Health\*****Table 10. Overall Health**

State	% Excellent or Very Good	% Fairly Good	% Poor	% Don't Know	Valid N
AL	28.6%	66.4%	4.8%	0.2%	434
AR	52.0%	42.2%	5.6%	0.3%	396
AZ	36.4%	58.0%	4.5%	1.0%	398
CT	43.3%	50.2%	4.5%	2.0%	402
GA	48.3%	48.3%	2.4%	0.9%	542
HI	28.8%	67.7%	3.2%	0.2%	434
IL	38.4%	57.4%	2.5%	1.7%	357
KY	31.2%	60.1%	8.2%	0.4%	474
LA	32.1%	58.6%	6.7%	2.6%	430
MA	50.8%	46.0%	2.8%	0.4%	500
ME	47.2%	48.4%	3.9%	0.6%	337
MEORC	38.6%	54.9%	5.7%	0.8%	386
MI	34.1%	57.5%	6.7%	1.7%	416
MO	51.0%	45.0%	3.8%	0.2%	498
NC	36.2%	57.1%	6.1%	0.5%	927
NJ	48.6%	46.7%	4.4%	0.2%	430
NY	36.7%	58.0%	3.9%	1.5%	2,591
OH	37.9%	55.4%	6.5%	0.2%	462
PA	37.8%	54.1%	5.0%	3.1%	1,236
SC	41.0%	53.6%	4.4%	1.0%	407
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>54.3%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>12,057</b>

**Mobility\*****Table 11. Mobility**

State	% Moves Without Aids	% Moves With Aids or Wheelchair	% Non-Ambulatory	% Don't Know	Valid N
AL	74.9%	15.4%	9.7%	0.0%	434
AR	71.4%	15.9%	12.7%	0.0%	395
AZ	75.9%	14.1%	9.8%	0.3%	398
CT	76.9%	13.7%	8.7%	0.7%	402
GA	83.9%	11.0%	5.1%	0.0%	545
HI	72.1%	14.3%	13.6%	0.0%	434
IL	81.5%	13.4%	5.0%	0.0%	357
KY	80.5%	11.4%	7.4%	0.6%	472
LA	67.9%	15.6%	16.5%	0.0%	430
MA	79.5%	15.1%	5.4%	0.0%	503
ME	79.9%	14.2%	5.9%	0.0%	353
MEORC	80.9%	14.5%	4.7%	0.0%	387
MI	71.2%	15.0%	13.3%	0.5%	413
MO	78.4%	15.0%	5.8%	0.8%	501
NC	71.5%	14.6%	13.9%	0.0%	923
NJ	84.7%	12.1%	3.3%	0.0%	430
NY	75.6%	14.2%	10.0%	0.1%	2,592
OH	74.9%	14.9%	10.2%	0.0%	462
PA	73.8%	14.8%	10.9%	0.6%	1,246
SC	81.5%	13.2%	5.4%	0.0%	410
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>76.8%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>12,087</b>

## Behavioral Supports Needed\*

Table 12. Support to Manage Self Injurious Behavior

State	% No	% Some	% Extensive	% Don't Know	Valid N
AL	79.1%	13.5%	7.3%	0.0%	436
AR	77.5%	14.9%	7.1%	0.5%	396
AZ	80.6%	12.5%	5.6%	1.4%	360
CT	71.6%	20.9%	5.7%	1.7%	402
GA	84.3%	12.2%	3.3%	0.2%	542
HI	75.1%	18.2%	5.8%	0.9%	434
IL	80.6%	14.4%	2.8%	2.3%	355
KY	81.0%	15.1%	3.5%	0.4%	457
LA	74.7%	17.0%	7.6%	0.7%	423
MA	83.2%	12.4%	4.0%	0.4%	501
ME	64.7%	26.4%	8.6%	0.3%	337
MEORC	83.7%	13.2%	2.1%	1.0%	387
MI	71.0%	18.1%	7.6%	3.3%	397
MO	73.8%	19.7%	5.6%	0.8%	497
NC	73.9%	20.5%	5.6%	0.1%	899
NJ	78.7%	15.9%	4.2%	1.2%	428
NY	72.6%	18.9%	6.2%	2.2%	2,576
OH	81.6%	13.6%	3.9%	0.9%	462
PA	72.3%	18.8%	5.1%	3.8%	1,212
SC	85.8%	9.9%	3.3%	1.0%	395
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>77.3%</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>11,896</b>

**Table 13. Support to Manage Disruptive Behavior**

State	% No	% Some	% Extensive	% Don't Know	Valid N
AL	62.6%	26.4%	11.0%	0.0%	436
AR	61.8%	24.3%	13.2%	0.8%	395
AZ	69.7%	21.6%	7.6%	1.1%	357
CT	49.0%	38.1%	11.4%	1.5%	402
GA	73.3%	22.8%	3.7%	0.2%	544
HI	63.6%	26.7%	9.0%	0.7%	434
IL	61.0%	30.1%	7.0%	2.0%	356
KY	71.9%	20.5%	6.8%	0.9%	459
LA	61.0%	28.1%	9.9%	0.9%	423
MA	67.6%	25.0%	7.0%	0.4%	500
ME	42.3%	43.8%	13.6%	0.3%	338
MEORC	73.1%	22.7%	3.1%	1.0%	387
MI	58.3%	25.8%	12.3%	3.8%	400
MO	55.3%	34.5%	9.2%	1.0%	501
NC	51.7%	36.1%	12.0%	0.2%	902
NJ	55.6%	35.5%	8.2%	0.7%	428
NY	57.2%	32.5%	7.9%	2.4%	2,577
OH	63.9%	28.4%	6.9%	0.9%	462
PA	57.6%	30.4%	8.1%	3.9%	1,216
SC	66.6%	22.5%	9.6%	1.3%	395
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>61.2%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>11,912</b>

**Table 14. Support to Manage Destructive Behavior**

State	% No	% Some	% Extensive	% Don't Know	Valid N
AL	77.2%	13.3%	9.4%	0.0%	435
AR	74.9%	15.2%	8.9%	1.0%	395
AZ	78.3%	15.8%	4.4%	1.4%	360
CT	69.4%	20.4%	8.0%	2.2%	402
GA	83.9%	12.5%	3.3%	0.4%	545
HI	77.4%	16.8%	5.1%	0.7%	434
IL	79.7%	14.7%	3.7%	2.0%	354
KY	73.7%	21.0%	4.4%	0.9%	457
LA	72.7%	18.7%	7.8%	0.7%	422
MA	81.7%	13.9%	4.0%	0.4%	503
ME	58.5%	28.8%	12.5%	0.3%	337
MEORC	82.7%	13.2%	2.6%	1.6%	387
MI	71.9%	14.8%	9.3%	4.0%	399
MO	69.3%	22.0%	7.6%	1.0%	499
NC	70.4%	21.5%	7.3%	0.8%	899
NJ	71.3%	20.8%	6.5%	1.4%	428
NY	73.2%	18.8%	5.5%	2.5%	2,582
OH	78.4%	16.5%	4.1%	1.1%	462
PA	73.6%	17.2%	5.5%	3.6%	1,213
SC	78.7%	14.2%	5.8%	1.3%	395
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>74.9%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>11,908</b>

## Core Indicator Outcomes and Comparisons across States

### Presentation of Core Indicators

Each core indicator result is shown in sub-sections by sub-domain. The beginning of each sub-section shows the sub-domain to be addressed along with its corresponding concern statement and indicators. Outcomes are shown in chart and table form. Charts illustrate un-collapsed averages for all unadjusted indicators. Corresponding tables show collapsed data broken out by state and include the NCI Average as well as the number of respondents by state and in total<sup>5</sup>.

Each table lists states in order of ranking (highest to lowest average). State results are grouped under descriptive rows that indicate whether results are significantly above the NCI Average, within the NCI Average, or significantly below the NCI Average. Risk-adjusted indicators are noted in text. Significance testing was not performed for risk-adjusted indicators and state results are simply presented in descending order.

#### **Please Note:**

For results with extremely low Ns use caution when viewing; in these instances, state results should be viewed solely as a reference point.

Employment items marked with an asterisk (\*) were analyzed differently for 2011-12 data than in previous years, outcomes were determined for people who were reported to have a community job based on the Background Information Section rather than Section I.

Statistical significance is influenced by the size of the state's sample. Thus, in some cases it is possible that a state with a lower percentage but a larger sample will be significantly above average, whereas a state with a somewhat higher percentage but a smaller sample will not be.

The tables also break out average results for each state by the type of residence – i.e., institution, community-based group residences, independent

---

<sup>5</sup> See Appendix A for how questions are collapsed and Appendix B for un-collapsed data by state.

homes/apartments and parent/relative's home. These breakouts were not performed for risk-adjusted indicators. Significance testing was not performed on indicators by residence and the number of people in each residential setting is often too small to allow for valid state-to-state comparisons. For the same reason, in many cases statistically valid conclusions cannot be drawn about differences between residence types. This information is provided for states' internal purposes and should not be used to compare one state with another. If a state had fewer than 20 people in a residence type with valid responses to a given indicator, the rate for that residence type for that indicator is not reported. Table 15 below presents the number of people surveyed in each residential type by state.

**Table 15. Type of Residence for Analysis**

State	Institution	Community-Based Residence	Independent Home/Apt	Parent/Relative's Home
AL	-	266	21	142
AR	78	63	96	123
AZ	3	110	49	188
CT	32	160	49	124
GA	-	132	40	325
HI	1	35	18	216
IL	1	183	23	131
KY	25	145	29	179
LA	33	96	80	214
MA	-	233	66	120
ME	7	219	35	21
MEORC	1	128	100	123
MI	8	147	87	134
MO	31	200	250	2
NC	141	208	58	404
NJ	3	321	5	60
NY	50	1,278	241	784
OH	51	123	93	177
PA	79	378	119	431
SC	7	169	41	142
<b>Total</b>	<b>551</b>	<b>4,594</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>4,040</b>

## Chapter 1

# Choice and Decision Making

### **PEOPLE MAKE CHOICES ABOUT THEIR LIVES AND ARE ACTIVELY ENGAGED IN PLANNING THEIR SERVICES AND SUPPORTS.**

The two Choice and Decision Making indicators are:

1. The proportion of people who make choices about their everyday lives, including: housing, roommates, daily routines, jobs, support staff or providers, social activities, and what to spend money on.
2. The proportion of people who have been provided options about where to live, work, and go during the day.

The Adult Consumer Survey includes 11 choice items that correspond to the first indicator – all but one item, chose case manager (Table 1.13) were risk-adjusted. Three questions correspond to the second indicator.

Two Choice composite scale scores were produced by adding and averaging Choice items. The Life Decisions scale (Table 1.11) which consists of items relating to choice of: residence, work, day activity, staff, and roommates. The Everyday Choices scale (Table 1.12) consists of items about choosing: schedule, how to spend money, and free time activities. Both scales were risk-adjusted.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

### **OBSERVATIONS FOR CHOICE**

Overall, individuals expressed having more input in everyday decisions compared to choices about where they live, work, and go during the day. The majority of individuals had at least some choice in deciding their daily activities such as choosing daily schedule (82%), how to spend free time (90%), and choosing what to buy with their money (88%).

Around half reported they chose where they live (48%, states ranged between 27% and 66%) and go during the day (57%, states ranged between 26% and 77%). Over four-fifths reported they chose where they work (84%, states ranged between 66% and 100%).

Just over half chose their case manager/service coordinator, or were aware they could request to change their case manager/service coordinator (53%).

**Table 1.1 Proportion of people who reported they chose or had some input in choosing where they live *if not living in the family home* (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
MEORC	66%	249
OH	63%	250
KY	58%	325
AZ	57%	289
MA	56%	362
IL	53%	229
SC	53%	245
MI	52%	300
GA	50%	290
ME	49%	326
PA	49%	792
NY	49%	1,815
AR	48%	287
NC	47%	557
CT	45%	260
LA	45%	218
AL	38%	283
MO	36%	477
HI	28%	222
NJ	27%	354
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>8,130</b>

**Table 1.2 Proportion of people who reported they have staff at home and chose or were aware they could request to change their staff at home (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
MEORC	90%	253
OH	78%	276
MA	67%	374
LA	65%	356
NY	64%	1,756
GA	64%	293
AZ	63%	228
NC	63%	539
ME	62%	307
AR	58%	365
MI	58%	308
IL	58%	238
NJ	56%	349
MO	51%	495
CT	46%	275
PA	43%	321
SC	43%	245
KY	32%	259
HI	31%	223
AL	27%	287
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>7,747</b>

**Table 1.3 Proportion of people who reported they chose or had some input in choosing their roommates *if not living in the family home* (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
MEORC	58%	263
OH	54%	246
AR	49%	293
AZ	49%	189
LA	47%	217
GA	46%	282
MA	43%	359
ME	42%	301
MI	40%	289
PA	39%	727
IL	37%	225
NY	35%	1,822
SC	34%	244
NC	33%	549
CT	32%	261
MO	32%	476
KY	28%	334
HI	28%	224
AL	18%	284
NJ	18%	360
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>7,945</b>

**Table 1.4 Proportion of people reported to have a job in the community and who reported they chose or had some input in choosing their job\* (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
KY	100%	24
MEORC	94%	38
AZ	92%	53
OH	92%	39
IL	91%	20
HI	90%	28
MA	89%	105
ME	89%	70
NY	87%	267
MO	86%	38
GA	83%	74
NC	82%	83
SC	81%	63
MI	79%	53
AR	78%	29
NJ	73%	25
LA	69%	40
PA	68%	40
CT	66%	124
AL	n/a	4
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>1,217</b>

\* reported for those who were determined to have a paid community job from Background section

**Table 1.5 Proportion of people reported to have a job in the community and who reported they chose or were aware they could request to change the staff who help them at work\* (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
MEORC	92%	24
OH	82%	23
AZ	80%	41
NC	75%	70
GA	72%	70
NJ	71%	22
NY	70%	235
MA	68%	80
MI	63%	45
LA	62%	30
HI	59%	21
KY	58%	17
AR	58%	21
IL	56%	16
ME	55%	58
SC	51%	54
PA	47%	30
CT	45%	109
MO	45%	25
AL	n/a	n/a
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>991</b>

**Table 1.6. Proportion of people who reported they have a day program or activity and chose or had some input in choosing their day program or activity (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
MEORC	77%	284
GA	74%	455
OH	74%	345
ME	71%	232
AZ	66%	268
NC	65%	661
KY	64%	398
AR	63%	268
MI	63%	284
PA	61%	790
IL	59%	288
NY	56%	2,063
MA	56%	384
LA	52%	224
CT	52%	311
MO	51%	280
SC	43%	317
HI	39%	353
NJ	34%	391
AL	26%	387
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>8,983</b>

**Table 1.7 Proportion of people who reported they have a day program or other daily activity and chose or were aware they could request to change the staff who help them at their day program or activity (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
MEORC	93%	306
OH	80%	325
GA	74%	464
AZ	69%	242
NC	65%	709
NY	64%	2,043
IL	64%	288
LA	59%	236
NJ	59%	392
ME	59%	252
MA	58%	383
HI	55%	355
MI	54%	274
AR	50%	270
MO	46%	295
CT	44%	293
PA	43%	810
SC	43%	325
KY	25%	419
AL	23%	387
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>9,068</b>

**Table 1.8 Proportion of people who reported they choose or help decide their daily schedule (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
MEORC	90%	363
KY	89%	466
NJ	89%	415
MA	89%	494
GA	87%	513
ME	86%	336
PA	86%	1,263
SC	85%	399
MO	84%	493
OH	83%	435
AL	83%	430
AR	81%	387
LA	80%	419
NC	79%	903
MI	78%	412
NY	77%	2,500
AZ	76%	357
CT	75%	380
IL	72%	341
HI	66%	425
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>11,731</b>

**Table 1.9 Proportion of people who reported they choose or help decide how to spend free time (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
SC	95%	406
AL	95%	431
NJ	94%	424
PA	94%	1,273
NC	94%	906
OH	94%	442
GA	94%	515
ME	93%	342
AR	92%	391
MO	92%	494
KY	92%	468
MA	91%	497
MEORC	91%	363
LA	89%	419
MI	88%	409
CT	88%	379
NY	87%	2,503
IL	87%	343
AZ	83%	356
HI	76%	426
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>11,787</b>

**Table 1.10 Proportion of people who reported they chose or help decide what to buy with their spending money (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
GA	93%	511
OH	93%	439
NJ	92%	415
ME	91%	341
AR	91%	392
MEORC	91%	367
AL	91%	429
PA	90%	1,251
SC	90%	404
NC	90%	899
KY	89%	463
IL	88%	331
LA	88%	420
MA	86%	497
AZ	86%	341
MO	86%	496
NY	85%	2,509
CT	85%	379
MI	83%	409
HI	69%	429
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>11,722</b>

**Table 1.11 Life Decisions Scale  
(Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
MEORC	78%	372
OH	72%	436
GA	67%	521
AZ	62%	359
NC	58%	877
MA	57%	498
LA	57%	408
NY	57%	2,495
AR	57%	385
IL	57%	342
ME	56%	347
MI	55%	400
PA	51%	1,149
SC	46%	398
MO	44%	500
CT	44%	381
HI	43%	407
KY	42%	453
NJ	41%	426
AL	27%	431
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>11,585</b>

**Table 1.12 Everyday Choices Scale  
(Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
GA	91%	524
AL	91%	433
ME	90%	349
KY	90%	472
SC	90%	411
MEORC	89%	374
OH	89%	458
NJ	89%	426
PA	89%	1,286
AR	89%	394
MA	88%	501
NC	88%	908
MO	87%	500
LA	86%	423
MI	83%	420
NY	83%	2,546
IL	82%	347
CT	82%	391
AZ	82%	363
HI	67%	432
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>11,958</b>

Chart 1.1 Chose Case Manager (un-collapsed)




Table 1.13 Proportion of people who reported they chose or were aware they could request to change their case manager/service coordinator (“yes” and “no, but can change” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
MEORC	93%	351	n/a	96%	94%	90%
LA	76%	336	73%	70%	79%	77%
NY	73%	2,352	46%	70%	84%	79%
NC	72%	815	25%	77%	83%	80%
AZ	64%	325	n/a	66%	71%	64%
AR	63%	385	37%	63%	80%	71%
GA	63%	500	n/a	62%	51%	65%
MI	63%	400	n/a	55%	78%	62%
OH	62%	334	43%	55%	78%	62%
SC	62%	391	n/a	56%	66%	69%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
IL	57%	332	n/a	54%	67%	60%
ME	54%	324	n/a	56%	63%	62%
HI	53%	413	n/a	52%	67%	57%
MO	48%	488	22%	46%	50%	n/a
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
PA	35%	1,176	31%	37%	42%	31%
MA	34%	476	n/a	35%	42%	37%
CT	29%	362	n/a	21%	47%	37%
NJ	22%	417	n/a	25%	n/a	16%
KY	20%	468	8%	21%	10%	16%
AL	17%	426	n/a	17%	19%	17%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>11,071</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>55%</b>

## Chapter 2

### Work

#### PEOPLE HAVE SUPPORT TO FIND AND MAINTAIN COMMUNITY INTEGRATED EMPLOYMENT.

There are 12 Work indicators measured by the Adult Consumer Survey:

1. The proportion of people who have a job in the community.
2. Of people who have a job in the community, the percent who work in each type of job.
3. The average bi-weekly earnings of people who have jobs in the community.
4. The average number of hours worked bi-weekly by people with jobs in the community.
5. The percent of people earning at or above the state minimum wages.
6. Of people who have a job in the community, the percent who were continuously employed during the previous year.
7. Of people who have a job in the community, the percent who receive vacation and/or sick time benefits.
8. Of people who have a job in the community, the average length of time they have been working at their current job.
9. The proportion of people who have a goal of integrated employment in their individualized service plan.
10. The proportion of people who do not have a job in the community but would like to have one.
11. The proportion of people who go to a day program or have some other daily activity.
12. The proportion of people who do volunteer work.

Tables 2.1 through 2.13 present the results for these 12 Core Indicators.

Results for the indicators are ordered from the highest to the lowest proportion by state; higher proportions are more desirable except where indicated in text. For other results, states are listed alphabetically. Charts 2.1 through 2.13 show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

**OBSERVATION FOR WORK**

Across NCI States 14% of individuals were reported to have a job in the community – states ranged from 1% to 38%. Of those with a job, the majority were in competitive employment (40%), 33% were in individually supported and 27% in group-supported employment. Those in group-supported employment worked, on average, the most hours in a typical two-week period – 27.8, compared to 26.0 in individually-supported and 26.3 in competitive employment – they also earned the lowest hourly wages, \$6.65, compared to \$8.42 in individually-supported and \$8.20 in competitive employment.

The majority of those employed had been working in a community job for at least ten of the past 12 months (82%), and overall, individuals had worked an average of 68 months at their current community job. Twenty-nine percent (29%) of those employed in a community job received benefits (sick or vacation time) at their job.

While nearly half of those who were not employed in the community reported they would like to be (47%), 21% were reported to have community employment as a goal in their service plan. Almost one-third of individuals reported doing volunteer work (32%).

Chart 2.1 Has a job in the community\*




Table 2.1. Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community\*

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
CT	38%	365	0%	28%	67%	44%
ME	25%	309	n/a	25%	19%	n/a
MA	21%	500	n/a	17%	30%	25%
AZ	21%	325	n/a	20%	47%	14%
SC	20%	359	n/a	12%	50%	19%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MI	17%	366	n/a	12%	31%	11%
GA	14%	545	n/a	3%	36%	17%
MEORC	14%	385	n/a	8%	16%	20%
NC	14%	844	4%	21%	24%	12%
OH	13%	462	4%	7%	24%	16%
PA	12%	1,095	3%	7%	26%	17%
NY	12%	2,467	0%	8%	32%	14%
LA	11%	410	0%	8%	17%	11%
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AR	9%	394	0%	6%	19%	6%
MO	8%	494	0%	5%	13%	n/a
KY	7%	470	0%	10%	21%	3%
HI	7%	431	n/a	6%	n/a	10%
IL	7%	337	n/a	4%	36%	5%
NJ	6%	424	n/a	8%	n/a	5%
AL	1%	436	n/a	0%	14%	0%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>11,418</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>14%</b>

\* reported for those who were determined to have a paid community job from Background section

Chart 2.2 Type of job in the community\*




Table 2.2 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community by type of employment support\*

State	Individually-Supported	Group-Supported	Competitive	N
AL	0%	0%	100%	4
AR	19%	8%	73%	26
AZ	27%	32%	41%	44
CT	22%	65%	13%	106
GA	49%	12%	39%	74
HI	27%	8%	65%	26
IL	43%	14%	43%	21
KY	32%	4%	64%	28
LA	20%	40%	40%	35
MA	43%	43%	15%	101
ME	59%	13%	28%	61
MEORC	36%	25%	39%	44
MI	30%	37%	33%	43
MO	37%	43%	20%	30
NC	56%	20%	24%	87
NJ	16%	47%	37%	19
NY	46%	18%	36%	209
OH	40%	32%	28%	50
PA	39%	16%	46%	103
SC	17%	63%	21%	48
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>1,159</b>

\* reported for those who were determined to have a paid community job from Background section

Chart 2.3 Bi-weekly hours by type of community employment




Table 2.3 Average number of bi-weekly hours by type of community employment support

State	Individually-Supported	Group-Supported	Competitive
AL	n/a	n/a	27.0
AR	21.0	40.0	25.6
AZ	30.8	50.2	32.9
CT	32.1	31.4	30.8
GA	31.1	29.1	32.2
HI	33.5	44.0	12.5
IL	33.8	9.7	27.4
KY	13.2	30.0	21.6
LA	36.1	29.6	33.5
MA	20.4	19.8	38.1
ME	11.8	20.0	13.6
MEORC	26.5	22.5	24.1
MI	8.8	31.3	20.4
MO	30.7	39.0	24.8
NC	21.5	21.8	14.1
NJ	28.3	19.6	26.1
NY	31.0	22.2	32.7
OH	31.2	28.8	24.8
PA	31.1	19.8	33.1
SC	21.3	18.7	31.0
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>27.8</b>	<b>26.3</b>

Chart 2.4 Bi-weekly gross wages by type of community employment




Table 2.4. Average bi-weekly gross wages by type of community employment support

State	Individually-Supported	Group-Supported	Competitive
AL	n/a	n/a	258.03
AR	137.81	305.00	190.21
AZ	259.20	286.19	291.57
CT	248.36	167.00	257.89
GA	302.85	245.83	267.16
HI	286.94	319.00	107.46
IL	293.26	119.52	153.73
KY	101.14	140.00	154.43
LA	354.51	175.28	269.38
MA	168.69	98.51	345.20
ME	95.16	80.72	89.76
MEORC	207.05	141.76	185.26
MI	75.94	171.55	159.87
MO	191.22	138.85	193.00
NC	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	198.33	130.71	295.50
NY	271.68	161.44	286.56
OH	251.58	206.84	207.52
PA	307.04	142.09	243.14
SC	230.10	198.00	250.08
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>221.16</b>	<b>179.35</b>	<b>221.36</b>

Chart 2.5 Bi-weekly hourly wage by type of community employment




Table 2.5 Average bi-weekly hourly wage by type of community employment support

State	Individually-Supported	Group-Supported	Competitive
AL	n/a	n/a	7.48
AR	7.38	7.63	7.40
AZ	7.44	5.93	8.78
CT	8.41	6.07	8.52
GA	10.20	7.50	9.92
HI	9.17	7.25	8.90
IL	8.43	7.23	7.18
KY	7.42	4.67	7.17
LA	8.84	5.85	8.40
MA	8.98	5.49	9.71
ME	7.97	6.32	7.65
MEORC	10.18	6.74	7.68
MI	9.63	6.21	8.30
MO	6.01	2.76	7.50
NC	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	7.18	6.94	9.69
NY	8.36	7.89	8.69
OH	8.48	6.94	8.13
PA	8.71	5.27	6.89
SC	8.85	13.01	7.91
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>8.42</b>	<b>6.65</b>	<b>8.20</b>

Chart 2.6 Worked 10 of the past 12 months in community employment




Table 2.6 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in community employment who worked 10 of the past 12 months in community employment

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
MA	92%	103	n/a	87.2%	n/a	96.7%
GA	92%	77	n/a	n/a	n/a	94.5%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
NJ	91%	23	n/a	95.2%	n/a	n/a
LA	91%	45	n/a	n/a	n/a	81.8%
CT	90%	135	n/a	89.5%	93.3%	86.0%
KY	88%	32	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AZ	86%	65	n/a	n/a	85.7%	n/a
ME	85%	73	n/a	91.1%	n/a	n/a
PA	85%	124	n/a	80.0%	86.2%	85.9%
NY	84%	285	n/a	85.1%	91.4%	81.7%
IL	82%	22	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HI	81%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MO	78%	32	n/a	n/a	77.3%	n/a
NC	75%	112	n/a	70.0%	n/a	83.7%
SC	74%	62	n/a	n/a	n/a	85.0%
OH	71%	59	n/a	n/a	65.0%	69.0%
MI	71%	55	n/a	n/a	77.3%	n/a
MEORC	69%	42	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AR	69%	29	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	n/a	4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>1,406</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>85%</b>

Chart 2.7 Length of employment in current community job




Table 2.7 Average length of employment in current job for people who were reported to have a job in the community

State	Average Length (Months)	N
AL	109	4
AR	35	28
AZ	84	47
CT	80	114
GA	70	70
HI	52	26
IL	87	19
KY	51	33
LA	97	44
MA	69	103
ME	58	69
MEORC	40	30
MI	91	44
MO	54	30
NC	53	101
NJ	76	19
NY	66	261
OH	53	58
PA	79	87
SC	60	45
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>1,232</b>

Chart 2.8 Received paid vacation and/or sick time at their job




Table 2.8 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community and received paid vacation and/or sick time at their job

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
CT	63%	104	n/a	50%	68%	71%
AZ	51%	47	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
OH	42%	50	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%
MEORC	39%	33	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	39%	70	n/a	n/a	n/a	34%
NY	37%	242	n/a	35%	39%	39%
MA	37%	97	n/a	43%	n/a	25%
LA	29%	42	n/a	n/a	n/a	32%
ME	28%	74	n/a	26%	n/a	n/a
PA	27%	90	n/a	n/a	33%	23%
KY	25%	24	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MI	22%	50	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MO	19%	26	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	19%	21	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HI	10%	20	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	n/a	18	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	n/a	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
NC	12%	104	n/a	8%	n/a	15%
SC	11%	56	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AR	4%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>1,198</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>35%</b>

Chart 2.9 Job Industry




Table 2.9 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community in the four most common types of employment

State	Food Prep	Cleaning/ Maintenance	Retail	Assembly/ Manufacturing	N
AL	25%	75%	0%	0%	4
AR	23%	30%	17%	3%	30
AZ	22%	17%	11%	6%	63
CT	10%	34%	16%	13%	137
GA	32%	28%	15%	1%	78
HI	22%	22%	11%	4%	27
IL	33%	33%	10%	0%	21
KY	52%	12%	12%	9%	33
LA	23%	39%	20%	2%	44
MA	17%	23%	17%	14%	103
ME	13%	32%	17%	7%	75
MEORC	30%	20%	8%	5%	40
MI	6%	43%	7%	13%	54
MO	16%	39%	3%	6%	31
NC	31%	23%	10%	6%	110
NJ	9%	55%	9%	5%	22
NY	16%	31%	17%	6%	294
OH	23%	26%	8%	16%	62
PA	34%	19%	15%	3%	118
SC	10%	32%	10%	10%	59
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>1,405</b>

Chart 2.10 Wants a job in the community\* (un-collapsed)




Table 2.10 Proportion of people who were reported not to have a job in the community and who reported they would like a job in the community\* (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
SC	64%	192	n/a	64%	n/a	62%
NC	57%	293	n/a	62%	59%	56%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MI	60%	105	n/a	62%	57%	67%
MO	55%	232	n/a	58%	54%	n/a
OH	54%	243	n/a	58%	57%	49%
IL	54%	116	n/a	60%	n/a	44%
ME	52%	111	n/a	53%	n/a	n/a
CT	52%	56	n/a	46%	n/a	n/a
NJ	47%	298	n/a	42%	n/a	58%
GA	47%	283	n/a	40%	75%	45%
HI	46%	171	n/a	n/a	n/a	55%
LA	46%	172	n/a	48%	44%	45%
KY	45%	274	n/a	41%	48%	44%
MA	42%	249	n/a	45%	31%	40%
AL	41%	234	n/a	43%	n/a	35%
MEORC	40%	223	n/a	40%	46%	35%
AR	40%	204	27%	48%	43%	37%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
NY	41%	1,095	n/a	42%	36%	42%
PA	40%	416	n/a	45%	25%	48%
AZ	17%	71	n/a	13%	n/a	18%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>5,038</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>46%</b>

\*reported for those who were determined to not have a community job from Background section

Chart 2.11 Has community employment as a goal in service plan




Table 2.11 Proportion of people who were reported to have community employment as a goal in their service plan

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
CT	33%	390	7%	24%	51%	42%
MA	29%	489	n/a	22%	36%	38%
ME	29%	318	n/a	30%	22%	n/a
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
AZ	26%	371	n/a	23%	47%	20%
LA	26%	414	3%	26%	37%	24%
AR	25%	385	9%	28%	40%	20%
NC	22%	870	6%	28%	50%	23%
MI	22%	402	n/a	18%	37%	18%
NY	22%	2,431	4%	13%	44%	32%
MO	22%	487	6%	17%	26%	n/a
PA	21%	1,147	3%	18%	37%	27%
GA	19%	522	n/a	7%	50%	21%
NJ	18%	414	n/a	21%	n/a	8%
KY	18%	452	0%	17%	32%	14%
SC	17%	389	n/a	12%	35%	18%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
OH	16%	445	2%	11%	21%	20%
MEORC	14%	378	n/a	8%	24%	16%
HI	14%	430	n/a	14%	n/a	19%
IL	13%	343	n/a	13%	27%	12%
AL	9%	436	n/a	10%	19%	5%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>11,513</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>21%</b>

Chart 2.12 Has a day program or regular activity




Table 2.12 Proportion of people who reported going to a day program or regular activity

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AL	94%	247	n/a	90%	95%	99%
NJ	94%	362	n/a	92%	n/a	98%
OH	85%	385	n/a	90%	73%	87%
KY	85%	331	n/a	94%	64%	84%
MEORC	85%	319	n/a	92%	72%	88%
IL	84%	244	n/a	88%	55%	83%
NY	77%	1,794	n/a	88%	40%	75%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
HI	81%	224	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%
GA	80%	389	n/a	91%	55%	79%
SC	79%	332	n/a	85%	49%	83%
MA	79%	410	n/a	80%	53%	88%
ME	74%	234	n/a	72%	48%	n/a
NC	72%	542	n/a	83%	52%	67%
AR	67%	258	63%	98%	58%	55%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
AZ	63%	330	n/a	64%	39%	68%
CT	62%	266	n/a	76%	27%	63%
PA	59%	910	n/a	77%	25%	60%
MI	59%	270	n/a	58%	48%	64%
MO	50%	355	n/a	58%	42%	n/a
LA	48%	282	n/a	69%	42%	38%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>8,484</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>75%</b>

Chart 2.13 Volunteers




Table 2.13 Proportion of people who reported doing volunteer work

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
HI	55%	212	n/a	n/a	n/a	56%
NJ	49%	352	n/a	41%	n/a	75%
GA	43%	380	n/a	40%	40%	45%
NY	43%	1,721	n/a	43%	30%	48%
ME	42%	224	n/a	40%	29%	n/a
OH	41%	316	n/a	40%	29%	49%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MA	36%	394	n/a	38%	23%	41%
MI	36%	264	n/a	33%	34%	38%
NC	32%	520	n/a	26%	33%	34%
AR	29%	242	10%	27%	31%	36%
PA	27%	866	n/a	25%	31%	31%
CT	27%	253	n/a	25%	28%	29%
SC	27%	295	n/a	21%	41%	32%
IL	26%	242	n/a	25%	23%	26%
MEORC	25%	285	n/a	21%	22%	33%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
LA	24%	270	n/a	14%	26%	29%
MO	23%	351	n/a	27%	22%	n/a
AL	17%	236	n/a	17%	20%	15%
AZ	16%	319	n/a	19%	19%	14%
KY	15%	330	n/a	8%	7%	20%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>8,072</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>36%</b>

## Chapter 3

### Self-Directed Services

#### **PEOPLE HAVE AUTHORITY AND ARE SUPPORTED TO DIRECT AND MANAGE THEIR OWN SERVICES.**

There are nine Self-Directed Services indicators measured by the Adult Consumer Survey:

1. The proportion of people who are currently using a self-directed supports option.
2. The proportion of people self-directing who employ their own support workers, and proportion who use “agency of choice.”
3. The proportion of people self-directing who report that someone talked with them about their individual budget/services.
4. The proportion of people self-directing who have help in deciding how to use their individual budget/services.
5. The proportion of people self-directing who report that they can make changes to their individual budget/services if they need to.
6. The proportion of people self-directing who report getting enough help in deciding how to use their budget/services.
7. The proportion of people self-directing who receive enough information about their budget/services that is easy to understand.
8. The proportion of people self-directing whose support workers come when they are supposed to.
9. The proportion of people self-directing who get the help they need to work out problems with their support workers.

Tables 3.1 through 3.9 present the results for these nine Core Indicators. Results for the indicators are ordered from the highest to the lowest proportion by state; higher proportions are more desirable except where indicated in text.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

### **OBSERVATIONS FOR SELF-DETERMINATION**

Across NCI states 7% of individuals were reported to use self-directing supports options – states ranged from 0% to 18%. While the majority of individuals who were self-directing reported someone talked to them about their budget or services (71%) and they have help deciding how to use their budget or services (89%), about one-third (31%) reported they had enough help deciding how to use their budget or services. Four-fifths reported receiving enough information about their budget or services (80%) and 70% reported the information they receive is easy to understand.

Almost 90% of respondents who were self-directing reported support workers come when they are supposed to (89%) and have the help they need to work out problems with their support workers (88%).

Chart 3.1. Uses Self-Directed Supports




Table 3.1 Proportion of people who were reported to be using a self-directed supports option

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
IL	18%	340	n/a	3%	26%	36%
MI	16%	406	n/a	4%	24%	26%
HI	16%	430	n/a	0%	n/a	29%
GA	14%	541	n/a	1%	8%	21%
OH	12%	443	4%	8%	20%	11%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
CT	11%	392	0%	1%	17%	27%
KY	10%	460	0%	1%	12%	22%
AZ	7%	357	n/a	4%	7%	10%
PA	6%	1,180	3%	2%	2%	12%
MEORC	5%	376	n/a	11%	4%	0%
ME	4%	330	n/a	3%	6%	n/a
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
LA	3%	418	0%	2%	3%	4%
SC	3%	395	n/a	2%	3%	2%
NY	3%	2,472	0%	1%	7%	4%
NC	3%	872	2%	1%	2%	4%
MA	2%	502	n/a	0%	8%	1%
MO	1%	499	0%	1%	1%	n/a
NJ	1%	425	n/a	0%	n/a	7%
AR	1%	389	0%	2%	0%	1%
AL	0%	433	n/a	0%	0%	0%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>11,660</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>12%</b>

**Table 3.2 Proportion of people self-directing who reported someone talked with them about their individual budget/services (“yes” responses only)**

State	Overall In State	N
<b>Within Average Range</b>		
MA	100%	7
ME	91%	11
MI	87%	23
IL	86%	22
NY	84%	32
LA	83%	6
OH	77%	31
AZ	67%	15
HI	66%	29
CT	65%	23
MEORC	60%	5
NJ	60%	5
PA	59%	17
KY	53%	19
MO	50%	2
GA	43%	30
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>277</b>

**Table3.3 Proportion of people self-directing who reported they have help in deciding how to use their individual budget/services (“yes” responses only)**

State	Overall In State	N
<b>Within Average Range</b>		
GA	100%	13
IL	100%	22
MA	100%	7
MEORC	100%	3
MI	100%	22
MO	100%	2
NY	96%	25
HI	91%	22
AZ	90%	10
ME	90%	10
OH	83%	24
LA	80%	5
PA	79%	14
CT	78%	18
NJ	75%	4
KY	63%	16
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>217</b>

**Table 3.4 Proportion of people self-directing who reported they can make changes to their individual budget/services if they need to (“yes” responses only)**

State	Overall In State	N
<b>Within Average Range</b>		
LA	100%	5
MO	100%	2
IL	95%	20
ME	92%	12
NY	92%	24
MA	86%	7
GA	86%	14
MI	84%	19
OH	84%	19
CT	83%	18
PA	83%	12
MEORC	67%	3
HI	65%	23
AZ	64%	11
KY	56%	16
NJ	50%	4
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>209</b>

**Table 3.5 Proportion of people self-directing who reported they get enough help in deciding how to use their budget/services (“yes” responses only)**

State	Overall In State	N
<b>Within Average Range</b>		
GA	50%	14
HI	50%	24
NJ	50%	4
IL	44%	18
MI	40%	20
PA	38%	13
OH	38%	21
CT	33%	18
MA	33%	6
ME	33%	12
MEORC	33%	3
KY	25%	16
NY	24%	25
AZ	9%	11
LA	0%	5
MO	0%	2
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>212</b>

**Table 3.6 Proportion of people self-directing who reported they receive information about their budget/services (“yes” responses only)**

State	Overall In State	N
<b>Within Average Range</b>		
MA	100%	6
MEORC	100%	3
MO	100%	2
ME	91%	11
AZ	90%	10
OH	89%	18
MI	85%	20
PA	83%	12
LA	80%	5
NY	80%	25
IL	79%	19
CT	76%	17
NJ	67%	3
GA	64%	14
KY	50%	16
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>		
HI	45%	22
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>203</b>

**Table 3.7 Proportion of people self-directing who report they get enough information about their budget/services and information is easy to understand (“yes” responses only)**

State	Overall In State	N
<b>Within Average Range</b>		
AZ	100%	9
LA	100%	4
MA	100%	5
ME	90%	10
OH	88%	17
NY	86%	21
IL	75%	16
PA	73%	11
CT	71%	14
MEORC	67%	3
GA	60%	10
MI	59%	17
KY	50%	14
HI	50%	16
MO	50%	2
NJ	0%	3
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>172</b>

**Table 3.8 Proportion of people self-directing who reported support workers come when they are supposed to (“yes” responses only)**

State	Overall In State	N
<b>Within Average Range</b>		
AZ	100%	16
LA	100%	7
MA	100%	7
ME	100%	8
MO	100%	2
NC	100%	1
PA	100%	16
MI	96%	25
IL	92%	25
OH	87%	23
NY	86%	29
HI	86%	21
GA	83%	29
CT	81%	21
MEORC	80%	5
NJ	67%	3
KY	64%	11
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>249</b>

**Table 3.9 Proportion of people self-directing who reported who get the help they need to work out problems they have with their support workers (“yes” responses only)**

State	Overall In State	N
<b>Within Average Range</b>		
AZ	100%	17
MA	100%	5
MO	100%	2
NC	100%	1
PA	100%	15
GA	96%	28
IL	95%	21
OH	92%	12
MI	90%	20
ME	89%	9
CT	89%	18
NY	88%	25
HI	84%	19
LA	83%	6
MEORC	67%	3
NJ	67%	3
KY	60%	10
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>214</b>

## Chapter 4

### Community Inclusion

#### PEOPLE HAVE SUPPORT TO PARTICIPATE IN EVERYDAY COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES.

There is one Community Inclusion indicator:

- The proportion of people who regularly participate in integrated activities in their communities.

Seven items from the Adult Consumer Survey were used to measure this indicator.

These items assess how often consumers:

1. Go shopping (in the past month)
2. Go out on errands or appointments (in the past month)
3. Go out for entertainment (in the past month)
4. Go out to eat (in the past month)
5. Go to religious services (in the past month)
6. Go out for exercise (in the past month)
7. Go on vacation (in the past year)

The seven items were risk-adjusted and are presented in Tables 4.1-4.7. Results are ordered from highest to the lowest average (adjusted) number of times individuals in the state participated in the activity.

Results from the Community Inclusion composite scale score, shown in Table 4.8, were produced by adding four of the items: the number of times person went shopping, on errands, for entertainment, and out to eat. The Community Inclusion scale was risk-adjusted.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

### **OBSERVATIONS FOR COMMUNITY INCLUSION**

Across NCI states respondents reported going into the community most frequently for the following activities: exercise (6 times), shopping (3.9 times), and to eat (3.5 times); the average number of times individuals went out for exercise also had the greatest range of averages between states -- 0.9 times to 13.6 times .

Respondents reported going out with less frequency for entertainment (2.3), to religious or spiritual services (1.8), and on vacation (0.7 times in the past year).

**Table 4.1 Number of times people reported they went out shopping in the past month (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
HI	5.8	430
ME	5.8	317
NC	5.3	883
AZ	4.5	351
MI	4.3	408
NY	4.3	2,504
MO	4.2	497
LA	4.2	417
MA	4.2	494
PA	4.1	1,234
CT	4.0	346
GA	3.8	485
AR	3.6	387
AL	3.2	345
IL	2.9	333
MEORC	2.8	307
KY	2.6	471
OH	2.5	273
SC	2.5	402
NJ	2.5	409
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>11,293</b>

**Table 4.2 Number of times people reported they went out on errands in the past month (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
ME	5.6	314
CT	3.6	347
PA	3.5	1,194
LA	3.4	420
MA	3.4	491
MI	3.4	409
NC	3.2	890
MO	3.0	494
HI	2.9	408
AR	2.8	390
NY	2.8	2,494
SC	2.7	404
IL	2.3	334
AZ	2.3	337
GA	2.2	466
NJ	2.1	402
KY	2.0	466
MEORC	2.0	301
AL	1.9	326
OH	1.7	290
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>11,177</b>

**Table 4.3 Number of times people reported they went out for entertainment in the past month (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
AZ	3.5	343
HI	3.1	427
MI	3.1	408
ME	3.0	310
CT	2.9	354
NY	2.8	2,490
LA	2.6	420
MA	2.4	484
MO	2.4	494
NC	2.4	884
AR	2.3	388
PA	2.2	1,195
KY	2.1	471
AL	2.1	334
NJ	2.0	403
GA	1.9	474
IL	1.8	332
SC	1.6	397
MEORC	1.5	310
OH	1.2	318
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>11,236</b>

**Table 4.4 Number of times people reported they went out to eat in the past month (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
MA	5.4	496
NC	4.7	887
HI	4.6	429
ME	4.2	316
CT	3.9	355
MO	3.9	494
AR	3.7	392
LA	3.7	417
GA	3.7	475
NY	3.6	2,496
AL	3.4	340
MI	3.4	410
PA	3.3	1,215
IL	3.2	336
OH	3.0	270
SC	3.0	404
KY	2.5	465
NJ	2.5	401
MEORC	2.4	310
AZ	2.3	344
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>11,252</b>

**Table 4.5 Number of times people reported they went out to religious services in the past month (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
AZ	2.6	355
NC	2.5	892
SC	2.4	398
LA	2.4	413
GA	2.4	475
AR	2.2	386
AL	2.0	362
NJ	1.8	407
MO	1.7	487
HI	1.7	400
MI	1.6	404
IL	1.6	329
NY	1.5	2,478
PA	1.5	1,209
MEORC	1.3	331
KY	1.3	467
CT	1.3	365
OH	1.3	391
MA	1.2	485
ME	1.0	330
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>11,364</b>

**Table 4.6 Number of times people reported they went out for exercise in the past month (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
HI	13.6	429
NC	9.0	889
ME	8.6	315
MI	8.1	410
NY	7.7	2,492
PA	7.5	1,218
AR	7.0	393
AZ	6.6	347
IL	6.3	341
LA	6.1	403
MA	5.6	493
SC	5.5	405
CT	5.2	357
MO	5.2	493
MEORC	4.4	290
GA	3.8	500
AL	2.8	400
NJ	2.6	406
OH	2.4	353
KY	0.9	469
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>11,403</b>

**Table 4.7 Number of times people reported they went on vacation in the past year (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
MA	1.1	487
CT	1.0	359
NY	0.9	2,482
NC	0.9	884
AR	0.8	385
MI	0.8	408
NJ	0.8	407
LA	0.8	414
SC	0.7	401
IL	0.7	333
PA	0.7	1,204
GA	0.7	475
ME	0.6	295
HI	0.6	413
MO	0.5	485
AZ	0.5	349
AL	0.5	381
MEORC	0.5	337
OH	0.5	366
KY	0.2	470
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>11,335</b>

**Table 4.8 Community Inclusion scale score (Adjusted Variable)**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)
ME	19.1	292
HI	16.4	401
NC	15.6	846
MA	15.5	476
CT	14.8	300
MI	14.3	385
LA	14.0	406
NY	13.6	2,397
MO	13.6	484
PA	13.3	1,096
AZ	12.9	305
AR	12.6	382
GA	11.9	444
AL	10.8	310
IL	10.3	311
SC	9.9	385
KY	9.2	459
NJ	9.2	380
MEORC	8.9	249
OH	8.9	185
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>12.7</b>	<b>10,493</b>

## Chapter 5

### Relationships

#### PEOPLE HAVE FRIENDS AND RELATIONSHIPS.

There are six Relationship indicators:

1. The proportion of people who have friends and caring relationships with people other than support staff and family members.
2. The proportion of people who have a close friend, someone they can talk to about personal things.
3. The proportion of people who are able to see their (a) families and (b) friends whenever they want.
4. The proportion of people who feel lonely.
5. The proportion of people who can go on a date if they want to.
6. The proportion of people who report getting to help others.

Tables 5.1 through 5.7 present the results for these six Core Indicators. Results for the indicators are ordered from the highest to the lowest proportion by state; higher proportions are more desirable except where indicated in text.. Charts 5.1 through 5.7 show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

**OBSERVATIONS FOR RELATIONSHIPS**

Seventy percent (70%) of individuals across states reported they have friends who are not staff or family and, of all those who reported they had friends, about three-quarters reported they had a best friend (76%). While 79% of respondents who had family they saw and 79% of respondents had friends they saw, between 64% and 88% (states range) of those stated they had the support needed to see their family when they wanted to, and between 48% and 89% (states range) had support needed to see their friends whenever they wanted to. More than four-fifths of respondents reported they could go on a date if they wanted to (83%).

Two indicators showed a relatively large range of outcomes between states: between 21% and 72% reported feeling lonely at least half the time (40% overall average); and between 20% and 94% reported they could help others if they wanted (81% overall average).

Chart 5.1 Has friends (un-collapsed)




Table 5.1 Proportion of people who reported they have friends who are not staff or family members (“yes, not staff or family” only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
MEORC	83%	308	n/a	84%	84%	81%
AL	82%	247	n/a	72%	85%	93%
ME	80%	240	n/a	77%	86%	n/a
GA	79%	380	n/a	76%	92%	79%
NC	77%	538	n/a	75%	80%	79%
NJ	76%	360	n/a	76%	n/a	78%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
PA	75%	893	n/a	69%	84%	76%
HI	75%	224	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%
MA	74%	401	n/a	70%	82%	70%
AR	74%	254	91%	72%	72%	69%
IL	74%	244	n/a	71%	86%	74%
MO	73%	347	n/a	71%	75%	n/a
CT	71%	263	n/a	64%	72%	78%
OH	71%	376	n/a	61%	81%	74%
LA	71%	282	n/a	75%	65%	70%
NY	70%	1,769	n/a	67%	79%	70%
MI	68%	272	n/a	62%	74%	67%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
SC	58%	329	n/a	56%	79%	56%
AZ	40%	321	n/a	41%	67%	29%
KY	33%	330	n/a	25%	41%	39%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>8,378</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>70%</b>

Chart 5.2 Has a best friend (un-collapsed)




Table 5.2 Proportion of people who reported they have a best friend (may be staff or family)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
SC	87%	320	n/a	86%	92%	91%
OH	84%	344	n/a	86%	86%	84%
AR	84%	249	75%	96%	79%	82%
HI	82%	211	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
MA	82%	388	n/a	77%	87%	86%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
IL	82%	240	n/a	76%	91%	86%
PA	82%	850	n/a	81%	81%	84%
CT	81%	253	n/a	81%	72%	86%
ME	80%	223	n/a	80%	72%	n/a
NJ	79%	340	n/a	79%	n/a	83%
MO	77%	339	n/a	75%	78%	n/a
MEORC	77%	287	n/a	75%	74%	80%
LA	76%	275	n/a	77%	71%	76%
GA	75%	364	n/a	68%	78%	76%
NC	75%	523	n/a	74%	89%	73%
NY	74%	1,704	n/a	77%	75%	72%
MI	73%	258	n/a	77%	74%	73%
AL	69%	244	n/a	67%	55%	75%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
KY	50%	328	n/a	43%	48%	52%
AZ	45%	314	n/a	45%	76%	35%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>8,054</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>76%</b>

Chart 5.3 Able to see family (un-collapsed)




Table 5.3 Proportion of people who reported they have family they see and the support needed to see their family when they want to (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
OH	88%	341	n/a	89%	72%	96%
MEORC	88%	255	n/a	86%	81%	94%
LA	88%	269	n/a	79%	84%	96%
AZ	86%	299	n/a	73%	71%	95%
PA	85%	769	n/a	79%	79%	92%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
NY	82%	1,547	n/a	78%	84%	89%
MA	82%	348	n/a	81%	76%	93%
AR	81%	237	95%	79%	78%	87%
CT	81%	234	n/a	74%	80%	91%
GA	81%	356	n/a	62%	83%	88%
IL	80%	233	n/a	76%	81%	87%
MO	79%	304	n/a	76%	82%	n/a
SC	78%	304	n/a	69%	83%	89%
ME	76%	222	n/a	73%	83%	n/a
NC	76%	508	n/a	64%	84%	86%
MI	76%	237	n/a	71%	76%	80%
NJ	73%	314	n/a	67%	n/a	97%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
AL	67%	229	n/a	44%	n/a	92%
HI	64%	173	n/a	n/a	n/a	64%
KY	64%	295	n/a	43%	58%	88%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>7,474</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>89%</b>

Chart 5.4 Can see friends (un-collapsed)




Table 5.4 Proportion of people who reported they have friends (may be staff or family) and the support needed to see their friends when they want to (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AR	89%	244	100%	93%	90%	79%
PA	89%	787	n/a	89%	96%	87%
OH	88%	346	n/a	88%	83%	90%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
AZ	84%	280	n/a	80%	84%	85%
IL	84%	226	n/a	88%	91%	79%
MO	84%	306	n/a	83%	85%	n/a
ME	84%	220	n/a	81%	86%	n/a
MEORC	83%	272	n/a	87%	86%	76%
LA	82%	241	n/a	87%	78%	79%
NY	80%	1,530	n/a	83%	85%	77%
CT	80%	237	n/a	76%	85%	83%
NJ	78%	310	n/a	76%	n/a	85%
MA	78%	362	n/a	87%	74%	75%
AL	76%	225	n/a	67%	n/a	86%
GA	75%	356	n/a	72%	86%	72%
NC	75%	469	n/a	69%	76%	77%
SC	75%	307	n/a	71%	82%	72%
MI	72%	241	n/a	73%	81%	64%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
HI	66%	197	n/a	n/a	n/a	64%
KY	48%	315	n/a	44%	52%	46%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>7,471</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>77%</b>

Chart 5.5 Can date (un-collapsed)




Table 5.5 Proportion of people who reported they can go on a date if they want to or can date with some restriction (“yes, can date” and “yes, can date with some restriction or rules” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
MEORC	93%	218	n/a	95%	100%	88%
AR	92%	203	n/a	86%	96%	87%
KY	89%	226	n/a	89%	100%	86%
OH	89%	315	n/a	93%	93%	85%
GA	88%	327	n/a	91%	97%	86%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
IL	89%	202	n/a	91%	100%	81%
MA	87%	299	n/a	87%	96%	82%
MO	87%	280	n/a	86%	88%	n/a
ME	86%	166	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a
NC	84%	448	n/a	87%	90%	82%
PA	84%	614	n/a	87%	87%	82%
AL	84%	130	n/a	79%	n/a	89%
SC	83%	267	n/a	89%	89%	70%
NJ	82%	265	n/a	85%	n/a	79%
CT	79%	199	n/a	85%	83%	74%
NY	78%	1,254	n/a	77%	92%	74%
LA	77%	209	n/a	72%	94%	73%
MI	77%	216	n/a	79%	89%	68%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
HI	72%	169	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%
AZ	62%	269	n/a	64%	77%	58%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>6,276</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>79%</b>

Chart 5.6 Feels lonely\*\* (un-collapsed)




Table 5.6 Proportion of people who reported they feel lonely at least half the time\*\* (“yes” and “sometimes” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
KY	72%	326	n/a	64%	90%	74%
SC	51%	312	n/a	59%	47%	45%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
AR	45%	245	33%	46%	44%	50%
NC	44%	516	n/a	54%	32%	42%
MI	44%	258	n/a	42%	50%	37%
HI	44%	211	n/a	n/a	n/a	43%
MA	43%	381	n/a	47%	44%	41%
GA	41%	372	n/a	47%	29%	39%
AL	40%	234	n/a	42%	60%	32%
PA	40%	831	n/a	40%	50%	32%
IL	40%	235	n/a	36%	64%	37%
ME	39%	224	n/a	40%	33%	n/a
NJ	39%	342	n/a	39%	n/a	37%
OH	38%	349	n/a	45%	37%	32%
MO	35%	340	n/a	51%	29%	n/a
MEORC	35%	303	n/a	38%	30%	32%
CT	35%	246	n/a	36%	40%	30%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
NY	35%	1,701	n/a	34%	39%	35%
LA	25%	265	n/a	18%	31%	24%
AZ	21%	316	n/a	28%	34%	15%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>8,007</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>38%</b>

\*\* 'No' responses are the more desired outcome, meaning fewer people reported feeling lonely.

Chart 5.7 Helps other people (un-collapsed)




Table 5.7 Proportion of people who reported they can help other people if they want to at least some of the time (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
OH	94%	358	n/a	94%	92%	96%
NJ	93%	342	n/a	92%	n/a	97%
GA	91%	383	n/a	86%	97%	91%
AL	91%	240	n/a	85%	95%	97%
MO	91%	341	n/a	90%	91%	n/a
CT	90%	250	n/a	90%	85%	94%
MA	90%	382	n/a	87%	89%	93%
MEORC	89%	265	n/a	85%	89%	90%
PA	88%	857	n/a	89%	88%	91%
MI	88%	259	n/a	93%	86%	85%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
LA	85%	278	n/a	83%	86%	85%
NY	83%	1,743	n/a	80%	88%	85%
NC	83%	528	n/a	83%	86%	83%
AR	83%	252	86%	83%	87%	75%
HI	80%	213	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
IL	79%	238	n/a	75%	86%	82%
SC	79%	312	n/a	82%	87%	75%
ME	73%	224	n/a	74%	76%	n/a
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
AZ	55%	315	n/a	57%	73%	51%
KY	20%	325	n/a	20%	14%	16%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>8,105</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>82%</b>

## Chapter 6

### Satisfaction

#### **PEOPLE ARE SATISFIED WITH THE SERVICES AND SUPPORTS THEY RECEIVE.**

There are six Satisfaction indicators:

1. The proportion of people satisfied with where they live.
2. The proportion of people who would like to live somewhere else.
3. The proportion of people who are satisfied with their job.
4. The proportion of people who have a community job who would like to work somewhere else.
5. The proportion of people who are satisfied with their day program or other daily activity.
6. The proportion of people who go to a day program or have other daily activity who would like to go somewhere else or do something else during the day.

Tables 6.1 through 6.7 present the results for these six Core Indicators. Results for the indicators are ordered from the highest to the lowest proportion by state; higher proportions are more desirable except where indicated in text.. Charts 6.1 through 6.7 show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

### **OBSERVATIONS FOR SATISFACTION**

Across NCI states, the majority of individuals indicated they like their home (90%), neighborhood (88%), where they work (92%), and where they go during the day (91%). A little more than a quarter of respondents reported they wanted a different place to live (27%) or work (26%), and a slightly higher percentage of people who went to a day program or activity reported they wanted to do something else during the day (33%).

Chart 6.1 Likes home (un-collapsed)




Table 6.1 Proportion of people who reported they like their home (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AZ	95%	331	n/a	88%	90%	99%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
NC	93%	548	n/a	90%	93%	97%
GA	92%	389	n/a	90%	82%	94%
KY	92%	330	n/a	87%	89%	97%
OH	91%	384	n/a	85%	92%	97%
AR	91%	253	96%	89%	89%	94%
IL	91%	247	n/a	85%	95%	97%
MA	91%	407	n/a	88%	90%	95%
NY	90%	1,782	n/a	88%	86%	95%
LA	90%	283	n/a	82%	85%	97%
PA	90%	917	n/a	89%	84%	97%
CT	90%	269	n/a	87%	84%	97%
ME	89%	242	n/a	87%	93%	n/a
AL	89%	246	n/a	82%	90%	97%
MO	88%	352	n/a	86%	90%	n/a
NJ	88%	360	n/a	84%	n/a	98%
HI	88%	227	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%
MI	88%	276	n/a	84%	93%	90%
MEORC	86%	317	n/a	88%	80%	92%
SC	85%	333	n/a	78%	92%	92%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>8,493</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>95%</b>

Chart 6.2 Likes neighborhood (un-collapsed)




Table 6.2 Proportion of people who reported they like their neighborhood (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
ME	92%	223	n/a	91%	86%	n/a
NJ	92%	354	n/a	91%	n/a	95%
LA	91%	277	n/a	89%	80%	96%
AZ	90%	315	n/a	86%	85%	94%
MA	90%	400	n/a	89%	81%	96%
NC	90%	534	n/a	88%	81%	93%
PA	89%	871	n/a	92%	79%	91%
AR	89%	249	90%	98%	80%	92%
NY	89%	1,748	n/a	90%	84%	89%
MO	88%	343	n/a	84%	90%	n/a
CT	88%	262	n/a	88%	80%	90%
AL	87%	242	n/a	82%	95%	93%
OH	87%	359	n/a	84%	82%	90%
HI	87%	217	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%
GA	87%	377	n/a	88%	82%	87%
MEORC	86%	308	n/a	87%	83%	89%
MI	85%	264	n/a	89%	88%	79%
IL	85%	241	n/a	84%	73%	90%
SC	83%	324	n/a	77%	79%	90%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
KY	81%	329	n/a	70%	86%	87%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>8,237</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>91%</b>

Chart 6.3 Wants to live somewhere else (un-collapsed)




Table 6.3 Proportion of people who reported they would like to live somewhere else (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
SC	39%	322	n/a	48%	34%	31%
OH	35%	349	n/a	43%	28%	32%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MI	34%	271	n/a	46%	28%	24%
NJ	34%	356	n/a	33%	n/a	37%
MO	31%	348	n/a	33%	27%	n/a
MA	30%	398	n/a	32%	31%	26%
AR	29%	255	26%	41%	32%	19%
LA	29%	279	n/a	41%	37%	14%
HI	29%	217	n/a	n/a	n/a	29%
NC	28%	539	n/a	33%	28%	24%
CT	28%	258	n/a	33%	24%	26%
PA	26%	881	n/a	29%	34%	20%
ME	25%	226	n/a	29%	28%	n/a
MEORC	23%	302	n/a	28%	25%	17%
IL	23%	242	n/a	28%	14%	19%
GA	22%	383	n/a	20%	23%	22%
AL	21%	246	n/a	27%	25%	13%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
NY	24%	1,746	n/a	26%	33%	20%
KY	12%	330	n/a	16%	17%	7%
AZ	11%	323	n/a	17%	17%	8%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>8,271</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>22%</b>

Chart 6.4. Likes work\* (un-collapsed)




Table 6.4 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community and who reported they like where they work (“yes” responses only)\*

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
HI	100%	29	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
LA	97%	38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KY	96%	28	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	96%	25	n/a	95%	n/a	n/a
MA	95%	97	n/a	97%	n/a	100%
PA	94%	101	n/a	n/a	91%	93%
ME	94%	65	n/a	98%	n/a	n/a
GA	93%	76	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%
AR	93%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MO	93%	40	n/a	n/a	93%	n/a
MEORC	92%	38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OH	90%	39	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AZ	88%	52	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NY	88%	260	n/a	91%	89%	86%
MI	88%	50	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a
CT	87%	118	n/a	87%	76%	91%
SC	87%	60	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NC	86%	73	n/a	83%	n/a	93%
AL	n/a	4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	n/a	18	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>1,238</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>93%</b>

\* reported for those who were determined to have a paid community job from Background section

Chart 6.5 Wants to work somewhere else\* (un-collapsed)




Table 6.5 Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community and who reported they want to work somewhere else\* (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
SC	48%	60	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NY	36%	258	n/a	38%	29%	38%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MI	40%	50	n/a	n/a	30%	n/a
NC	39%	72	n/a	50%	n/a	29%
MO	36%	39	n/a	n/a	37%	
CT	32%	117	n/a	53%	25%	30%
MA	32%	95	n/a	38%	25%	29%
OH	29%	38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AR	26%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AZ	22%	50	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HI	21%	29	n/a	n/a	n/a	25%
MEORC	19%	36	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
PA	18%	99	n/a	n/a	9%	20%
GA	17%	72	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%
ME	16%	63	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a
LA	13%	38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	12%	25	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a
AL	n/a	4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	n/a	18	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
KY	7%	29	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>1,219</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>27%</b>

\* reported for those who were determined to have a paid community job from Background section

Chart 6.6 Likes day program or activity (un-collapsed)




Table 6.6 Proportion of people who reported they attend a day program or activity and like their day program or activity (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	In Institution	In Community-Based	In Individual Home	In Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
ME	95%	172	n/a	95%	n/a	n/a
GA	95%	307	n/a	97%	95%	95%
NC	95%	386	n/a	95%	96%	92%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
HI	94%	180	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%
PA	93%	522	n/a	94%	87%	93%
MI	92%	157	n/a	93%	91%	93%
MO	92%	177	n/a	93%	91%	n/a
OH	92%	325	n/a	89%	87%	97%
AZ	91%	187	n/a	90%	n/a	90%
NY	91%	1,359	n/a	91%	86%	92%
KY	90%	281	n/a	93%	n/a	91%
AR	90%	172	n/a	91%	88%	89%
IL	89%	199	n/a	85%	n/a	99%
LA	89%	129	n/a	91%	83%	94%
NJ	89%	339	n/a	88%	n/a	92%
MEORC	88%	269	n/a	93%	79%	89%
MA	87%	320	n/a	84%	85%	91%
CT	87%	158	n/a	89%	n/a	87%
AL	86%	231	n/a	79%	n/a	94%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
SC	85%	259	n/a	83%	n/a	88%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>6,129</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>92%</b>

Chart 6.7 Wants to go or do something different during the day\*\* (un-collapsed)




Table 6.7 Proportion of people who reported they attend a day program or activity and want to go or do something different during the day (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
SC	48%	250	n/a	51%	n/a	41%
OH	42%	288	n/a	42%	43%	38%
NC	40%	364	n/a	43%	30%	44%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
HI	43%	169	n/a	n/a	n/a	47%
AR	42%	170	n/a	47%	42%	33%
MI	39%	146	n/a	41%	60%	23%
NJ	39%	333	n/a	35%	n/a	47%
MO	37%	166	n/a	39%	36%	n/a
CT	36%	149	n/a	48%	n/a	25%
MA	36%	304	n/a	33%	44%	36%
LA	35%	124	n/a	36%	29%	29%
IL	32%	192	n/a	35%	n/a	26%
AL	30%	230	n/a	36%	n/a	21%
PA	29%	487	n/a	35%	36%	23%
ME	27%	166	n/a	29%	n/a	n/a
GA	26%	295	n/a	21%	45%	26%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
NY	28%	1,315	n/a	27%	27%	29%
MEORC	21%	254	n/a	17%	25%	22%
AZ	18%	175	n/a	19%	n/a	19%
KY	15%	279	n/a	10%	n/a	16%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>5,856</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>30%</b>

## Chapter 7

### Service Coordination

#### **SERVICE COORDINATORS ARE ACCESSIBLE, RESPONSIVE, AND SUPPORT THE PERSON'S PARTICIPATION IN SERVICE PLANNING.**

There are five Service Coordination indicators:

1. The proportion of people who have met their service coordinators.
2. The proportion of people reporting that their service coordinators ask them what they want.
3. The proportion of people reporting that service coordinators help them get what they want.
4. The proportion of people who report that their service coordinator calls them back right away.
5. The proportion of people who report that they helped make their service plan.

Tables 7.1 through 7.5 present the results for these five Core Indicators. Results for the indicators are ordered from the highest to the lowest proportion by state; higher proportions are more desirable except where indicated in text. Charts 7.1 through 7.5 show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

### **OBSERVATIONS FOR SERVICE COORDINATION**

Nearly all respondents reported they met their case manager/service coordinator (94%). Across states, almost nine-tenths reported their service coordinator/case manager asks them what they want (87%) and helps get what they need (87%). A lower percentage reported their service coordinator/case manager calls back right away (74%); this question also had a large range of outcomes by state – between 52% and 97%.

The majority of respondents indicated they helped make their service plan (86%, states ranged between 78% and 98%).

Chart 7.1 Met service coordinator/case manager (un-collapsed)




Table 7.1 Proportion of people who reported they met their service coordinator/case manager (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
MEORC	98%	304	n/a	96%	99%	100%
AR	98%	251	96%	96%	99%	100%
ME	98%	234	n/a	99%	100%	n/a
NY	97%	1,736	n/a	97%	97%	97%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
IL	96%	240	n/a	97%	100%	94%
SC	96%	322	n/a	96%	100%	95%
MA	96%	398	n/a	98%	97%	91%
MI	95%	270	n/a	96%	95%	96%
MO	94%	350	n/a	91%	95%	n/a
AZ	94%	324	n/a	93%	90%	96%
AL	94%	237	n/a	91%	95%	96%
KY	93%	332	n/a	94%	90%	95%
PA	93%	859	n/a	97%	92%	93%
NC	93%	505	n/a	93%	92%	92%
GA	93%	381	n/a	95%	97%	91%
LA	93%	243	n/a	97%	92%	91%
OH	92%	338	n/a	91%	97%	91%
HI	92%	213	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%
CT	91%	262	n/a	82%	100%	95%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
NJ	87%	352	n/a	90%	n/a	76%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>8,151</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>93%</b>

Chart 7.2 Service coordinator/case manager asks what person wants (un-collapsed)




Table 7.2 Proportion of people who reported their service coordinator/case manager asks them what they want (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AL	97%	227	n/a	95%	n/a	100%
IL	93%	235	n/a	96%	91%	89%
NY	91%	1,662	n/a	90%	87%	93%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
LA	90%	226	n/a	97%	95%	87%
AR	90%	245	95%	87%	88%	91%
OH	89%	288	n/a	89%	82%	94%
AZ	88%	303	n/a	86%	78%	92%
NJ	87%	302	n/a	88%	n/a	80%
HI	87%	190	n/a	n/a	n/a	94%
NC	87%	450	n/a	83%	96%	86%
MO	87%	327	n/a	83%	89%	n/a
PA	86%	765	n/a	88%	81%	89%
MEORC	86%	271	n/a	88%	82%	89%
KY	85%	327	n/a	84%	86%	87%
SC	85%	298	n/a	85%	87%	86%
MA	85%	365	n/a	82%	88%	87%
MI	85%	252	n/a	83%	92%	80%
ME	84%	211	n/a	85%	89%	n/a
CT	83%	238	n/a	82%	80%	87%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
GA	80%	348	n/a	82%	77%	80%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>7,530</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>88%</b>

Chart 7.3 Service coordinator/case manager helps get what person needs (un-collapsed)




Table 7.3 Proportion of people who reported their service coordinator/case manager helps them get what they need (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AL	95%	229	n/a	93%	n/a	97%
NY	92%	1,612	n/a	93%	90%	91%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MEORC	92%	257	n/a	92%	90%	93%
OH	91%	297	n/a	91%	87%	94%
AR	90%	242	100%	92%	84%	90%
IL	90%	230	n/a	92%	86%	89%
AZ	90%	298	n/a	87%	82%	94%
CT	88%	224	n/a	84%	90%	90%
PA	88%	738	n/a	85%	85%	89%
LA	87%	213	n/a	97%	92%	81%
MO	87%	297	n/a	75%	92%	n/a
ME	86%	221	n/a	90%	79%	n/a
HI	86%	180	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%
SC	85%	296	n/a	88%	87%	82%
MA	85%	333	n/a	86%	83%	84%
NC	85%	423	n/a	85%	78%	85%
NJ	84%	290	n/a	85%	n/a	84%
KY	84%	322	n/a	86%	82%	84%
MI	83%	246	n/a	80%	84%	79%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
GA	76%	322	n/a	69%	81%	77%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>7,270</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>87%</b>

Chart 7.4 Service coordinator/case manager calls person back right away (un-collapsed)




Table 7.4 Proportion of people who reported their service coordinator/case manager calls them back right away (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AL	97%	121	n/a	92%	n/a	100%
LA	87%	207	n/a	97%	85%	84%
AZ	87%	269	n/a	82%	73%	94%
SC	84%	178	n/a	91%	55%	91%
KY	81%	323	n/a	81%	79%	84%
PA	80%	455	n/a	89%	71%	80%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MO	79%	148	n/a	71%	83%	n/a
IL	79%	183	n/a	80%	70%	79%
NC	79%	266	n/a	84%	65%	81%
CT	78%	157	n/a	81%	74%	77%
NY	77%	1,315	n/a	76%	76%	80%
AR	76%	179	n/a	79%	72%	74%
MEORC	68%	145	n/a	61%	66%	76%
MA	67%	227	n/a	67%	72%	76%
GA	67%	257	n/a	65%	65%	69%
MI	67%	203	n/a	68%	63%	69%
HI	60%	95	n/a	n/a	n/a	58%
NJ	57%	63	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
ME	60%	160	n/a	58%	67%	n/a
OH	52%	163	n/a	55%	49%	56%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>5,114</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>78%</b>

Chart 7.5 Helped make service plan (un-collapsed)




Table 7.5 Proportion of people who reported they helped make their service plan (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AL	98%	232	n/a	96%	100%	100%
NJ	96%	335	n/a	95%	n/a	96%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
IL	90%	223	n/a	91%	95%	88%
MA	90%	380	n/a	88%	93%	91%
AR	88%	227	n/a	94%	88%	83%
MO	88%	323	n/a	91%	87%	n/a
NC	87%	444	n/a	88%	87%	87%
KY	87%	312	n/a	88%	93%	89%
LA	87%	204	n/a	87%	87%	86%
MEORC	86%	278	n/a	86%	87%	87%
GA	86%	355	n/a	88%	94%	85%
NY	85%	1,536	n/a	83%	88%	87%
ME	85%	192	n/a	83%	88%	100%
PA	85%	599	n/a	83%	83%	86%
AZ	83%	274	n/a	78%	79%	87%
OH	82%	240	n/a	72%	91%	84%
HI	80%	188	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
SC	80%	289	n/a	84%	83%	72%
MI	79%	230	n/a	75%	85%	81%
CT	78%	212	n/a	79%	75%	82%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>7,073</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>87%</b>

## Chapter 8

### Access

#### **PUBLICLY-FUNDED SERVICES ARE READILY AVAILABLE TO INDIVIDUALS WHO NEED AND QUALIFY FOR THEM.**

There are four Access indicators:

1. The proportion of people who report having adequate transportation when they want to go somewhere.
2. The proportion of people who use different types of transportation.
3. The rate at which people report getting the services they need.
4. The rate at which people feel that their staff have adequate training.

Tables 8.1 through 8.4 present the results for these four Core Indicators Results for the indicators are ordered from the highest to the lowest proportion by state; higher proportions are more desirable except where indicated in text.. Table 8.3 presents simple proportions for the modes of transportation. Charts 8.1 through 8.4 show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

### **OBSERVATIONS FOR ACCESS**

Across NCI states, over nine-tenths of respondents reported their staff have adequate training to meet their needs (92%). Over four-fifths of individuals reported they always have access to needed services (83%) and transportation (84%). Results by states ranged between 65% and 94% for those who had access to needed services and 61% and 93% for those who had access to transportation.

The most typical modes of transportation were rides from staff in a provider vehicle (51%), rides from family or friends (46%), and rides from staff in staff's car (34%).

Chart 8.1 Gets services needed (un-collapsed)




Table 8.1 Proportion of people who report getting the services they need (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
ME	94%	338	n/a	94%	97%	86%
MEORC	94%	366	n/a	95%	95%	92%
NJ	92%	414	n/a	93%	n/a	93%
IL	92%	335	n/a	95%	86%	88%
OH	91%	446	98%	89%	88%	91%
KY	89%	471	96%	89%	86%	88%
MO	89%	496	90%	91%	86%	n/a
AR	88%	390	99%	89%	87%	80%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
AL	87%	431	n/a	83%	81%	96%
CT	84%	369	100%	94%	79%	71%
AZ	84%	342	n/a	79%	81%	85%
NY	83%	2,484	90%	91%	73%	71%
PA	83%	1,241	86%	84%	83%	80%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
GA	77%	519	n/a	78%	85%	76%
MI	75%	393	n/a	79%	81%	64%
SC	74%	400	n/a	81%	66%	66%
MA	73%	491	n/a	79%	63%	65%
LA	71%	395	96%	91%	71%	58%
NC	71%	885	98%	80%	68%	57%
HI	65%	426	n/a	70%	n/a	56%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>11,632</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>77%</b>

Chart 8.2 Has a way to get places (un-collapsed)




Table 8.2 Proportion of people who reported they always they have a way to get places when they want to go somewhere (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
MO	93%	342	n/a	93%	94%	n/a
AR	90%	250	90%	88%	93%	89%
ME	90%	237	n/a	91%	87%	n/a
KY	90%	317	n/a	89%	93%	91%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
OH	88%	349	n/a	85%	94%	90%
HI	88%	211	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
PA	87%	859	n/a	90%	79%	90%
MEORC	87%	293	n/a	87%	87%	86%
NJ	85%	348	n/a	86%	n/a	84%
LA	85%	279	n/a	82%	84%	86%
NY	85%	1,750	n/a	85%	83%	84%
IL	84%	239	n/a	88%	86%	79%
CT	81%	259	n/a	89%	80%	79%
MI	81%	263	n/a	87%	82%	76%
NC	81%	515	n/a	75%	74%	87%
AZ	81%	324	n/a	76%	71%	85%
MA	80%	393	n/a	87%	74%	78%
SC	77%	319	n/a	75%	71%	80%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
GA	77%	376	n/a	72%	84%	75%
AL	61%	241	n/a	43%	n/a	79%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>8,164</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>84%</b>

Chart 8.3 Types of transportation (multiple responses allowed)




Table 8.3 Proportion of people who use various types of transportation (multiple responses allowed)

State	Ride From Staff In Provider Vehicle	Specialized Transportation	Ride From Staff In Staff's Car	Family and Friends	Public Transportation	Transfers Self
AL	50%	1%	13%	53%	0%	6%
AR	49%	2%	67%	45%	4%	12%
AZ	48%	8%	23%	68%	12%	11%
CT	59%	7%	35%	45%	15%	20%
GA	62%	11%	36%	68%	9%	11%
HI	32%	26%	42%	54%	18%	32%
IL	54%	15%	14%	36%	18%	15%
KY	50%	10%	49%	55%	13%	2%
LA	41%	4%	41%	58%	5%	4%
MA	62%	23%	49%	52%	18%	25%
ME	63%	5%	50%	30%	16%	19%
MEORC	33%	1%	44%	41%	9%	12%
MI	43%	17%	37%	54%	32%	32%
MO	35%	4%	69%	15%	4%	10%
NC	40%	7%	48%	63%	11%	16%
NJ	80%	4%	4%	27%	5%	3%
NY	55%	20%	16%	40%	23%	25%
OH	61%	1%	17%	26%	6%	9%
PA	22%	2%	11%	40%	3%	21%
SC	77%	1%	10%	57%	8%	13%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>15%</b>

Chart 8.4 Staff have adequate training (un-collapsed)




Table 8.4 Proportion of people who reported their staff have adequate training to meet their needs (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
PA	95%	901	97%	97%	96%	93%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
AR	95%	311	94%	93%	93%	98%
LA	95%	348	100%	96%	96%	93%
AL	95%	337	n/a	93%	100%	96%
OH	95%	446	100%	94%	90%	96%
AZ	94%	315	n/a	97%	91%	93%
MEORC	94%	342	n/a	94%	92%	95%
NJ	93%	375	n/a	92%	n/a	96%
IL	93%	283	n/a	90%	n/a	99%
ME	93%	297	n/a	92%	94%	n/a
NY	92%	2,134	95%	94%	84%	90%
CT	91%	320	100%	96%	88%	84%
NC	91%	790	98%	90%	92%	89%
MO	91%	323	n/a	93%	91%	n/a
MA	91%	438	n/a	92%	92%	88%
GA	91%	453	n/a	91%	100%	89%
HI	91%	321	n/a	93%	n/a	86%
SC	90%	372	n/a	91%	95%	87%
MI	90%	361	n/a	88%	92%	87%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
KY	85%	307	n/a	83%	85%	88%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>9,774</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>92%</b>

## Chapter 9

### Health

#### PEOPLE SECURE NEEDED HEALTH SERVICES.

The Health indicators are collected with the Background Information section of the Adult Consumer Survey:

1. The proportion of people who had a complete annual physical exam in the past year.
2. The proportion of women 18 and over who had a Pap test in the past 3 years.
3. The proportion of people who had a routine dental exam in the past year.
4. The proportion of people described as having poor health.
5. The proportion of people who have a primary care doctor.
6. The proportion of people who had a vision screening with the past year.
7. The proportion of people who had a hearing test within the past five years.
8. The proportion of people who had a flu vaccination within the past year.
9. The proportion of people who have ever had a vaccination for pneumonia.
10. The proportion of women over 40 who had a mammogram within the past two years.
11. The proportion of men over 50 who had a PSA test within the past year.
12. The proportion of people age 50 and older who had a screening for colorectal cancer within the past year.

Tables 9.1 through 9.12 present the results for these 12 Core Indicators. Results for the indicators are ordered from the highest to the lowest proportion by state; higher proportions are more desirable except where indicated in text. For the indicator describing people being in poor health, results are ordered from lowest to highest proportion (lower proportions are more desirable).

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

**PLEASE NOTE:** Unlike reports prior to 2009-2010, “DON’T KNOW” responses were not included in the denominator.

**OBSERVATIONS FOR HEALTH**

Across NCI states, a large majority of respondents were reported to have a primary care physician (95%) and an annual physical exam in the past year (90%). Four-fifths were reported to have had a dental exam in the past year (80%), while 60% were reported to have had an eye exam in the past year (states ranged between 36% and 83%). About two-thirds (67%) had a hearing test in the past five years (states ranged between 48% and 89%).

The majority of women were reported to have had a pap test in the past three years (72%) and 81% of women 40 or older had a mammogram in the past two years. Just over half of males 50 or older had a PSA test in the past year (52%) and 20% of individuals 50 or older had a colorectal cancer screening in the past year.

Just over three-quarters of individuals were reported to have had a flu vaccination in the past year (77%); 40% had a pneumonia vaccine sometime in their lifetime.

Chart 9.1 Has a primary care doctor




Table 9.1 Proportion of people who were reported to have a primary care doctor

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
MO	100%	499	100%	99%	100%	n/a
NC	99%	922	100%	100%	100%	99%
MI	99%	417	n/a	100%	99%	98%
AR	99%	394	100%	98%	100%	99%
MEORC	99%	386	n/a	100%	99%	98%
LA	99%	429	100%	100%	99%	99%
CT	99%	399	97%	99%	98%	100%
NY	99%	2,572	100%	99%	99%	99%
GA	98%	542	n/a	99%	98%	98%
AZ	98%	387	n/a	95%	98%	99%
IL	98%	349	n/a	98%	100%	97%
NJ	98%	428	n/a	97%	n/a	100%
ME	98%	340	n/a	97%	97%	100%
SC	98%	407	n/a	98%	100%	97%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MA	97%	503	n/a	97%	95%	98%
HI	97%	434	n/a	97%	n/a	97%
KY	97%	464	100%	98%	93%	96%
OH	96%	453	100%	97%	92%	95%
AL	92%	434	n/a	92%	95%	92%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
PA	50%	1,212	45%	52%	47%	47%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>11,971</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>95%</b>

Chart 9.2 Poor health\*\* (un-collapsed)




Table 9.2 Proportion of people who were reported to be in poor health\*\* (“poor health” response only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
KY	8%	472	40%	5%	10%	5%
LA	7%	419	9%	0%	8%	10%
MI	7%	409	n/a	5%	5%	8%
OH	7%	461	16%	7%	5%	4%
NC	6%	922	11%	6%	7%	5%
MEORC	6%	383	n/a	8%	5%	3%
AR	6%	395	5%	2%	9%	6%
PA	5%	1,198	14%	7%	6%	2%
AL	5%	433	n/a	7%	0%	2%
AZ	5%	394	n/a	6%	2%	4%
CT	5%	394	16%	4%	2%	3%
SC	4%	403	n/a	5%	5%	3%
NJ	4%	429	n/a	6%	n/a	2%
NY	4%	2,553	6%	4%	7%	3%
ME	4%	335	n/a	4%	9%	n/a
MO	4%	497	6%	4%	4%	n/a
HI	3%	433	n/a	3%	n/a	3%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
MA	3%	498	n/a	3%	3%	2%
IL	3%	351	n/a	3%	4%	2%
GA	2%	537	n/a	2%	3%	3%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>11,916</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>4%</b>

\*\* ‘No’ responses are the more desired outcome, meaning fewer people were reported to be in poor health.

Chart 9.3 Last physical exam (un-collapsed)




Table 9.3 Proportion of people who were reported to have had a complete physical exam in the past year (“within the past year” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AR	99%	391	100%	98%	100%	99%
ME	97%	343	n/a	97%	97%	95%
NJ	97%	420	n/a	97%	n/a	93%
PA	94%	1,123	98%	99%	86%	90%
LA	94%	416	94%	98%	88%	93%
MO	93%	489	90%	97%	90%	n/a
NY	92%	2,482	100%	97%	83%	86%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MA	92%	492	n/a	94%	91%	88%
KY	91%	419	100%	95%	83%	82%
CT	91%	361	97%	98%	93%	78%
AL	91%	428	n/a	97%	95%	80%
GA	90%	518	n/a	91%	80%	90%
IL	90%	333	n/a	98%	91%	78%
HI	89%	430	n/a	86%	n/a	85%
OH	89%	405	100%	94%	89%	81%
SC	88%	360	n/a	96%	74%	79%
NC	88%	851	97%	93%	88%	79%
MEORC	87%	353	n/a	95%	90%	76%
MI	85%	356	n/a	89%	86%	80%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
AZ	73%	360	n/a	79%	76%	67%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>11,330</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>84%</b>

Chart 9.4 Last dental exam (un-collapsed)




Table 9.4 Proportion of people who were reported to have had a dental exam in the past year (“within the past year” or “within the past six months” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
NJ	92%	382	n/a	93%	n/a	94%
MA	91%	475	n/a	95%	86%	87%
CT	90%	352	97%	97%	95%	72%
NY	88%	2,228	98%	96%	69%	77%
MO	87%	490	63%	89%	87%	n/a
PA	87%	967	98%	98%	75%	76%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
IL	85%	316	n/a	97%	90%	68%
ME	84%	322	n/a	81%	84%	85%
AR	83%	332	100%	91%	77%	68%
SC	82%	345	n/a	96%	70%	61%
OH	81%	370	98%	88%	77%	70%
NC	81%	796	99%	89%	72%	70%
KY	80%	346	100%	87%	n/a	67%
MEORC	77%	328	n/a	92%	75%	61%
LA	75%	365	97%	97%	63%	63%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
GA	70%	445	n/a	79%	71%	64%
AL	69%	400	n/a	79%	50%	49%
HI	69%	400	n/a	76%	n/a	63%
MI	69%	296	n/a	70%	74%	64%
AZ	65%	329	n/a	71%	61%	61%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>10,284</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>70%</b>

Chart 9.5 Last eye exam (un-collapsed)




Table 9.5 Proportion of people who were reported to have had an eye exam in the past year (“within the past year” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AR	83%	365	65%	93%	88%	85%
IL	76%	286	n/a	84%	n/a	63%
NJ	75%	286	n/a	76%	n/a	n/a
KY	74%	312	75%	83%	n/a	54%
SC	69%	329	n/a	83%	58%	46%
PA	69%	906	82%	81%	56%	55%
CT	68%	284	67%	76%	76%	39%
MO	67%	488	61%	68%	66%	n/a
NY	65%	2,118	89%	73%	55%	51%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
LA	60%	362	72%	98%	48%	43%
AL	56%	315	n/a	64%	n/a	29%
ME	56%	320	n/a	56%	64%	n/a
MA	54%	452	n/a	55%	60%	44%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
GA	50%	384	n/a	57%	55%	43%
AZ	50%	315	n/a	57%	35%	49%
NC	49%	744	55%	60%	51%	40%
MEORC	48%	298	n/a	52%	55%	39%
OH	47%	350	80%	52%	44%	33%
HI	46%	329	n/a	44%	n/a	43%
MI	36%	259	n/a	35%	33%	36%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>9,502</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>47%</b>

Chart 9.6 Last hearing test (un-collapsed)




Table 9.6 Proportion of people who were reported to have had a hearing test in the past five years (“within the past five years” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AR	89%	340	93%	84%	87%	90%
PA	89%	612	98%	97%	86%	70%
NJ	81%	193	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a
KY	81%	193	100%	89%	n/a	63%
AL	80%	251	n/a	91%	n/a	48%
CT	79%	191	100%	83%	n/a	50%
NY	78%	1,824	100%	89%	57%	56%
IL	77%	218	n/a	85%	n/a	56%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
AZ	71%	274	n/a	73%	66%	70%
MEORC	70%	197	n/a	81%	68%	46%
OH	63%	232	74%	70%	65%	43%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
MA	59%	372	n/a	65%	65%	43%
MO	58%	317	93%	51%	59%	n/a
LA	57%	318	100%	82%	35%	44%
GA	54%	309	n/a	64%	n/a	48%
ME	53%	215	n/a	54%	52%	n/a
NC	52%	563	83%	57%	35%	38%
MI	52%	182	n/a	66%	51%	35%
HI	48%	278	n/a	61%	n/a	43%
SC	48%	164	n/a	63%	n/a	28%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>7,243</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>51%</b>

Chart 9.7 Last Pap test (un-collapsed)




Table 9.7 Proportion of women who were reported to have had a Pap test in the past three years (“within the past three years” or less responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
NJ	86%	131	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a
AL	84%	122	n/a	90%	n/a	71%
PA	84%	309	96%	92%	88%	63%
NY	79%	841	n/a	87%	83%	57%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MEORC	82%	130	n/a	84%	88%	67%
CT	81%	111	n/a	86%	n/a	71%
KY	77%	112	n/a	86%	n/a	66%
IL	77%	98	n/a	82%	n/a	65%
MO	75%	201	n/a	80%	72%	n/a
AR	74%	131	96%	78%	83%	50%
OH	72%	123	60%	78%	96%	56%
MA	69%	177	n/a	70%	81%	63%
LA	69%	148	n/a	95%	n/a	50%
SC	67%	106	n/a	73%	n/a	46%
GA	66%	159	n/a	81%	n/a	56%
MI	66%	99	n/a	68%	73%	52%
NC	65%	275	79%	80%	77%	50%
ME	60%	121	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
HI	56%	153	n/a	n/a	n/a	43%
AZ	50%	104	n/a	59%	n/a	37%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>3,651</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>56%</b>

Chart 9.8 Last mammogram (un-collapsed)




Table 9.8 Proportion of women 40 or over who were reported to have had a mammogram test in the past two years (“within the past two years” or “within past year” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
CT	96%	70	n/a	97%	n/a	n/a
PA	91%	204	100%	94%	82%	n/a
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
IL	91%	65	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a
OH	89%	91	n/a	94%	90%	n/a
NJ	86%	124	n/a	89%	n/a	n/a
NY	86%	592	n/a	89%	83%	70%
MEORC	84%	82	n/a	87%	80%	n/a
MA	84%	132	n/a	84%	96%	n/a
AL	83%	96	n/a	90%	n/a	62%
MO	83%	128	n/a	89%	75%	n/a
SC	81%	63	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a
MI	80%	65	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a
LA	80%	74	n/a	90%	n/a	61%
AR	79%	68	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a
NC	74%	147	80%	82%	n/a	61%
KY	74%	68	n/a	77%	n/a	n/a
ME	73%	86	n/a	77%	n/a	n/a
GA	70%	77	n/a	81%	n/a	56%
AZ	65%	51	n/a	61%	n/a	n/a
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
HI	66%	90	n/a	n/a	n/a	52%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>2,373</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>60%</b>

Chart 9.9 Last PSA exam (un-collapsed)




Table 9.9 Proportion of men 50 or over who were reported to have had a PSA test in the past year (“within the past year” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
MO	76%	87	n/a	71%	90%	n/a
PA	75%	97	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
IL	62%	29	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a
AR	58%	24	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	58%	60	n/a	60.0%	n/a	n/a
NY	56%	339	n/a	60%	40%	35%
KY	55%	22	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MI	54%	37	n/a	50%	n/a	n/a
CT	53%	45	n/a	46%	n/a	n/a
NC	53%	91	47%	68%	n/a	n/a
OH	53%	40	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AZ	52%	25	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
LA	49%	41	n/a	50%	n/a	n/a
MA	47%	89	n/a	45%	n/a	n/a
GA	45%	42	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a
MEORC	44%	45	n/a	46%	n/a	n/a
NJ	39%	28	n/a	40%	n/a	n/a
ME	37%	46	n/a	33%	n/a	n/a
HI	37%	60	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SC	33%	30	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>1,277</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>35%</b>

Chart 9.10 Last colorectal cancer screening (un-collapsed)




Table 9.10 Proportion of people 50 or over who were reported to have had a colorectal cancer screening in the past year (“within the past year” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
PA	28%	131	n/a	26%	n/a	n/a
AZ	26%	38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NC	26%	172	25%	30%	n/a	20%
IL	26%	47	n/a	22%	n/a	n/a
OH	25%	92	n/a	26%	29%	n/a
KY	23%	60	n/a	22%	n/a	n/a
SC	23%	57	n/a	30%	n/a	n/a
NY	22%	728	n/a	22%	20%	13%
CT	22%	101	19%	24%	n/a	n/a
AL	21%	87	n/a	19%	n/a	20%
MA	21%	189	n/a	19%	17%	n/a
GA	19%	80	n/a	27%	n/a	13%
NJ	18%	97	n/a	20%	n/a	n/a
MI	17%	78	n/a	17%	24%	n/a
AR	15%	71	19%	15%	n/a	n/a
HI	14%	88	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%
ME	14%	96	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a
LA	13%	89	n/a	21%	n/a	n/a
MO	13%	141	n/a	11%	18%	n/a
MEORC	12%	94	n/a	9%	18%	n/a
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>2,536</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>16%</b>

Chart 9.11. Had flu vaccine in the past year




Table 9.11 Proportion of people who were reported to have had a flu vaccine in the past year (“within the past year” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AR	88%	290	99%	94%	84%	76%
MO	88%	450	90%	89%	86%	n/a
NJ	87%	235	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a
NY	84%	1,930	100%	94%	65%	63%
ME	83%	295	n/a	85%	78%	n/a
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
CT	83%	257	100%	90%	75%	50%
IL	83%	204	n/a	95%	n/a	59%
SC	78%	270	n/a	87%	65%	69%
OH	77%	277	96%	84%	71%	61%
MA	77%	432	n/a	81%	75%	68%
NC	76%	741	100%	85%	64%	62%
AL	75%	350	n/a	86%	n/a	48%
PA	74%	639	96%	85%	64%	56%
HI	74%	290	n/a	95%	n/a	62%
MI	73%	261	n/a	82%	77%	54%
MEORC	73%	250	n/a	84%	71%	57%
KY	70%	286	91%	72%	n/a	56%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
LA	70%	346	91%	96%	55%	55%
AZ	67%	210	n/a	82%	81%	49%
GA	63%	412	n/a	73%	55%	60%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>8,425</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>59%</b>

Chart 9.12 Ever had pneumonia vaccine




Table 9.12 Proportion of people who were reported to have had a pneumonia vaccine

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AL	63%	296	n/a	76%	n/a	30%
KY	53%	192	n/a	51%	n/a	30%
NY	46%	1,500	38%	56%	35%	27%
PA	49%	419	71%	61%	33%	30%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
AR	48%	217	81%	47%	22%	31%
OH	48%	201	63%	53%	59%	28%
MA	46%	339	n/a	56%	42%	24%
MO	45%	352	90%	48%	39%	n/a
CT	44%	133	n/a	53%	n/a	6%
MEORC	44%	140	n/a	49%	45%	29%
ME	44%	225	n/a	45%	50%	n/a
AZ	40%	134	n/a	50%	n/a	29%
MI	40%	184	n/a	53%	33%	22%
IL	38%	146	n/a	44%	n/a	23%
NC	38%	587	70%	22%	26%	31%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
LA	30%	277	22%	27%	24%	32%
HI	28%	190	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%
SC	26%	148	n/a	28%	n/a	31%
GA	24%	363	n/a	31%	24%	22%
NJ	10%	165	n/a	12%	n/a	n/a
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>6,208</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>26%</b>

## Chapter 10

### Medication

#### **MEDICATIONS ARE MANAGED EFFECTIVELY AND APPROPRIATELY.**

There is one Medication indicator collected using the Background Information section of the Adult Consumer Survey:

- The proportion of people taking medications for mood disorders, anxiety, behavior problems, or psychotic disorders.


Table 10.1 presents the results for this core indicator. Results are ordered from the lowest to the highest proportion of individuals in each state taking psychotropic medications by state, where lower results are the more desired outcome. Chart 10.1 shows un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

### **OBSERVATIONS FOR MEDICATION**

Across NCI states, 54% of individuals were reported to take at least one medication to treat mood, anxiety, behavioral, or psychotic disorders. States averages ranged between 33% and 77%.

**Chart 10.1 Takes medication for mood disorders, anxiety, behavior problems, psychotic disorders (not mutually exclusive)\*\***



**Table 10.1 Proportion of people who were reported to take medication for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, behavior problems, psychotic disorders\*\***

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
MO	77%	486	67%	74%	80%	n/a
ME	71%	350	n/a	75%	71%	57%
KY	61%	452	39%	72%	58%	44%
MA	61%	498	n/a	72%	58%	38%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
NJ	59%	420	n/a	64%	n/a	27%
MI	57%	399	n/a	62%	68%	44%
MEORC	57%	367	n/a	71%	62%	34%
NC	55%	901	50%	74%	45%	44%
CT	54%	389	48%	70%	43%	38%
AR	54%	395	65%	48%	59%	41%
PA	54%	1,150	58%	71%	45%	34%
NY	53%	2,417	65%	67%	36%	33%
AL	50%	432	n/a	62%	52%	28%
LA	50%	420	48%	63%	62%	39%
OH	50%	448	43%	68%	59%	33%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
SC	46%	395	n/a	61%	33%	28%
IL	46%	334	n/a	62%	57%	23%
GA	43%	530	n/a	67%	49%	31%
AZ	39%	382	n/a	45%	32%	34%
HI	33%	430	n/a	44%	n/a	23%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>11,595</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>35%</b>

\*\* 'No' responses are the more desired outcome, meaning fewer people were reported to be taking medication.

## Chapter 11

### Wellness

#### **PEOPLE ARE SUPPORTED TO MAINTAIN HEALTHY HABITS.**

There is one Wellness indicator collected with the Background Information section of the Adult Consumer Survey:

The proportion of people who maintain unhealthy habits in such areas as:

1. Smoking
2. Weight
3. Exercise

Tables 11.1 through 11.3 present the results for these three items. Results for the indicators are ordered from the highest to the lowest proportion by state; higher proportions are more desirable except where indicated in text.. Table and chart 11.2 presents simple proportions for the four BMI categories. Charts 11.1 through 11.3 show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

### **OBSERVATIONS FOR WELLNESS**

One quarter (25%) of individuals were reported to engage in regular physical activity (moderate activity three times a week for at least 30 minutes), state averages ranged between 13% and 36%. The majority of individuals were either overweight (28%) or obese (33%), while 30% were within a normal weight range and 9% were underweight. Six percent (6%) of individuals were reported to chew or smoke tobacco.

Chart 11.1 Engages in regular physical activity (at least 30 minutes 3 times a week)




Table 11.1 Proportion of people who were reported to engage in regular physical activity (at least 30 minutes 3 times a week)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
MA	36%	478	n/a	37%	42%	35%
ME	35%	339	n/a	34%	48%	n/a
HI	33%	392	n/a	25%	n/a	43%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
GA	30%	531	n/a	20%	43%	33%
LA	30%	379	0%	41%	29%	30%
AR	29%	380	20%	32%	42%	25%
NJ	29%	403	n/a	31%	n/a	17%
MO	29%	473	10%	29%	30%	n/a
IL	28%	316	n/a	26%	30%	29%
NC	28%	863	15%	33%	35%	28%
AZ	26%	299	n/a	25%	43%	23%
CT	22%	308	0%	20%	46%	22%
SC	21%	326	n/a	23%	41%	17%
AL	21%	425	n/a	21%	24%	20%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
NY	21%	2,243	19%	18%	29%	24%
KY	19%	412	13%	18%	7%	23%
MI	19%	358	n/a	17%	21%	18%
MEORC	18%	334	n/a	12%	29%	16%
OH	13%	394	4%	16%	11%	18%
PA	13%	680	16%	9%	14%	15%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>10,333</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>24%</b>

Chart 11.2 Body mass index range




Table 11.2 Proportion of people in each BMI category

State	Underweight	Normal Weight	Overweight	Obese	N
AL	5%	34%	25%	36%	433
AR	6%	37%	25%	32%	389
AZ	6%	38%	27%	29%	252
CT	3%	38%	29%	30%	266
GA	20%	25%	28%	28%	537
HI	10%	36%	28%	26%	425
IL	7%	33%	28%	32%	348
KY	3%	27%	30%	40%	470
LA	10%	31%	28%	31%	409
MA	3%	30%	33%	34%	474
ME	5%	29%	31%	35%	318
MEORC	34%	14%	21%	31%	375
MI	7%	34%	24%	35%	314
MO	6%	31%	31%	32%	498
NC	7%	35%	29%	29%	817
NJ	4%	28%	32%	37%	396
NY	12%	30%	28%	30%	2,535
OH	6%	26%	30%	38%	461
PA	13%	28%	25%	34%	134
SC	5%	27%	25%	43%	296
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>10,147</b>

Chart 11.3 Chews or smokes tobacco\*\*




Table 11.3 Proportion of people who were reported to chew or smoke tobacco\*\*

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
KY	10%	455	4%	16%	17%	4%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MEORC	9%	373	n/a	9%	16%	3%
OH	8%	453	2%	8%	13%	9%
SC	8%	398	n/a	8%	18%	2%
MI	8%	411	n/a	10%	12%	2%
NC	7%	918	2%	14%	18%	2%
MO	7%	495	0%	4%	11%	n/a
LA	7%	405	0%	17%	8%	3%
AL	7%	429	n/a	9%	10%	3%
PA	7%	1,160	4%	8%	19%	3%
AR	7%	392	5%	5%	14%	3%
GA	7%	538	n/a	8%	18%	4%
ME	6%	355	n/a	6%	11%	0%
IL	5%	339	n/a	4%	26%	3%
MA	5%	502	n/a	4%	14%	2%
NY	5%	2,524	0%	5%	14%	3%
CT	4%	379	0%	6%	4%	3%
AZ	4%	376	n/a	7%	11%	1%
HI	4%	421	n/a	3%	n/a	3%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
NJ	3%	425	n/a	4%	n/a	2%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>11,748</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>3%</b>

\*\* 'No' responses are the more desired outcome, meaning fewer people were reported to chew or smoke.

## Chapter 12

### Respect and Rights

#### **PEOPLE RECEIVE THE SAME RESPECT AND PROTECTIONS AS OTHERS IN THE COMMUNITY.**

There are four Respect and Rights indicators:

1. The proportion of people whose basic rights are not respected by others, including:
  - a. Mail gets opened without permission
  - b. Restrictions on being alone with others
  - c. Restrictions on using the phone or internet
  - d. People enter the home without permission
  - e. People enter bedroom without permission
2. The proportion of people who have participated in a self-advocacy group meeting, conference, or event.
3. The proportion of people who report satisfaction with the amount of privacy they have.
4. The proportion of people indicating that most (a) day, (b) work, and (c) home support staff treat them with respect.

Tables 12.1 through 12.10 present the results for these four Core Indicators. Results for the indicators are ordered from the highest to the lowest proportion by state; higher proportions are more desirable except where indicated in text..

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

### **OBSERVATIONS FOR RESPECT AND RIGHTS**

Across states, a large majority reported people knock for entering their home (89%) and bedroom (84%), that they can be alone with visitors at home (80%) and have enough privacy at home (90%). The majority of respondents also indicated people never read their mail without permission (87%) and can use the phone and internet without restriction (90%). Over 90% reported their staff were nice and polite at their home (94%), work (96%), and day program or activity (95%).

About one-third (32%) of respondents reported having gone to a self-advocacy event (or having had the opportunity but choosing not to attend) – results between states ranged from 18% to 61%.

Chart 12.1 People knock before entering home (un-collapsed)




Table 12.1 Proportion of people who reported people always knock before entering home (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
KY	97%	330	n/a	95%	100%	100%
LA	96%	278	n/a	95%	93%	97%
AZ	95%	311	n/a	93%	85%	98%
MEORC	94%	301	n/a	96%	96%	91%
AL	94%	243	n/a	90%	100%	97%
NY	92%	1,722	n/a	91%	93%	94%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
OH	92%	351	n/a	95%	90%	93%
AR	91%	247	86%	92%	95%	89%
SC	91%	328	n/a	91%	97%	90%
PA	91%	854	n/a	92%	94%	94%
CT	89%	256	n/a	88%	93%	94%
MA	89%	390	n/a	87%	87%	91%
GA	88%	378	n/a	83%	92%	89%
NC	88%	523	n/a	83%	91%	91%
MO	88%	349	n/a	87%	88%	n/a
IL	86%	240	n/a	85%	91%	86%
MI	86%	263	n/a	85%	86%	85%
ME	85%	242	n/a	85%	97%	n/a
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
NJ	81%	345	n/a	81%	n/a	79%
HI	74%	207	n/a	n/a	n/a	76%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>8,158</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>91%</b>

Chart 12.2 People knock before entering bedroom (un-collapsed)




Table 12.2 Proportion of people who reported people always knock before entering bedroom (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
KY	96%	331	n/a	93%	100%	98%
LA	91%	276	n/a	94%	93%	90%
AZ	91%	316	n/a	91%	85%	90%
ME	90%	235	n/a	91%	97%	n/a
NY	88%	1,659	n/a	88%	91%	86%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
GA	89%	375	n/a	91%	95%	86%
AR	88%	250	90%	87%	90%	86%
AL	85%	238	n/a	82%	n/a	88%
MA	84%	375	n/a	79%	88%	84%
MEORC	83%	263	n/a	86%	91%	74%
PA	82%	817	n/a	84%	85%	80%
SC	82%	324	n/a	82%	92%	73%
NC	82%	527	n/a	86%	92%	75%
CT	81%	251	n/a	79%	85%	88%
MO	81%	347	n/a	75%	86%	n/a
MI	80%	246	n/a	88%	88%	66%
IL	79%	234	n/a	83%	90%	71%
NJ	78%	344	n/a	80%	n/a	75%
OH	78%	333	n/a	78%	81%	75%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
HI	66%	203	n/a	n/a	n/a	66%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>7,944</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>80%</b>

Chart 12.3 Can be alone at home with visitors (un-collapsed)




Table 12.3 Proportion of people who reported they could be alone at home with visitors (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
KY	92%	466	70%	89%	97%	99%
NJ	88%	391	n/a	91%	n/a	81%
PA	88%	1,141	94%	89%	85%	86%
AL	87%	394	n/a	83%	n/a	94%
MA	86%	449	n/a	88%	92%	80%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
AR	85%	376	92%	94%	93%	73%
OH	85%	425	87%	86%	84%	83%
IL	83%	315	n/a	80%	96%	86%
SC	83%	367	n/a	83%	97%	78%
MEORC	83%	290	n/a	78%	88%	86%
ME	81%	297	n/a	81%	94%	n/a
MI	79%	374	n/a	79%	81%	80%
GA	79%	472	n/a	80%	88%	77%
NC	78%	817	95%	78%	91%	69%
CT	76%	332	74%	73%	91%	72%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
NY	74%	2,217	76%	69%	94%	72%
MO	73%	456	81%	70%	76%	n/a
LA	71%	368	96%	75%	75%	64%
HI	63%	332	n/a	88%	n/a	61%
AZ	60%	316	n/a	51%	67%	61%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>10,595</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>78%</b>

Chart 12.4 Has enough privacy at home




Table 12.4 Proportion of people who reported they have enough privacy at home

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
ME	97%	220	n/a	98%	100%	n/a
AZ	97%	311	n/a	95%	95%	98%
KY	95%	319	n/a	94%	100%	98%
GA	95%	381	n/a	96%	100%	93%
PA	94%	835	n/a	95%	96%	95%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
CT	93%	247	n/a	92%	95%	94%
MEORC	93%	279	n/a	92%	94%	93%
LA	93%	258	n/a	87%	95%	95%
OH	91%	345	n/a	89%	88%	94%
NY	91%	1,637	n/a	89%	93%	93%
MA	91%	379	n/a	90%	93%	91%
NC	91%	499	n/a	90%	94%	91%
AR	90%	226	90%	80%	93%	93%
MI	89%	244	n/a	81%	88%	94%
AL	88%	226	n/a	90%	n/a	86%
MO	87%	341	n/a	79%	92%	n/a
IL	87%	231	n/a	85%	n/a	88%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
HI	82%	201	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%
NJ	81%	338	n/a	80%	n/a	83%
SC	79%	300	n/a	76%	86%	77%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>7,817</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>91%</b>

Chart 12.5 Mail is read without permission by others\*\* (un-collapsed)




Table 12.5 Proportion of people who reported people never read their mail without permission\*\* (“no” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AL	99%	309	n/a	98%	n/a	100%
KY	96%	447	n/a	98%	93%	94%
MEORC	93%	315	n/a	93%	97%	90%
OH	93%	407	98%	92%	96%	90%
LA	92%	355	100%	94%	89%	90%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
ME	91%	313	n/a	92%	91%	n/a
PA	90%	1,131	90%	91%	91%	90%
SC	89%	358	n/a	96%	95%	79%
NJ	88%	352	n/a	93%	n/a	68%
AZ	87%	287	n/a	84%	92%	88%
IL	87%	278	n/a	89%	91%	85%
AR	86%	348	95%	88%	89%	77%
NC	86%	773	96%	94%	96%	75%
NY	84%	2,074	87%	85%	91%	81%
GA	84%	402	n/a	91%	89%	81%
MO	83%	465	85%	74%	90%	n/a
MA	83%	466	n/a	81%	92%	81%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
MI	81%	341	n/a	82%	87%	73%
CT	76%	299	n/a	78%	73%	76%
HI	71%	312	n/a	n/a	n/a	73%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>10,032</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>83%</b>

\*\* ‘No’ responses are the more desired outcome, meaning fewer people reported others read their mail without permission.

Chart 12.6 Can use phone and internet (un-collapsed)




Table 12.6 Proportion of people who reported they can use phone and internet without restriction (“yes without restriction” response only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
KY	95%	352	n/a	94%	97%	98%
MA	95%	428	n/a	95%	98%	93%
PA	95%	980	92%	95%	96%	96%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MEORC	94%	298	n/a	91%	99%	94%
MO	94%	400	90%	95%	93%	n/a
AL	93%	335	n/a	90%	n/a	96%
GA	92%	439	n/a	94%	100%	91%
LA	92%	332	90%	87%	96%	92%
MI	91%	325	n/a	89%	97%	90%
ME	91%	283	n/a	89%	94%	n/a
NY	91%	1,928	78%	89%	97%	92%
IL	90%	258	n/a	92%	100%	85%
SC	90%	352	n/a	87%	98%	89%
NC	89%	731	96%	86%	96%	90%
CT	89%	282	n/a	90%	91%	89%
OH	89%	386	97%	88%	93%	85%
NJ	87%	378	n/a	91%	n/a	81%
AR	87%	311	91%	80%	96%	82%
HI	87%	231	n/a	86%	n/a	86%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
AZ	78%	286	n/a	73%	81%	80%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>9,315</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>89%</b>

Chart 12.7 Staff at home are nice and polite (un-collapsed)




Table 12.7 Proportion of people who reported their staff at home are nice and polite (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
LA	99%	225	n/a	97%	100%	99%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
GA	96%	177	n/a	97%	n/a	98%
AZ	96%	212	n/a	92%	93%	99%
MO	96%	341	n/a	92%	97%	n/a
IL	96%	158	n/a	94%	n/a	100%
AR	95%	243	100%	91%	94%	97%
NC	95%	378	n/a	95%	94%	97%
NY	95%	1,149	n/a	94%	94%	99%
OH	95%	234	n/a	94%	93%	100%
MEORC	95%	210	n/a	94%	96%	100%
PA	95%	482	n/a	94%	100%	95%
CT	95%	182	n/a	94%	94%	95%
NJ	94%	292	n/a	93%	n/a	n/a
MI	94%	178	n/a	93%	96%	96%
MA	93%	304	n/a	91%	95%	n/a
HI	93%	100	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%
AL	91%	141	n/a	89%	n/a	n/a
SC	91%	195	n/a	89%	n/a	n/a
ME	89%	224	n/a	88%	93%	n/a
KY	87%	159	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>5,584</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>97%</b>

Chart 12.8 Staff at work are nice and polite\* (un-collapsed)



Table 12.8 Proportion of people who reported their staff at work are nice and polite\* (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
AR	100%	16	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HI	100%	20	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	100%	14	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KY	100%	13	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
LA	100%	26	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	100%	23	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a
GA	98%	61	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%
NY	97%	218	n/a	98%	96%	95%
NC	97%	61	n/a	95%	n/a	96%
PA	96%	56	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%
MA	96%	71	n/a	92%	n/a	100%
MI	94%	35	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ME	94%	52	n/a	97%	n/a	n/a
CT	93%	103	n/a	87%	90%	98%
MO	93%	28	n/a	n/a	95%	n/a
OH	93%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AZ	92%	38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MEORC	90%	20	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SC	89%	54	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>936</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>97%</b>

\* reported for those who were determined to have a paid community job from Background section

Chart 12.9 Staff at day program or activity are nice and polite (un-collapsed)




Table 12.9 Proportion of people who reported their staff at their day program or activity are nice and polite (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
GA	99%	302	n/a	100%	100%	98%
OH	99%	298	n/a	98%	98%	100%
LA	98%	123	n/a	100%	100%	96%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
PA	96%	486	n/a	97%	85%	96%
NJ	96%	336	n/a	96%	n/a	92%
NC	95%	351	n/a	94%	96%	96%
IL	95%	198	n/a	93%	n/a	99%
HI	95%	176	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%
NY	95%	1,309	n/a	95%	94%	94%
MI	95%	134	n/a	97%	88%	94%
CT	94%	145	n/a	94%	n/a	98%
AZ	94%	149	n/a	90%	n/a	96%
SC	94%	248	n/a	93%	n/a	93%
MEORC	94%	264	n/a	97%	92%	92%
AR	94%	158	n/a	94%	98%	88%
AL	93%	231	n/a	88%	n/a	97%
MO	93%	162	n/a	94%	94%	n/a
KY	92%	279	n/a	94%	n/a	92%
MA	92%	307	n/a	93%	81%	92%
ME	91%	168	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>5,824</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>95%</b>

Chart 12.10 Participated in a self-advocacy event (un-collapsed)




Table 12.10 Proportion of people who reported they have attended a self advocacy event, or chose not to (“yes” and “chose not to” responses)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
NJ	61%	366	n/a	58%	n/a	67%
ME	48%	276	n/a	52%	53%	n/a
KY	47%	129	n/a	48%	n/a	43%
MA	40%	406	n/a	38%	43%	38%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
IL	38%	275	n/a	34%	n/a	39%
SC	38%	293	n/a	43%	44%	26%
GA	37%	434	n/a	31%	50%	37%
CT	35%	327	38%	42%	51%	17%
MO	34%	423	7%	22%	45%	n/a
NC	33%	778	76%	39%	28%	18%
AL	28%	379	n/a	20%	n/a	39%
MEORC	27%	264	n/a	26%	33%	25%
AR	26%	350	21%	35%	30%	19%
MI	25%	307	n/a	15%	32%	26%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
NY	29%	1,876	24%	32%	30%	23%
OH	24%	392	15%	29%	20%	28%
LA	21%	343	0%	30%	27%	18%
AZ	20%	255	n/a	19%	28%	19%
HI	19%	383	n/a	17%	n/a	22%
PA	18%	875	29%	17%	22%	16%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>9,131</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>29%</b>

## Chapter 13

### Safety

#### **PEOPLE ARE SAFE FROM ABUSE, NEGLECT, AND INJURY.**

There are two Safety indicators:

1. The proportion of people who report that they never feel scared or afraid in their home, neighborhood, workplace, and day program/daily activity.
2. The proportion of people who report having someone to go to for help when they feel afraid.

Tables 13.1 through 13.4 present the results for the two survey items measuring these indicators. Results for the indicators are ordered from the highest to the lowest proportion by state; higher proportions are more desirable except where indicated in text.. Charts 13.1 through 13.4 show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix B.

### **OBSERVATIONS FOR SAFETY**

Overall, the majority of people reported they never feel scared in their home (82%), neighborhood (85%), or at their work or day program or activity (88%). A majority of respondents (88%) reported having someone to go to for help if they feel scared.

Chart 13.1 Sacred or afraid at home\*\* (un-collapsed)




Table 13.1 Proportion of people who reported they never feel scared or afraid in their home\*\* ('no' responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent's Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AL	91%	246	n/a	88%	95%	94%
KY	91%	331	n/a	89%	97%	94%
AZ	89%	322	n/a	90%	85%	88%
GA	89%	384	n/a	86%	100%	88%
PA	86%	884	n/a	84%	79%	92%
NY	85%	1,709	n/a	83%	86%	87%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MO	84%	344	n/a	82%	87%	n/a
LA	84%	276	n/a	89%	85%	82%
OH	82%	366	n/a	76%	86%	86%
HI	82%	218	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
AR	81%	252	86%	79%	85%	76%
CT	81%	258	n/a	85%	76%	80%
SC	80%	321	n/a	78%	79%	82%
NJ	80%	351	n/a	81%	n/a	78%
MEORC	80%	309	n/a	82%	84%	75%
ME	79%	238	n/a	76%	79%	n/a
MI	77%	269	n/a	83%	73%	81%
NC	77%	537	n/a	72%	81%	80%
MA	77%	386	n/a	71%	80%	82%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
IL	74%	242	n/a	75%	82%	73%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>8,243</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>83%</b>

\*\* 'No' responses are the more desired outcome, meaning fewer people reported feeling scared at home.

Chart 13.2 Feels scared or afraid in their neighborhood\*\* (un-collapsed)




Table 13.2 Proportion of people who reported they never feel scared or afraid in their neighborhood\*\* (“no” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AL	93%	244	n/a	90%	95%	95%
KY	92%	331	n/a	90%	97%	96%
AZ	92%	306	n/a	93%	82%	93%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
LA	89%	274	n/a	94%	88%	89%
GA	89%	384	n/a	87%	97%	88%
MO	86%	343	n/a	82%	88%	n/a
PA	86%	876	n/a	89%	81%	85%
NY	85%	1,700	n/a	86%	84%	86%
MEORC	85%	304	n/a	88%	87%	81%
ME	85%	233	n/a	87%	86%	n/a
NJ	84%	343	n/a	86%	n/a	81%
NC	83%	526	n/a	81%	91%	84%
CT	83%	253	n/a	89%	80%	82%
MI	83%	264	n/a	87%	84%	75%
AR	83%	248	100%	80%	85%	76%
SC	83%	317	n/a	82%	87%	79%
OH	82%	342	n/a	75%	84%	88%
HI	81%	215	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
MA	77%	383	n/a	77%	80%	78%
IL	75%	243	n/a	75%	82%	79%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>8,129</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>84%</b>

\*\* ‘No’ responses are the more desired outcome, meaning fewer people reported feeling scared in their neighborhood.

Chart 13.3 Feels scared or afraid at their work or day program/regular activity\*\* (un-collapsed)




Table 13.3 Proportion of people who reported they never feel scared or afraid at their work or day program/regular activity\*\* (“no” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community -Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
AL	96%	232	n/a	94%	100%	96%
GA	93%	353	n/a	93%	100%	93%
KY	93%	287	n/a	91%	100%	96%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
AZ	92%	264	n/a	92%	97%	92%
ME	90%	186	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a
PA	90%	623	n/a	89%	89%	91%
NY	89%	1,512	n/a	88%	95%	90%
MI	89%	194	n/a	92%	88%	85%
MEORC	89%	288	n/a	90%	93%	84%
OH	88%	318	n/a	88%	87%	93%
MO	88%	198	n/a	85%	89%	n/a
CT	88%	237	n/a	85%	90%	90%
SC	87%	294	n/a	88%	100%	84%
AR	86%	187	n/a	83%	86%	84%
LA	86%	170	n/a	90%	89%	80%
HI	84%	185	n/a	n/a	n/a	86%
NJ	84%	349	n/a	87%	n/a	78%
NC	83%	412	n/a	85%	91%	83%
MA	83%	343	n/a	83%	87%	82%
IL	81%	206	n/a	82%	n/a	81%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>6,838</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>87%</b>

\*\* ‘No’ responses are the more desired outcome, meaning fewer people reported feeling scared at their day program/activity or job.

Chart 13.4 Has someone to go to for help if they feel scared (un-collapsed)




Table 13.4 Proportion of people who reported they have someone to go to for help if they feel scared (“yes” responses only)

State	Overall In State	N	Institution	Community-Based	Individual Home	Parent’s Home
<b>Significantly Above Average</b>						
ME	96%	159	n/a	98%	100%	n/a
LA	96%	257	n/a	93%	97%	96%
OH	95%	353	n/a	97%	94%	94%
SC	95%	251	n/a	97%	100%	89%
AR	95%	227	n/a	90%	95%	97%
CT	95%	227	n/a	95%	97%	95%
PA	94%	645	n/a	93%	95%	96%
<b>Within Average Range</b>						
MO	94%	282	n/a	96%	93%	n/a
NC	93%	390	n/a	92%	97%	94%
NJ	93%	264	n/a	94%	n/a	94%
MA	93%	317	n/a	93%	91%	94%
NY	91%	1,098	n/a	92%	90%	92%
MEORC	91%	165	n/a	90%	95%	90%
HI	90%	192	n/a		n/a	94%
GA	90%	319	n/a	92%	96%	90%
MI	90%	239	n/a	87%	87%	92%
AL	88%	155	n/a	85%	n/a	92%
IL	87%	180	n/a	88%	n/a	87%
<b>Significantly Below Average</b>						
KY	72%	61	n/a	61%	n/a	n/a
AZ	60%	299	n/a	69%	74%	52%
<b>NCI Average</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>6,080</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>91%</b>

# Appendices

## Appendix A

### RULES FOR RECODING AND COMBINING VARIABLES TO COMPUTE CORE INDICATORS

Table A1. Background Information Variables Used to Adjust Outcomes

BI Item #	Variable Name	Recode or Collapse?
BI-3	<b>DOB</b>	Create AGE variable
BI-9	<b>LEVELMR08</b>	5 categories: a) <b>No ID</b> ; b) <b>Mild</b> ; c) <b>Moderate</b> ; d) <b>Severe</b> ; and e) <b>Profound</b>
BI-10	<b>DXMIPD</b>	As is
BI-12	<b>EXPRESS</b>	Collapse into 2 categories: a) <b>spoken</b> and b) <b>non-spoken</b>
BI-13	<b>MOBILITY08</b>	As is
BI-14	<b>HEALTH</b>	As is
BI-54, BI-55, BI-56	<b>SELFJN08, DISBEH08, UNCPBEH08</b>	Create a new binary variable Supp_beh_problems which equals 1 if any support is needed for any of the three variables (if Selfjn08 or Disbeh08 or Uncpbeh08= 2 or 3), and equal 0 if no support is needed (if Selfjn08 and Disbeh08 and Uncpbeh08 = 1).

Table A2. Outcome Variables -- Rules for Analysis

Survey Item #	Variable Name	Recode or Collapse?	Risk Adjust?
BI-15	<b>PRIMDOC</b>	Treat <i>Don't know</i> (3) as missing	
BI-16	<b>PHYSEXAM</b>	Treat <i>Don't know</i> (3) as missing	
BI-17	<b>DENTVIS08</b>	Collapse <i>Within the last six months</i> (1) and <i>Within the past year</i> (2), treat <i>Don't know</i> (4) as missing	
BI-18	<b>EYEEEXAM</b>	Collapse all categories that say <i>more than one year ago</i> ((2),(3),(4),(5),(6)), treat <i>Don't know</i> (7) as missing	
BI-19	<b>HEARTEST</b>	Collapse <i>5 years ago or more</i> (2), <i>Never had a hearing test</i> (3), treat <i>Don't know</i> (4) as missing	
BI-20	<b>FLUVACC</b>	Treat <i>Don't know</i> (3) as missing	
BI-21	<b>PNEUVACC</b>	Treat <i>Don't know</i> (3) as missing	
BI-24	<b>PHYSACT08</b>	Create a new binary variable PhysAct_Mod which equals 1 when BI-24a=1 and BI-24b=1 or 2	
BI-26	<b>PAPTEST</b>	Collapse all categories that say 1) <i>more than three years ago</i> ((4),(5),(6)), and 2) <i>within the past three years</i> ((1),(2),(3)), treat <i>Don't know</i> (7) as missing	
BI-27	<b>MAMMO</b>	Collapse all categories that say 1) <i>more than two years ago</i> ((3),(4),(5),(6)), and 2) <i>within the past two years</i> ((1),(2)), treat <i>Don't know</i> (7) as missing	
BI-28	<b>PSATEST</b>	Collapse all categories that say <i>more than one year ago</i> ((2),(3),(4),(5),(6)), treat <i>Don't know</i> (7) as missing	
BI-29	<b>CCSCREEN</b>	Collapse all categories that say <i>more than one year ago</i> ((2),(3),(4),(5),(6)), treat <i>Don't know</i> (7) as missing	
Q2	<b>LIKEAJOB</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>In-between</i> (1)	
Q3	<b>LIKEJOB</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>In-between</i> (1)	
Q4	<b>JOBELSE</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>In-between</i> (1)	
Q6	<b>JOBSTAFNICE</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes or some staff</i> (1)	
Q7	<b>HAVEDAYACT</b>	As is	
Q8	<b>LIKEDAYACT</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>In-between</i> (1)	
Q9	<b>DAYACTELSE</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>In-between</i> (1)	
Q11	<b>DAYACTSTAFNICE</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes or some staff</i> (1)	
Q12	<b>VOLUNT</b>	As is	
Q13	<b>LIKEHOME</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>In-between</i> (1)	
Q14	<b>HOMEELSE</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>In-between</i> (1)	
Q15	<b>LIKEHOOD</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>In-between</i> (1)	
Q16	<b>TALKNEIGH</b>	Collapse <i>Yes, not often</i> (1) and <i>Yes, often</i> (2)	
Q18	<b>HOMESTAF</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes or some staff</i> (1)	
Q19	<b>ENTERHM</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q20	<b>ENTERBRM</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	

Survey Item #	Variable Name	Recode or Collapse?	Risk Adjust?
Q21	<b>BEALONE</b>	As is (except for Texas, where collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1) )	
Q22	<b>AFRAIDHM</b>	Collapse <i>Yes</i> (2) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q23	<b>AFRAIDNH</b>	Collapse <i>Yes</i> (2) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q24	<b>AFRAIDDAY</b>	Collapse <i>Yes</i> (2) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q25	<b>AFRAIDHELP</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q27	<b>HASFRNDS</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Only staff or family</i> (1)	
Q28	<b>BESTFRND</b>	As is	
Q29	<b>SEEFRNDS</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q30	<b>CANDATE</b>	Collapse <i>Yes</i> (2) and <i>Yes, with restrictions</i> (1)	
Q31	<b>LONELY</b>	Collapse <i>Yes</i> (2) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q33	<b>SEEFAMILY</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q34	<b>HELPOTH</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q35	<b>KNOWSCM08</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q36	<b>SPLAN</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q37	<b>MSPLAN</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q38	<b>ASKIMPOR</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q39	<b>HELPSGET08</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q40	<b>GETSBACK</b>	Collapse <i>Takes a long time</i> (0) and <i>In-between</i> (1)	
Q42	<b>TRANSPOR</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q43	<b>BUDGTALK</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q44	<b>BUDGHELP</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q45	<b>BUDGCHANG</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q46	<b>BUDGMORE</b>	Collapse <i>Yes</i> (2) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q47	<b>FININFO</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q48	<b>FINEASY</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q49	<b>SWORKCOME</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q50	<b>SWORKHELP</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	
Q54-Q60	<b>SHOPTIMES, ERRTIMES, ENTTIMES, EATTIMES, RELTIMES, SPORTIMES, VACATIMES</b>	Recode so that if did not partake in activity, then, e.g. Shoptimes = 0.	YES, YES, YES, YES, YES, YES, YES

Survey Item #	Variable Name	Recode or Collapse?	Risk Adjust?
Q61, Q63, Q64, Q65, Q66, Q67, Q69, Q70, Q72, Q73, Q74	<b>CHOSHOME08, ROOMATES08, CHSSTAFF, SCHEDULE, FREETIME, CHOSJOB, CHOSJBSTF, CHOOSDAY, CHSDSTF, CHOOSBUY, CHOOSCM</b>	Collapse <i>Person chose/chooses</i> (2) and <i>Person had/has some input</i> (1)	YES, YES, YES, YES, YES, YES, YES, YES, YES, NO
Q62, Q68, Q71	<b>HVISIT, JOBVISIT, DVISIT</b>	Collapse <i>Did not visit before current</i> (0) and <i>Visited only current</i> (1)	
Q75	<b>MAIOPEN</b>	As is	
Q76	<b>ALONEGST08</b>	As is	
Q77	<b>USEPHONE08</b>	As is	
Q78	<b>SELFADVO</b>	Collapse <i>Yes</i> (2) and <i>Had opportunity</i> (1)	
Q79	<b>SERVED</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Sometimes</i> (1)	
Q80	<b>STFTRN</b>	Collapse <i>No</i> (0) and <i>Maybe</i> (1)	

## Appendix B

### UN-COLLAPSED AND UNADJUSTED DATA BY STATE

#### Choice and Decision-Making Un-Collapsed and Unadjusted

Table A.1 Did you choose (did this person choose) where you live? (unadjusted)

State	Someone else chose	Person had some input	Person made the choice	N
AL	71.4%	14.5%	14.1%	283
AR	54.7%	14.3%	31.0%	287
AZ	38.4%	36.3%	25.3%	289
CT	54.6%	29.2%	16.2%	260
GA	47.2%	25.9%	26.9%	290
HI	79.7%	11.3%	9.0%	222
IL	46.3%	33.6%	20.1%	229
KY	40.9%	40.3%	18.8%	325
LA	59.2%	22.5%	18.3%	218
MA	37.3%	38.7%	24.0%	362
ME	50.6%	29.4%	19.9%	326
MEORC	28.5%	32.1%	39.4%	249
MI	49.7%	28.0%	22.3%	300
MO	61.4%	21.8%	16.8%	477
NC	57.3%	23.2%	19.6%	557
NJ	66.1%	24.0%	9.9%	354
NY	53.6%	23.1%	23.3%	1,815
OH	36.4%	31.6%	32.0%	250
PA	48.0%	27.3%	24.7%	792
SC	46.1%	24.9%	29.0%	245
<b>Average</b>	<b>51.4%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>8,130</b>

Table A.2 Did you choose (did this person choose) the staff who help you at home? (unadjusted)

State	No, someone else chose	Staff are assigned, but person can request a change	Yes, person chose staff	N
AL	77.0%	22.6%	0.3%	287
AR	41.9%	28.5%	29.6%	365
AZ	33.8%	22.8%	43.4%	228
CT	54.9%	24.4%	20.7%	275
GA	35.5%	38.2%	26.3%	293
HI	73.5%	12.6%	13.9%	223
IL	42.0%	35.7%	22.3%	238
KY	68.3%	28.2%	3.5%	259
LA	36.5%	46.3%	17.1%	356
MA	29.4%	36.4%	34.2%	374
ME	36.8%	43.0%	20.2%	307
MEORC	8.7%	57.7%	33.6%	253
MI	43.5%	27.6%	28.9%	308
MO	45.9%	38.8%	15.4%	495
NC	37.8%	45.3%	16.9%	539
NJ	38.1%	47.3%	14.6%	349
NY	37.1%	44.0%	18.9%	1,756
OH	21.4%	41.7%	37.0%	276
PA	52.6%	31.2%	16.2%	321
SC	55.5%	33.1%	11.4%	245
<b>Average</b>	<b>43.5%</b>	<b>35.3%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>7,747</b>

**Table A.3 Did you choose (did this person choose) the people who live with you? (unadjusted)**

State	Someone else chose	Person had some input	Person made the choice	N
AL	86.3%	4.9%	8.8%	284
AR	52.2%	7.8%	39.9%	293
AZ	47.1%	13.8%	39.2%	189
CT	68.6%	6.9%	24.5%	261
GA	51.4%	17.7%	30.9%	282
HI	79.0%	10.3%	10.7%	224
IL	62.7%	19.1%	18.2%	225
KY	70.1%	17.7%	12.3%	334
LA	57.1%	12.4%	30.4%	217
MA	51.3%	21.2%	27.6%	359
ME	57.8%	10.3%	31.9%	301
MEORC	36.5%	19.4%	44.1%	263
MI	62.6%	11.4%	26.0%	289
MO	66.4%	15.3%	18.3%	476
NC	70.1%	13.5%	16.4%	549
NJ	78.1%	8.1%	13.9%	360
NY	67.1%	11.6%	21.2%	1,822
OH	45.1%	13.0%	41.9%	246
PA	58.9%	15.0%	26.1%	727
SC	64.3%	11.5%	24.2%	244
<b>Average</b>	<b>61.6%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>7,945</b>

**Table A.4 Did you choose your (did this person choose his/her) place of work? (unadjusted)**

State	Someone else chose	Person had some input	Person made the choice	N
AL	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	4
AR	20.7%	24.1%	55.2%	29
AZ	7.5%	34.0%	58.5%	53
CT	35.5%	31.5%	33.1%	124
GA	16.2%	18.9%	64.9%	74
HI	10.7%	25.0%	64.3%	28
IL	10.0%	50.0%	40.0%	20
KY	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	24
LA	27.5%	15.0%	57.5%	40
MA	10.5%	31.4%	58.1%	105
ME	12.9%	40.0%	47.1%	70
MEORC	5.3%	60.5%	34.2%	38
MI	22.6%	32.1%	45.3%	53
MO	13.2%	18.4%	68.4%	38
NC	20.5%	44.6%	34.9%	83
NJ	36.0%	40.0%	24.0%	25
NY	12.0%	40.4%	47.6%	267
OH	7.7%	35.9%	56.4%	39
PA	32.5%	35.0%	32.5%	40
SC	20.6%	30.2%	49.2%	63
<b>Average</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>34.1%</b>	<b>49.8%</b>	<b>1,217</b>

Table A.5 Did you choose (did this person choose) the staff who help you at work? (unadjusted)

State	No, someone else chose job staff	Some staff, or staff are assigned but person can request a change	Yes, person chose job staff	N
AR	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	21
AZ	19.5%	41.5%	39.0%	41
CT	55.0%	28.4%	16.5%	109
GA	27.1%	57.1%	15.7%	70
HI	38.1%	42.9%	19.0%	21
IL	43.8%	43.8%	12.5%	16
KY	41.2%	41.2%	17.6%	17
LA	36.7%	46.7%	16.7%	30
MA	32.5%	46.3%	21.3%	80
ME	46.6%	41.4%	12.1%	58
MEORC	8.3%	79.2%	12.5%	24
MI	37.8%	44.4%	17.8%	45
MO	56.0%	36.0%	8.0%	25
NC	24.3%	61.4%	14.3%	70
NJ	27.3%	63.6%	9.1%	22
NY	29.8%	53.2%	17.0%	235
OH	17.4%	43.5%	39.1%	23
PA	53.3%	36.7%	10.0%	30
SC	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	54
<b>Average</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>45.7%</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>991</b>

**Table A.6 Did you choose your (did this person choose his/her) day activity? (unadjusted)**

State	Someone else chose	Person had some input	Person made the choice	N
AL	78.6%	10.6%	10.9%	387
AR	39.9%	31.0%	29.1%	268
AZ	31.0%	23.5%	45.5%	268
CT	48.9%	27.0%	24.1%	311
GA	23.7%	37.8%	38.5%	455
HI	67.1%	16.4%	16.4%	353
IL	40.6%	35.1%	24.3%	288
KY	34.2%	50.8%	15.1%	398
LA	51.8%	27.7%	20.5%	224
MA	37.8%	36.7%	25.5%	384
ME	29.3%	38.4%	32.3%	232
MEORC	19.0%	29.9%	51.1%	284
MI	38.7%	33.1%	28.2%	284
MO	47.5%	26.4%	26.1%	280
NC	38.1%	41.5%	20.4%	661
NJ	58.3%	29.7%	12.0%	391
NY	45.5%	29.1%	25.4%	2,063
OH	24.9%	22.3%	52.8%	345
PA	36.3%	31.8%	31.9%	790
SC	56.8%	21.1%	22.1%	317
<b>Average</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>8,983</b>

**Table A.7 Did you choose (did this person choose) the staff who help you at your day activity? (unadjusted)**

State	No, someone else chose staff	Some staff, or staff are assigned but person can request a change	Yes, person chose staff	N
AL	79.1%	20.9%	0.0%	387
AR	51.9%	38.1%	10.0%	270
AZ	29.3%	31.4%	39.3%	242
CT	56.7%	27.0%	16.4%	293
GA	24.6%	59.1%	16.4%	464
HI	47.3%	40.0%	12.7%	355
IL	35.4%	46.5%	18.1%	288
KY	74.9%	21.2%	3.8%	419
LA	42.4%	47.0%	10.6%	236
MA	39.2%	46.7%	14.1%	383
ME	40.9%	45.2%	13.9%	252
MEORC	6.2%	78.4%	15.4%	306
MI	46.7%	33.6%	19.7%	274
MO	53.9%	38.6%	7.5%	295
NC	37.1%	52.2%	10.7%	709
NJ	37.5%	46.7%	15.8%	392
NY	36.2%	51.7%	12.1%	2,043
OH	19.4%	51.7%	28.9%	325
PA	55.9%	30.2%	13.8%	810
SC	56.0%	35.1%	8.9%	325
<b>Average</b>	<b>43.5%</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>9,068</b>

**Table A.8 Do you choose your (does this person choose his/her) daily schedule? (unadjusted)**

State	Someone else decides	Person has help deciding	Person decides	N
AL	22.1%	40.0%	37.9%	430
AR	21.4%	29.7%	48.8%	387
AZ	20.7%	19.0%	60.2%	357
CT	26.3%	29.5%	44.2%	380
GA	11.5%	34.5%	54.0%	513
HI	41.6%	20.9%	37.4%	425
IL	27.0%	34.0%	39.0%	341
KY	9.9%	35.4%	54.7%	466
LA	23.2%	32.0%	44.9%	419
MA	8.1%	33.4%	58.5%	494
ME	14.6%	36.9%	48.5%	336
MEORC	7.2%	25.3%	67.5%	363
MI	23.5%	32.5%	43.9%	412
MO	14.8%	33.5%	51.7%	493
NC	25.2%	39.1%	35.7%	903
NJ	7.5%	42.7%	49.9%	415
NY	24.9%	27.8%	47.4%	2,500
OH	16.1%	23.0%	60.9%	435
PA	13.3%	29.9%	56.8%	1,263
SC	13.8%	30.8%	55.4%	399
<b>Average</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>31.5%</b>	<b>49.9%</b>	<b>11,731</b>

**Table A.9 Do you choose (does this person choose) how to spend free time? (unadjusted)**

State	Someone else decides	Person has help deciding	Person decides	N
AL	6.7%	32.9%	60.3%	431
AR	9.0%	21.2%	69.8%	391
AZ	15.4%	18.3%	66.3%	356
CT	12.4%	27.7%	59.9%	379
GA	5.6%	28.0%	66.4%	515
HI	31.2%	15.5%	53.3%	426
IL	12.2%	30.0%	57.7%	343
KY	7.5%	30.6%	62.0%	468
LA	12.9%	22.9%	64.2%	419
MA	6.0%	29.4%	64.6%	497
ME	6.4%	31.6%	62.0%	342
MEORC	6.1%	17.4%	76.6%	363
MI	13.7%	23.7%	62.6%	409
MO	6.5%	23.7%	69.8%	494
NC	7.8%	34.1%	58.1%	906
NJ	3.3%	37.7%	59.0%	424
NY	13.5%	24.9%	61.6%	2,503
OH	6.3%	11.1%	82.6%	442
PA	5.3%	20.0%	74.8%	1,273
SC	4.2%	20.9%	74.9%	406
<b>Average</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>25.1%</b>	<b>65.3%</b>	<b>11,787</b>

**Table A.10 Do you choose (does this person choose) what to buy with spending money? (unadjusted)**

State	Someone else chooses	Person has help, or has set limits	Person chooses	N
AL	13.1%	39.4%	47.6%	429
AR	10.7%	28.3%	61.0%	392
AZ	11.4%	15.8%	72.7%	341
CT	15.0%	34.0%	50.9%	379
GA	5.5%	36.2%	58.3%	511
HI	40.3%	17.2%	42.4%	429
IL	11.5%	36.6%	52.0%	331
KY	9.5%	35.4%	55.1%	463
LA	15.5%	29.3%	55.2%	420
MA	8.5%	39.0%	52.5%	497
ME	7.9%	41.9%	50.1%	341
MEORC	5.7%	30.2%	64.0%	367
MI	17.8%	30.6%	51.6%	409
MO	11.7%	30.4%	57.9%	496
NC	13.2%	49.1%	37.7%	899
NJ	4.1%	48.4%	47.5%	415
NY	15.4%	33.6%	50.9%	2,509
OH	6.4%	15.3%	78.4%	439
PA	8.9%	24.4%	66.7%	1,251
SC	8.9%	29.0%	62.1%	404
<b>Average</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>32.2%</b>	<b>55.7%</b>	<b>11,722</b>

Table A.11 Did you choose your (did this person choose his/her) case manager/service coordinator?

State	No, someone else chose	Case manager/service coordinator was assigned but person can request a change	Yes, chose	N
AL	83.3%	15.7%	0.9%	426
AR	36.6%	48.3%	15.1%	385
AZ	35.7%	41.8%	22.5%	325
CT	71.3%	24.3%	4.4%	362
GA	37.0%	56.6%	6.4%	500
HI	46.7%	48.4%	4.8%	413
IL	42.8%	47.6%	9.6%	332
KY	79.9%	17.3%	2.8%	468
LA	23.8%	70.8%	5.4%	336
MA	65.5%	30.9%	3.6%	476
ME	46.0%	46.3%	7.7%	324
MEORC	6.6%	84.3%	9.1%	351
MI	37.0%	53.0%	10.0%	400
MO	52.5%	43.9%	3.7%	488
NC	28.5%	58.8%	12.8%	815
NJ	77.9%	20.1%	1.9%	417
NY	27.0%	60.2%	12.8%	2,352
OH	38.3%	48.2%	13.5%	334
PA	65.1%	28.6%	6.4%	1,176
SC	38.4%	46.8%	14.8%	391
<b>Average</b>	<b>47.0%</b>	<b>44.6%</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>11,071</b>

## Work Un-Collapsed

Table A.12 Person has a job in the community.

State	Was not in s paid community job	Was in a paid community job	N
AL	99.1%	0.9%	436
AR	91.4%	8.6%	394
AZ	78.8%	21.2%	325
CT	62.2%	37.8%	365
GA	85.9%	14.1%	545
HI	93.0%	7.0%	431
IL	93.5%	6.5%	337
KY	92.8%	7.2%	470
LA	88.8%	11.2%	410
MA	78.6%	21.4%	500
ME	74.8%	25.2%	309
MEORC	86.2%	13.8%	385
MI	83.3%	16.7%	366
MO	91.7%	8.3%	494
NC	86.3%	13.7%	844
NJ	93.6%	6.4%	424
NY	87.9%	12.1%	2,467
OH	86.6%	13.4%	462
PA	87.8%	12.2%	1,095
SC	79.9%	20.1%	359
<b>Average</b>	<b>86.1%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>11,418</b>

Table A.13 Type of job in the community

State	Food prep/service	Cleaning/maintenance	Personal care provider	Retail	Office/admin support	Farming, fishing, forestry worker	Construction/repair	Assembly/manufacturing/packaging	Materials handling/mail distribution	Management/business/financial operations	Professional or technical occupation	Self-employed	Other	N
AL	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4
AR	23.3%	30.0%	0.0%	16.7%	10.0%	3.3%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	10.0%	30
AZ	22.2%	17.5%	3.2%	11.1%	7.9%	3.2%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	63
CT	10.2%	34.3%	1.5%	16.1%	1.5%	0.0%	0.7%	13.1%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	16.8%	137
GA	32.1%	28.2%	1.3%	15.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	17.9%	78
HI	22.2%	22.2%	0.0%	11.1%	3.7%	7.4%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	7.4%	18.5%	27
IL	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.8%	21
KY	51.5%	12.1%	0.0%	12.1%	3.0%	3.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	6.1%	33
LA	22.7%	38.6%	0.0%	20.5%	2.3%	0.0%	2.3%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	9.1%	44
MA	17.5%	23.3%	0.0%	17.5%	2.9%	1.0%	0.0%	13.6%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	18.4%	103
ME	13.3%	32.0%	0.0%	17.3%	2.7%	1.3%	1.3%	6.7%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	21.3%	75
MEORC	30.0%	20.0%	0.0%	7.5%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	20.0%	40
MI	5.6%	42.6%	1.9%	7.4%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	22.2%	54
MO	16.1%	38.7%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.8%	31
NC	30.9%	22.7%	1.8%	10.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	23.6%	110
NJ	9.1%	54.5%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	13.6%	22
NY	16.3%	30.6%	1.7%	17.3%	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	17.0%	294
OH	22.6%	25.8%	0.0%	8.1%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	16.1%	3.2%	0.0%	1.6%	1.6%	12.9%	62
PA	33.9%	19.5%	0.0%	15.3%	0.8%	0.0%	1.7%	2.5%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	21.2%	118
SC	10.2%	32.2%	0.0%	10.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	32.2%	59
<b>Average</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>1,405</b>

**Table A.14 Did this person worked at least 10 of the last 12 months in a community job?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	25.0%	75.0%	4
AR	31.0%	69.0%	29
AZ	13.8%	86.2%	65
CT	9.7%	90.3%	135
GA	7.8%	92.2%	77
HI	18.5%	81.5%	27
IL	18.2%	81.8%	22
KY	12.5%	87.5%	32
LA	8.9%	91.1%	45
MA	7.8%	92.2%	103
ME	15.1%	84.9%	73
MEORC	31.0%	69.0%	42
MI	29.1%	70.9%	55
MO	21.9%	78.1%	32
NC	25.0%	75.0%	112
NJ	8.7%	91.3%	23
NY	15.9%	84.1%	285
OH	28.8%	71.2%	59
PA	15.3%	84.7%	124
SC	25.8%	74.2%	62
<b>Average</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>81.5%</b>	<b>1,406</b>

**Table A.15 Does this person receive paid sick or vacation time at community job?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	66.7%	33.3%	3
AR	96.3%	3.7%	27
AZ	48.9%	51.1%	47
CT	36.9%	63.1%	104
GA	61.4%	38.6%	70
HI	90.0%	10.0%	20
IL	72.2%	27.8%	18
KY	75.0%	25.0%	24
LA	71.4%	28.6%	42
MA	62.9%	37.1%	97
ME	71.6%	28.4%	74
MEORC	60.6%	39.4%	33
MI	78.0%	22.0%	50
MO	80.8%	19.2%	26
NC	88.5%	11.5%	104
NJ	81.0%	19.0%	21
NY	62.7%	37.3%	242
OH	58.0%	42.0%	50
PA	73.3%	26.7%	90
SC	89.3%	10.7%	56
<b>Average</b>	<b>71.3%</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	<b>1,198</b>

**Table A.16 If no community job, would you like a job in the community?**

State	No	In-between	Yes	N
AL	54.3%	4.3%	41.5%	234
AR	52.0%	7.8%	40.2%	204
AZ	76.1%	7.0%	16.9%	71
CT	42.9%	5.4%	51.8%	56
GA	41.7%	11.7%	46.6%	283
HI	45.0%	8.8%	46.2%	171
IL	39.7%	6.0%	54.3%	116
KY	51.5%	3.6%	44.9%	274
LA	46.5%	7.6%	45.9%	172
MA	53.0%	5.2%	41.8%	249
ME	42.3%	5.4%	52.3%	111
MEORC	55.6%	4.0%	40.4%	223
MI	30.5%	9.5%	60.0%	105
MO	40.9%	4.3%	54.7%	232
NC	35.8%	7.2%	57.0%	293
NJ	44.3%	8.4%	47.3%	298
NY	50.4%	9.0%	40.5%	1,095
OH	38.3%	7.4%	54.3%	243
PA	55.8%	4.1%	40.1%	416
SC	27.1%	8.9%	64.1%	192
<b>Average</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>47.0%</b>	<b>5,038</b>

**Table A.17 Is integrated employment a goal in service plan?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	91.3%	8.7%	436
AR	75.3%	24.7%	385
AZ	73.9%	26.1%	371
CT	67.4%	32.6%	390
GA	81.4%	18.6%	522
HI	86.3%	13.7%	430
IL	87.2%	12.8%	343
KY	82.3%	17.7%	452
LA	74.4%	25.6%	414
MA	71.2%	28.8%	489
ME	71.4%	28.6%	318
MEORC	86.0%	14.0%	378
MI	78.1%	21.9%	402
MO	78.2%	21.8%	487
NC	77.6%	22.4%	870
NJ	81.6%	18.4%	414
NY	78.2%	21.8%	2,431
OH	84.5%	15.5%	445
PA	78.8%	21.2%	1,147
SC	83.0%	17.0%	389
<b>Average</b>	<b>79.4%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>11,513</b>

**Table A.18 Do you go to day program or do other activity during the day?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	5.7%	94.3%	247
AR	32.6%	67.4%	258
AZ	36.7%	63.3%	330
CT	38.0%	62.0%	266
GA	20.3%	79.7%	389
HI	19.2%	80.8%	224
IL	16.4%	83.6%	244
KY	15.1%	84.9%	331
LA	52.5%	47.5%	282
MA	21.5%	78.5%	410
ME	25.6%	74.4%	234
MEORC	15.4%	84.6%	319
MI	41.5%	58.5%	270
MO	49.6%	50.4%	355
NC	28.0%	72.0%	542
NJ	5.8%	94.2%	362
NY	23.1%	76.9%	1,794
OH	15.1%	84.9%	385
PA	40.7%	59.3%	910
SC	21.1%	78.9%	332
<b>Average</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>73.8%</b>	<b>8,484</b>

**Table A.19 Do you do any volunteer work?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	83.5%	16.5%	236
AR	71.1%	28.9%	242
AZ	84.3%	15.7%	319
CT	72.7%	27.3%	253
GA	56.6%	43.4%	380
HI	44.8%	55.2%	212
IL	74.4%	25.6%	242
KY	85.5%	14.5%	330
LA	75.6%	24.4%	270
MA	64.2%	35.8%	394
ME	57.6%	42.4%	224
MEORC	75.1%	24.9%	285
MI	64.4%	35.6%	264
MO	76.6%	23.4%	351
NC	68.1%	31.9%	520
NJ	50.6%	49.4%	352
NY	57.1%	42.9%	1,721
OH	58.9%	41.1%	316
PA	72.5%	27.5%	866
SC	73.2%	26.8%	295
<b>Average</b>	<b>68.3%</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>8,072</b>

## Self-Directed Supports Un-Collapsed

Table A.20 Does this person currently use a self-directed supports option?

State	No	Yes	Don't know	N
AL	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	433
AR	98.5%	0.8%	0.8%	389
AZ	87.6%	6.6%	5.8%	357
CT	87.2%	11.3%	1.5%	392
GA	86.3%	13.7%	0.0%	541
HI	83.4%	15.7%	0.9%	430
IL	78.9%	16.9%	4.2%	340
KY	89.8%	9.5%	0.6%	460
LA	96.0%	3.1%	0.9%	418
MA	98.2%	1.6%	0.2%	502
ME	92.7%	4.1%	3.2%	330
MEORC	92.7%	4.7%	2.6%	376
MI	81.8%	15.6%	2.6%	406
MO	98.8%	1.2%	0.0%	499
NC	94.5%	2.4%	3.0%	872
NJ	98.8%	1.2%	0.0%	425
NY	93.6%	2.7%	3.7%	2,472
OH	84.6%	11.3%	4.1%	443
PA	92.6%	5.4%	2.0%	1,180
SC	95.3%	3.0%	1.7%	395
<b>Average</b>	<b>91.6%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>11,660</b>

**Table A.21 Does someone talk with you about budget and services?**

State	No	Maybe, not sure	Yes	N
AZ	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	15
CT	21.7%	13.0%	65.2%	23
GA	46.7%	10.0%	43.3%	30
HI	20.7%	13.8%	65.5%	29
IL	9.1%	4.5%	86.4%	22
KY	10.5%	36.8%	52.6%	19
LA	16.7%	0.0%	83.3%	6
MA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	7
ME	9.1%	0.0%	90.9%	11
MEORC	40.0%	0.0%	60.0%	5
MI	4.3%	8.7%	87.0%	23
MO	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	2
NJ	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	5
NY	15.6%	0.0%	84.4%	32
OH	12.9%	9.7%	77.4%	31
PA	23.5%	17.6%	58.8%	17
<b>Average</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>70.7%</b>	<b>277</b>

**Table A.22 Is there someone who helps you decide how to use budget/services?**

State	No	Maybe, not sure	Yes	N
AZ	10.0%	0.0%	90.0%	10
CT	5.6%	16.7%	77.8%	18
GA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	13
HI	4.5%	4.5%	90.9%	22
IL	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	22
KY	0.0%	37.5%	62.5%	16
LA	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%	5
MA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	7
ME	10.0%	0.0%	90.0%	10
MEORC	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3
MI	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	22
MO	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	2
NJ	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	4
NY	4.0%	0.0%	96.0%	25
OH	8.3%	8.3%	83.3%	24
PA	14.3%	7.1%	78.6%	14
<b>Average</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>89.0%</b>	<b>217</b>

**Table A.23 Can You Make Changes To Your Budget/Services If You Need To?**

State	No	Maybe, not sure	Yes	N
AZ	9.1%	27.3%	63.6%	11
CT	5.6%	11.1%	83.3%	18
GA	7.1%	7.1%	85.7%	14
HI	17.4%	17.4%	65.2%	23
IL	0.0%	5.0%	95.0%	20
KY	0.0%	43.8%	56.3%	16
LA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5
MA	0.0%	14.3%	85.7%	7
ME	8.3%	0.0%	91.7%	12
MEORC	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	3
MI	5.3%	10.5%	84.2%	19
MO	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	2
NJ	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	4
NY	4.2%	4.2%	91.7%	24
OH	5.3%	10.5%	84.2%	19
PA	0.0%	16.7%	83.3%	12
<b>Average</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>80.4%</b>	<b>209</b>

**Table A.24 Do you need more help deciding how to use your budget/services?**

State	Have Enough Help	Maybe, Not Sure	Need More Help	N
AZ	90.9%	0.0%	9.1%	11
CT	66.7%	11.1%	22.2%	18
GA	50.0%	21.4%	28.6%	14
HI	50.0%	12.5%	37.5%	24
IL	55.6%	5.6%	38.9%	18
KY	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	16
LA	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5
MA	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	6
ME	66.7%	8.3%	25.0%	12
MEORC	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	3
MI	60.0%	15.0%	25.0%	20
MO	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2
NJ	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
NY	76.0%	4.0%	20.0%	25
OH	61.9%	9.5%	28.6%	21
PA	61.5%	30.8%	7.7%	13
<b>Average</b>	<b>68.6%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>212</b>

**Table A.25 Do you get information about how much money is left in budget?**

State	No	Maybe, not sure	Yes	N
AZ	10.0%	0.0%	90.0%	10
CT	0.0%	23.5%	76.5%	17
GA	21.4%	14.3%	64.3%	14
HI	31.8%	22.7%	45.5%	22
IL	15.8%	5.3%	78.9%	19
KY	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	16
LA	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%	5
MA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6
ME	9.1%	0.0%	90.9%	11
MEORC	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3
MI	15.0%	0.0%	85.0%	20
MO	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	2
NJ	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	3
NY	12.0%	8.0%	80.0%	25
OH	5.6%	5.6%	88.9%	18
PA	0.0%	16.7%	83.3%	12
<b>Average</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>203</b>

**Table A.26 Is the information easy to understand?**

State	No	Maybe, not sure	Yes	N
AZ	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	9
CT	7.1%	21.4%	71.4%	14
GA	10.0%	30.0%	60.0%	10
HI	18.8%	31.3%	50.0%	16
IL	6.3%	18.8%	75.0%	16
KY	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	14
LA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4
MA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5
ME	0.0%	10.0%	90.0%	10
MEORC	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	3
MI	17.6%	23.5%	58.8%	17
MO	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	2
NJ	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	3
NY	4.8%	9.5%	85.7%	21
OH	5.9%	5.9%	88.2%	17
PA	0.0%	27.3%	72.7%	11
<b>Average</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>69.9%</b>	<b>172</b>

**Table A.27 Do your support workers come when they are supposed to?**

State	No	Maybe, not sure	Yes	N
AZ	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	16
CT	14.3%	4.8%	81.0%	21
GA	10.3%	6.9%	82.8%	29
HI	9.5%	4.8%	85.7%	21
IL	4.0%	4.0%	92.0%	25
KY	0.0%	36.4%	63.6%	11
LA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	7
MA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	7
ME	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	8
MEORC	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%	5
MI	0.0%	4.0%	96.0%	25
MO	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	2
NC	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1
NJ	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	3
NY	3.4%	10.3%	86.2%	29
OH	8.7%	4.3%	87.0%	23
PA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	16
<b>Average</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>89.5%</b>	<b>249</b>

**Table A.28 Do you get help you need to work out any problems you have with support workers?**

State	No	Maybe, not sure	Yes	N
AZ	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	17
CT	11.1%	0.0%	88.9%	18
GA	0.0%	3.6%	96.4%	28
HI	5.3%	0.0%	84.2%	19
IL	4.8%	0.0%	95.2%	21
KY	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%	10
LA	0.0%	16.7%	83.3%	6
MA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5
ME	11.1%	0.0%	88.9%	9
MEORC	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	3
MI	10.0%	0.0%	90.0%	20
MO	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	2
NC	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1
NJ	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	3
NY	0.0%	12.0%	88.0%	25
OH	8.3%	0.0%	91.7%	12
PA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	15
<b>Average</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>88.2%</b>	<b>214</b>

## Community Inclusion Un-Collapsed and Unadjusted

**Table A.29** In the past month, did you (did this person) go shopping?

State	No	Yes	N
AL	7.4%	92.6%	433
AR	17.6%	82.4%	387
AZ	7.1%	92.9%	365
CT	10.4%	89.6%	384
GA	8.3%	91.7%	520
HI	8.4%	91.6%	430
IL	10.6%	89.4%	340
KY	13.4%	86.6%	471
LA	14.3%	85.7%	421
MA	10.4%	89.6%	498
ME	6.9%	93.1%	347
MEORC	13.7%	86.3%	365
MI	12.6%	87.4%	414
MO	10.0%	90.0%	499
NC	14.3%	85.7%	898
NJ	13.2%	86.8%	423
NY	9.4%	90.6%	2,526
OH	17.2%	82.8%	448
PA	12.9%	87.1%	1,268
SC	16.3%	83.7%	404
<b>Average</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>88.3%</b>	<b>11,841</b>

**Table A.30** Average times person went shopping -- unadjusted

State	Mean	N
AL	1.6	345
AR	2.8	387
AZ	2.3	351
CT	3.6	346
GA	2.2	485
HI	2.7	430
IL	2.3	333
KY	2.0	471
LA	3.3	417
MA	3.5	494
ME	5.6	317
MEORC	2.0	307
MI	3.3	408
MO	3.1	497
NC	3.1	883
NJ	2.2	409
NY	2.8	2,504
OH	1.7	273
PA	3.5	1,234
SC	2.6	402
<b>Average</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>11,293</b>

**Table A.31 In the past month, did you (did this person) go out on errands or appointments?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	16.6%	83.4%	422
AR	17.4%	82.6%	390
AZ	34.7%	65.3%	357
CT	10.7%	89.3%	383
GA	17.9%	82.1%	507
HI	20.3%	79.7%	408
IL	15.7%	84.3%	338
KY	15.2%	84.8%	468
LA	21.6%	78.4%	422
MA	10.1%	89.9%	495
ME	4.7%	95.3%	340
MEORC	20.3%	79.7%	364
MI	15.4%	84.6%	416
MO	13.1%	86.9%	496
NC	19.9%	80.1%	898
NJ	14.6%	85.4%	419
NY	15.4%	84.6%	2,510
OH	24.2%	75.8%	442
PA	13.3%	86.7%	1,250
SC	13.1%	86.9%	404
<b>Average</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>11,729</b>

**Table A.32 Average times person went out on errands -- unadjusted**

State	Mean	N
AL	1.6	326
AR	2.8	390
AZ	2.3	337
CT	3.6	347
GA	2.2	466
HI	2.7	408
IL	2.3	334
KY	2.0	466
LA	3.3	420
MA	3.5	491
ME	5.6	314
MEORC	2.0	301
MI	3.3	409
MO	3.1	494
NC	3.1	890
NJ	2.2	402
NY	2.8	2,494
OH	1.7	290
PA	3.5	1,194
SC	2.6	404
<b>Average</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>11,177</b>

**Table A.33 In the past month, did you (did this person) go out for entertainment?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	17.9%	82.1%	429
AR	29.3%	70.7%	389
AZ	17.5%	82.5%	361
CT	25.7%	74.3%	377
GA	28.3%	71.7%	508
HI	23.8%	76.2%	428
IL	24.6%	75.4%	334
KY	21.2%	78.8%	471
LA	29.6%	70.4%	422
MA	30.7%	69.3%	486
ME	24.0%	76.0%	334
MEORC	38.7%	61.3%	357
MI	27.3%	72.7%	417
MO	30.4%	69.6%	497
NC	27.5%	72.5%	899
NJ	26.4%	73.6%	420
NY	26.8%	73.2%	2,502
OH	41.3%	58.7%	450
PA	33.6%	66.4%	1,243
SC	33.6%	66.4%	402
<b>Average</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>11,726</b>

**Table A.34 Average times person went out to for entertainment -- unadjusted**

State	Mean	N
AL	1.9	334
AR	2.3	388
AZ	3.6	343
CT	2.9	354
GA	2.0	474
HI	3.2	427
IL	1.9	332
KY	2.1	471
LA	2.6	420
MA	2.4	484
ME	3.0	310
MEORC	1.4	310
MI	3.0	408
MO	2.4	494
NC	2.4	884
NJ	2.0	403
NY	2.7	2,490
OH	1.1	318
PA	2.2	1,195
SC	1.6	397
<b>Average</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>11,236</b>

**Table A.35 In the past month, did you (did this person) go out to restaurant or coffee shop?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	11.4%	88.6%	431
AR	19.6%	80.4%	393
AZ	37.3%	62.7%	354
CT	16.1%	83.9%	385
GA	9.5%	90.5%	518
HI	21.4%	78.6%	429
IL	10.7%	89.3%	338
KY	15.0%	85.0%	466
LA	22.7%	77.3%	422
MA	9.0%	91.0%	499
ME	10.3%	89.7%	339
MEORC	18.8%	81.3%	368
MI	16.6%	83.4%	416
MO	14.7%	85.3%	496
NC	13.3%	86.7%	899
NJ	16.0%	84.0%	419
NY	15.9%	84.1%	2,511
OH	15.5%	84.5%	451
PA	20.5%	79.5%	1,253
SC	13.5%	86.5%	408
<b>Average</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>83.6%</b>	<b>11,795</b>

**Table A.36 Average times person went out to eat -- unadjusted**

State	Mean	N
AL	3.1	340
AR	3.7	392
AZ	2.5	344
CT	4.0	355
GA	3.8	475
HI	4.4	429
IL	3.2	336
KY	2.5	465
LA	3.6	417
MA	5.6	496
ME	4.3	316
MEORC	2.4	310
MI	3.3	410
MO	4.0	494
NC	4.6	887
NJ	2.7	401
NY	3.6	2,496
OH	3.0	270
PA	3.3	1,215
SC	3.1	404
<b>Average</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>11,252</b>

**Table A.37 In the past month, did you (did this person) go to religious services or spiritual practice?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	38.0%	62.0%	421
AR	44.6%	55.4%	386
AZ	41.1%	58.9%	358
CT	62.0%	38.0%	374
GA	28.8%	71.2%	497
HI	56.5%	43.5%	400
IL	52.4%	47.6%	330
KY	65.4%	34.6%	468
LA	40.0%	60.0%	417
MA	62.5%	37.5%	488
ME	67.2%	32.8%	341
MEORC	57.0%	43.0%	358
MI	52.8%	47.2%	413
MO	55.5%	44.5%	490
NC	36.8%	63.2%	894
NJ	42.1%	57.9%	411
NY	59.0%	41.0%	2,485
OH	56.4%	43.6%	450
PA	60.3%	39.7%	1,226
SC	34.3%	65.8%	400
<b>Average</b>	<b>50.6%</b>	<b>49.4%</b>	<b>11,607</b>

**Table A.38 Average times person went to religious services or spiritual practice --unadjusted**

State	Mean	N
AL	1.9	362
AR	2.2	386
AZ	2.8	355
CT	1.2	365
GA	2.5	475
HI	1.6	400
IL	1.6	329
KY	1.3	467
LA	2.4	413
MA	1.2	485
ME	1.0	330
MEORC	1.4	331
MI	1.5	404
MO	1.7	487
NC	2.4	892
NJ	1.8	407
NY	1.5	2,478
OH	1.3	391
PA	1.5	1,209
SC	2.5	398
<b>Average</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>11,364</b>

**Table A.39 In the past month, did you (did this person) go out for exercise?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	74.5%	25.5%	428
AR	41.5%	58.5%	393
AZ	40.2%	59.8%	356
CT	35.8%	64.2%	380
GA	45.5%	54.5%	514
HI	19.3%	80.7%	430
IL	29.7%	70.3%	343
KY	80.8%	19.2%	469
LA	44.2%	55.8%	423
MA	48.1%	51.9%	493
ME	30.0%	70.0%	337
MEORC	53.1%	46.9%	360
MI	35.7%	64.3%	415
MO	48.0%	52.0%	494
NC	32.3%	67.7%	899
NJ	48.6%	51.4%	418
NY	35.9%	64.1%	2,510
OH	59.2%	40.8%	451
PA	43.5%	56.5%	1,253
SC	53.5%	46.5%	409
<b>Average</b>	<b>45.0%</b>	<b>55.0%</b>	<b>11,775</b>

**Table A.40 Average times person went out for exercise --unadjusted**

State	Mean	N
AL	2.6	400
AR	7.1	393
AZ	7.0	347
CT	5.4	357
GA	4.2	500
HI	13.6	429
IL	6.6	341
KY	1.1	469
LA	6.0	403
MA	5.7	493
ME	8.8	315
MEORC	4.3	290
MI	7.9	410
MO	5.3	493
NC	9.1	889
NJ	2.8	406
NY	7.8	2,492
OH	2.4	353
PA	7.5	1,218
SC	5.7	405
<b>Average</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>11,403</b>

**Table A.41 In past year, did you (did this person) go on vacation?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	63.9%	36.1%	421
AR	47.5%	52.5%	387
AZ	66.9%	33.1%	357
CT	50.7%	49.3%	371
GA	52.1%	47.9%	493
HI	67.8%	32.2%	413
IL	55.0%	45.0%	333
KY	81.1%	18.9%	471
LA	58.0%	42.0%	421
MA	41.8%	58.2%	488
ME	51.5%	48.5%	326
MEORC	59.4%	40.6%	355
MI	58.4%	41.6%	411
MO	64.3%	35.7%	488
NC	52.3%	47.7%	887
NJ	42.8%	57.2%	414
NY	48.6%	51.4%	2,492
OH	55.8%	44.2%	428
PA	61.1%	38.9%	1,234
SC	46.5%	53.5%	402
<b>Average</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>43.7%</b>	<b>11,592</b>

**Table A.42 Average times person went on vacation --unadjusted**

State	Mean	N
AL	0.4	381
AR	0.8	385
AZ	0.6	349
CT	1.0	359
GA	0.7	475
HI	0.5	413
IL	0.7	333
KY	0.2	470
LA	0.8	414
MA	1.1	487
ME	0.6	295
MEORC	0.5	337
MI	0.8	408
MO	0.5	485
NC	0.8	884
NJ	0.8	407
NY	0.9	2,482
OH	0.5	366
PA	0.7	1,204
SC	0.7	401
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>11,335</b>

## Relationships Un-Collapsed

Table A.43 Do you have friends, or people you are close with?

State	No, does not have friends	Yes, all friends are staff or family, or cannot determine	Yes, has friends who are not staff or family	N
AL	8.1%	9.7%	82.2%	247
AR	6.3%	19.7%	74.0%	254
AZ	10.3%	49.2%	40.5%	321
CT	8.7%	20.2%	71.1%	263
GA	5.0%	15.5%	79.5%	380
HI	8.5%	17.0%	74.6%	224
IL	7.0%	19.3%	73.8%	244
KY	4.2%	62.7%	33.0%	330
LA	12.1%	17.0%	70.9%	282
MA	7.0%	18.7%	74.3%	401
ME	3.8%	16.7%	79.6%	240
MEORC	10.1%	7.1%	82.8%	308
MI	11.4%	21.0%	67.6%	272
MO	9.2%	17.9%	72.9%	347
NC	9.9%	13.0%	77.1%	538
NJ	10.6%	13.9%	75.6%	360
NY	10.3%	20.0%	69.6%	1,769
OH	5.3%	23.7%	71.0%	376
PA	7.3%	18.0%	74.7%	893
SC	4.6%	37.1%	58.4%	329
<b>Average</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>21.9%</b>	<b>70.2%</b>	<b>8,378</b>

**Table A.44 Do you have a best friend?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	30.7%	69.3%	244
AR	16.1%	83.9%	249
AZ	55.4%	44.6%	314
CT	18.6%	81.4%	253
GA	25.0%	75.0%	364
HI	18.0%	82.0%	211
IL	18.3%	81.7%	240
KY	50.3%	49.7%	328
LA	24.0%	76.0%	275
MA	18.0%	82.0%	388
ME	20.2%	79.8%	223
MEORC	23.3%	76.7%	287
MI	27.1%	72.9%	258
MO	22.7%	77.3%	339
NC	25.2%	74.8%	523
NJ	21.5%	78.5%	340
NY	26.2%	73.8%	1,704
OH	16.0%	84.0%	344
PA	18.4%	81.6%	850
SC	13.1%	86.9%	320
<b>Average</b>	<b>24.4%</b>	<b>75.6%</b>	<b>8,054</b>

Table A.45 Are you able to see your friends when you want to?

State	No, unable to see friends	Sometimes can't see friends	Yes, can see friends whenever s/he wants to	N
AL	1.3%	22.7%	76.0%	225
AR	1.6%	9.0%	89.3%	244
AZ	1.4%	14.3%	84.3%	280
CT	8.4%	11.4%	80.2%	237
GA	5.1%	19.7%	75.3%	356
HI	7.1%	26.4%	66.5%	197
IL	2.7%	13.3%	84.1%	226
KY	1.3%	51.1%	47.6%	315
LA	2.9%	15.4%	81.7%	241
MA	4.4%	17.7%	77.9%	362
ME	2.3%	14.1%	83.6%	220
MEORC	1.8%	15.1%	83.1%	272
MI	8.3%	19.5%	72.2%	241
MO	4.6%	11.8%	83.7%	306
NC	4.7%	20.3%	75.1%	469
NJ	3.5%	18.4%	78.1%	310
NY	5.1%	14.5%	80.4%	1,530
OH	4.6%	7.8%	87.6%	346
PA	2.5%	8.1%	89.3%	787
SC	4.6%	20.5%	74.9%	307
<b>Average</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>78.5%</b>	<b>7,471</b>

Table A.46 Are you able to see your family when you want to?

State	No	Sometimes	Yes, sees family whenever s/he wants to, or chooses not to see family	N
AL	5.2%	27.5%	67.2%	229
AR	7.6%	11.0%	81.4%	237
AZ	3.3%	10.7%	86.0%	299
CT	5.6%	13.2%	81.2%	234
GA	4.8%	14.3%	80.9%	356
HI	5.2%	30.6%	64.2%	173
IL	4.7%	15.0%	80.3%	233
KY	4.7%	31.2%	64.1%	295
LA	4.5%	7.8%	87.7%	269
MA	2.0%	15.5%	82.5%	348
ME	3.6%	20.3%	76.1%	222
MEORC	2.7%	9.4%	87.8%	255
MI	5.1%	19.4%	75.5%	237
MO	8.6%	12.8%	78.6%	304
NC	3.7%	20.3%	76.0%	508
NJ	2.9%	24.5%	72.6%	314
NY	5.6%	12.0%	82.5%	1,547
OH	4.1%	7.9%	88.0%	341
PA	4.4%	10.9%	84.7%	769
SC	5.6%	16.8%	77.6%	304
<b>Average</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>78.7%</b>	<b>7,474</b>

**Table A.47 Do you ever feel lonely?**

State	No- not often (less than half the time)	Sometimes (about half the time)	Yes- often feels lonely (more than half the time)	N
AL	60.3%	29.5%	10.3%	234
AR	55.1%	29.4%	15.5%	245
AZ	79.4%	12.7%	7.9%	316
CT	65.0%	27.2%	7.7%	246
GA	59.4%	33.1%	7.5%	372
HI	56.4%	30.8%	12.8%	211
IL	60.4%	28.5%	11.1%	235
KY	27.6%	34.4%	38.0%	326
LA	75.1%	18.9%	6.0%	265
MA	57.5%	30.7%	11.8%	381
ME	60.7%	31.7%	7.6%	224
MEORC	65.0%	28.4%	6.6%	303
MI	56.2%	29.1%	14.7%	258
MO	65.0%	25.6%	9.4%	340
NC	56.0%	31.6%	12.4%	516
NJ	61.1%	23.1%	15.8%	342
NY	64.8%	26.2%	8.9%	1,701
OH	61.9%	28.7%	9.5%	349
PA	60.4%	29.5%	10.1%	831
SC	48.7%	33.7%	17.6%	312
<b>Average</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>8,007</b>

Table A.48 Can you go on a date if you want to?

State	No	Yes, but there are some restrictions/ rules about dating	Yes, can date or is married/ living with partner	N
AL	16.2%	6.9%	76.9%	130
AR	8.4%	17.2%	74.4%	203
AZ	37.5%	34.9%	27.5%	269
CT	20.6%	23.6%	55.8%	199
GA	11.6%	12.8%	75.5%	327
HI	28.4%	20.7%	50.9%	169
IL	11.4%	16.8%	71.8%	202
KY	10.6%	40.3%	49.1%	226
LA	23.0%	12.0%	65.1%	209
MA	12.7%	9.4%	77.9%	299
ME	13.9%	21.7%	64.5%	166
MEORC	6.9%	4.1%	89.0%	218
MI	23.1%	15.7%	61.1%	216
MO	13.2%	22.1%	64.6%	280
NC	15.6%	25.0%	59.4%	448
NJ	18.5%	24.9%	56.6%	265
NY	21.9%	18.7%	59.4%	1,254
OH	11.1%	5.1%	83.8%	315
PA	15.6%	12.4%	72.0%	614
SC	16.9%	18.0%	65.2%	267
<b>Average</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>65.0%</b>	<b>6,276</b>

**Table A.49 Can you help other people if you want to?**

State	No	Sometimes	Yes	N
AL	2.5%	6.7%	90.8%	240
AR	7.1%	10.3%	82.5%	252
AZ	18.1%	26.7%	55.2%	315
CT	3.6%	6.0%	90.4%	250
GA	4.2%	5.0%	90.9%	383
HI	12.2%	8.0%	79.8%	213
IL	8.0%	12.6%	79.4%	238
KY	65.5%	14.5%	20.0%	325
LA	9.7%	5.8%	84.5%	278
MA	2.9%	7.6%	89.5%	382
ME	10.3%	17.0%	72.8%	224
MEORC	3.8%	7.5%	88.7%	265
MI	3.9%	8.5%	87.6%	259
MO	4.1%	5.3%	90.6%	341
NC	8.5%	8.5%	83.0%	528
NJ	4.4%	2.6%	93.0%	342
NY	8.2%	8.8%	83.0%	1,743
OH	2.8%	3.1%	94.1%	358
PA	6.3%	6.0%	87.7%	857
SC	8.3%	12.8%	78.8%	312
<b>Average</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>81.1%</b>	<b>8,105</b>

## Satisfaction Un-Collapsed

Table A.50 Do you like where you live?

State	No	In-between	Yes	N
AL	5.7%	5.7%	88.6%	246
AR	5.5%	3.6%	90.9%	253
AZ	1.8%	2.7%	95.5%	331
CT	5.2%	4.8%	90.0%	269
GA	3.1%	4.6%	92.3%	389
HI	7.5%	4.4%	88.1%	227
IL	3.2%	6.1%	90.7%	247
KY	3.9%	4.2%	91.8%	330
LA	6.4%	3.2%	90.5%	283
MA	3.4%	5.9%	90.7%	407
ME	5.0%	5.8%	89.3%	242
MEORC	9.1%	4.7%	86.1%	317
MI	6.2%	5.8%	88.0%	276
MO	5.4%	6.3%	88.4%	352
NC	3.3%	4.0%	92.7%	548
NJ	5.6%	6.1%	88.3%	360
NY	4.1%	5.4%	90.5%	1,782
OH	5.7%	3.1%	91.1%	384
PA	5.1%	4.9%	90.0%	917
SC	7.2%	7.5%	85.3%	333
<b>Average</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>89.9%</b>	<b>8,493</b>

**Table A.51 Do you like your neighborhood?**

State	No	In-between	Yes	N
AL	5.4%	7.4%	87.2%	242
AR	5.2%	5.6%	89.2%	249
AZ	5.4%	4.4%	90.2%	315
CT	4.6%	7.6%	87.8%	262
GA	6.1%	7.2%	86.7%	377
HI	9.7%	3.2%	87.1%	217
IL	5.4%	9.5%	85.1%	241
KY	7.9%	10.6%	81.5%	329
LA	5.1%	4.0%	91.0%	277
MA	3.5%	6.5%	90.0%	400
ME	3.1%	4.5%	92.4%	223
MEORC	5.5%	8.4%	86.0%	308
MI	6.8%	8.0%	85.2%	264
MO	6.7%	5.2%	88.0%	343
NC	4.9%	5.6%	89.5%	534
NJ	5.1%	3.1%	91.8%	354
NY	5.3%	5.9%	88.8%	1,748
OH	6.1%	6.7%	87.2%	359
PA	4.5%	6.2%	89.3%	871
SC	9.3%	8.0%	82.7%	324
<b>Average</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>87.8%</b>	<b>8,237</b>

Table A.52 Would you like to live somewhere else?

State	No	In-between	Yes	N
AL	69.9%	9.3%	20.7%	246
AR	63.5%	7.5%	29.0%	255
AZ	84.5%	4.3%	11.1%	323
CT	62.4%	10.1%	27.5%	258
GA	70.2%	8.1%	21.7%	383
HI	62.7%	8.8%	28.6%	217
IL	66.5%	10.3%	23.1%	242
KY	83.9%	4.2%	11.8%	330
LA	67.7%	3.6%	28.7%	279
MA	63.3%	6.8%	29.9%	398
ME	65.9%	8.8%	25.2%	226
MEORC	69.5%	7.3%	23.2%	302
MI	59.8%	5.9%	34.3%	271
MO	63.8%	5.5%	30.7%	348
NC	64.7%	7.2%	28.0%	539
NJ	59.0%	7.3%	33.7%	356
NY	68.7%	7.3%	24.1%	1,746
OH	60.2%	4.9%	35.0%	349
PA	69.0%	4.9%	26.1%	881
SC	56.2%	4.7%	39.1%	322
<b>Average</b>	<b>66.6%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>8,271</b>

**Table A.53 Do you like your job in the community?**

State	No	In-between	Yes	N
AL	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4
AR	0.0%	7.4%	92.6%	27
AZ	3.8%	7.7%	88.5%	52
CT	4.2%	8.5%	87.3%	118
GA	2.6%	3.9%	93.4%	76
HI	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	29
IL	5.6%	5.6%	88.9%	18
KY	0.0%	3.6%	96.4%	28
LA	0.0%	2.6%	97.4%	38
MA	1.0%	4.1%	94.8%	97
ME	3.1%	3.1%	93.8%	65
MEORC	0.0%	7.9%	92.1%	38
MI	4.0%	8.0%	88.0%	50
MO	0.0%	7.5%	92.5%	40
NC	1.4%	12.3%	86.3%	73
NJ	4.0%	0.0%	96.0%	25
NY	1.9%	9.6%	88.5%	260
OH	2.6%	7.7%	89.7%	39
PA	0.0%	5.9%	94.1%	101
SC	3.3%	10.0%	86.7%	60
<b>Average</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>92.3%</b>	<b>1,238</b>

Table A.54 Would you like to work somewhere else?

State	No	In-between	Yes	N
AL	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	4
AR	51.9%	22.2%	25.9%	27
AZ	70.0%	8.0%	22.0%	50
CT	53.8%	14.5%	31.6%	117
GA	72.2%	11.1%	16.7%	72
HI	69.0%	10.3%	20.7%	29
IL	55.6%	0.0%	44.4%	18
KY	89.7%	3.4%	6.9%	29
LA	78.9%	7.9%	13.2%	38
MA	56.8%	11.6%	31.6%	95
ME	69.8%	14.3%	15.9%	63
MEORC	61.1%	19.4%	19.4%	36
MI	46.0%	14.0%	40.0%	50
MO	61.5%	2.6%	35.9%	39
NC	50.0%	11.1%	38.9%	72
NJ	76.0%	12.0%	12.0%	25
NY	52.3%	11.6%	36.0%	258
OH	65.8%	5.3%	28.9%	38
PA	73.7%	8.1%	18.2%	99
SC	40.0%	11.7%	48.3%	60
<b>Average</b>	<b>63.5%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>1,219</b>

**Table A.55 Do you like day program/regular activity?**

State	No	In-between	Yes	N
AL	6.5%	7.4%	86.1%	231
AR	4.7%	5.2%	90.1%	172
AZ	2.1%	7.0%	90.9%	187
CT	5.1%	8.2%	86.7%	158
GA	2.3%	2.6%	95.1%	307
HI	2.2%	3.9%	93.9%	180
IL	2.0%	8.5%	89.4%	199
KY	3.6%	6.0%	90.4%	281
LA	3.9%	7.0%	89.1%	129
MA	5.0%	8.1%	86.9%	320
ME	2.3%	2.3%	95.3%	172
MEORC	4.1%	7.4%	88.5%	269
MI	1.3%	6.4%	92.4%	157
MO	3.4%	4.5%	92.1%	177
NC	2.3%	3.1%	94.6%	386
NJ	4.7%	6.2%	89.1%	339
NY	3.2%	6.1%	90.7%	1,359
OH	3.4%	4.6%	92.0%	325
PA	1.5%	5.9%	92.5%	522
SC	8.1%	7.3%	84.6%	259
<b>Average</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>90.5%</b>	<b>6,129</b>

**Table A.56 Would you like to go/do something else during the day?**

State	No	In-between	Yes	N
AL	60.9%	9.6%	29.6%	230
AR	49.4%	8.8%	41.8%	170
AZ	78.9%	2.9%	18.3%	175
CT	57.0%	6.7%	36.2%	149
GA	58.3%	15.6%	26.1%	295
HI	43.8%	13.6%	42.6%	169
IL	58.3%	9.4%	32.3%	192
KY	72.4%	12.2%	15.4%	279
LA	52.4%	12.9%	34.7%	124
MA	54.6%	9.5%	35.9%	304
ME	62.0%	10.8%	27.1%	166
MEORC	67.7%	11.4%	20.9%	254
MI	54.1%	6.8%	39.0%	146
MO	51.2%	11.4%	37.3%	166
NC	48.6%	11.0%	40.4%	364
NJ	51.1%	10.2%	38.7%	333
NY	64.6%	7.8%	27.5%	1,315
OH	49.7%	8.3%	42.0%	288
PA	67.6%	3.5%	29.0%	487
SC	42.0%	10.4%	47.6%	250
<b>Average</b>	<b>57.2%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>33.1%</b>	<b>5,856</b>

## Service Coordination Un-Collapsed

Table A.57 Have you met your case manager/service coordinator?

State	No	Maybe, not sure	Yes	N
AL	1.3%	5.1%	93.7%	237
AR	1.6%	0.4%	98.0%	251
AZ	1.5%	4.3%	94.1%	324
CT	4.6%	4.6%	90.8%	262
GA	1.0%	6.3%	92.7%	381
HI	6.1%	1.9%	92.0%	213
IL	0.0%	3.8%	96.3%	240
KY	4.5%	2.1%	93.4%	332
LA	2.5%	4.9%	92.6%	243
MA	2.0%	2.3%	95.7%	398
ME	1.7%	0.4%	97.9%	234
MEORC	0.7%	1.3%	98.0%	304
MI	2.2%	2.6%	95.2%	270
MO	3.4%	2.3%	94.3%	350
NC	3.8%	3.6%	92.7%	505
NJ	7.7%	5.7%	86.6%	352
NY	1.3%	1.7%	96.9%	1,736
OH	5.9%	1.8%	92.3%	338
PA	5.5%	1.5%	93.0%	859
SC	2.5%	1.6%	96.0%	322
<b>Average</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>94.1%</b>	<b>8,151</b>

**Table A.58 Does your case manager/service coordinator ask you what you want?**

State	No	Sometimes	Yes	N
AL	0.4%	2.6%	96.9%	227
AR	3.7%	6.5%	89.8%	245
AZ	4.6%	7.6%	87.8%	303
CT	8.8%	8.0%	83.2%	238
GA	3.4%	16.4%	80.2%	348
HI	7.9%	4.7%	87.4%	190
IL	3.0%	4.3%	92.8%	235
KY	4.0%	10.7%	85.3%	327
LA	3.1%	6.6%	90.3%	226
MA	5.2%	9.6%	85.2%	365
ME	6.2%	9.5%	84.4%	211
MEORC	4.8%	8.9%	86.3%	271
MI	6.3%	8.7%	84.9%	252
MO	6.1%	7.3%	86.5%	327
NC	8.2%	5.1%	86.7%	450
NJ	7.0%	5.6%	87.4%	302
NY	3.9%	5.4%	90.7%	1,662
OH	4.2%	6.6%	89.2%	288
PA	7.5%	6.1%	86.4%	765
SC	8.4%	6.4%	85.2%	298
<b>Average</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>87.3%</b>	<b>7,530</b>

**Table A.59 Does your case manager/service coordinator help get what you need?**

State	No, does not help	Sometimes helps	Yes, does help	N
AL	1.3%	3.9%	94.8%	229
AR	4.1%	5.8%	90.1%	242
AZ	2.0%	8.1%	89.9%	298
CT	4.0%	7.6%	88.4%	224
GA	1.6%	22.4%	76.1%	322
HI	5.0%	9.4%	85.6%	180
IL	1.3%	8.7%	90.0%	230
KY	4.0%	12.4%	83.5%	322
LA	2.8%	9.9%	87.3%	213
MA	1.8%	13.2%	85.0%	333
ME	3.2%	10.9%	86.0%	221
MEORC	2.3%	5.8%	91.8%	257
MI	3.7%	13.8%	82.5%	246
MO	4.7%	8.4%	86.9%	297
NC	5.7%	9.5%	84.9%	423
NJ	5.9%	10.0%	84.1%	290
NY	1.9%	6.4%	91.7%	1,612
OH	3.0%	5.7%	91.2%	297
PA	4.2%	7.9%	87.9%	738
SC	2.7%	11.8%	85.5%	296
<b>Average</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>87.2%</b>	<b>7,270</b>

**Table A.60 If you call and leave a message, does your case manager/service coordinator call you right away?**

State	Takes a long time to call back	In-between	Calls back right away	N
AL	0.0%	3.3%	96.7%	121
AR	9.5%	14.5%	76.0%	179
AZ	6.3%	7.1%	86.6%	269
CT	8.3%	14.0%	77.7%	157
GA	4.7%	28.0%	67.3%	257
HI	16.8%	23.2%	60.0%	95
IL	2.7%	18.6%	78.7%	183
KY	4.6%	14.6%	80.8%	323
LA	3.4%	9.2%	87.4%	207
MA	11.0%	21.6%	67.4%	227
ME	14.4%	25.6%	60.0%	160
MEORC	8.3%	24.1%	67.6%	145
MI	10.3%	22.7%	67.0%	203
MO	6.1%	14.9%	79.1%	148
NC	4.1%	17.3%	78.6%	266
NJ	28.6%	14.3%	57.1%	63
NY	6.4%	16.1%	77.5%	1,315
OH	23.3%	24.5%	52.1%	163
PA	5.7%	14.3%	80.0%	455
SC	5.1%	11.2%	83.7%	178
<b>Average</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>5,114</b>

Table A.61 Did you help make service plan?

State	No	Maybe, not sure	Yes	N
AL	0.0%	2.2%	97.8%	232
AR	5.3%	6.6%	88.1%	227
AZ	7.3%	9.5%	83.2%	274
CT	9.0%	12.7%	78.3%	212
GA	4.2%	9.6%	86.2%	355
HI	8.5%	11.2%	80.3%	188
IL	1.3%	8.5%	90.1%	223
KY	1.0%	11.9%	87.2%	312
LA	5.4%	7.8%	86.8%	204
MA	4.5%	5.5%	90.0%	380
ME	3.1%	12.0%	84.9%	192
MEORC	3.2%	10.4%	86.3%	278
MI	3.5%	17.4%	79.1%	230
MO	6.2%	5.9%	87.9%	323
NC	5.2%	7.4%	87.4%	444
NJ	1.2%	3.3%	95.5%	335
NY	6.4%	8.1%	85.5%	1,536
OH	5.8%	12.1%	82.1%	240
PA	6.2%	9.2%	84.6%	599
SC	6.2%	13.8%	79.9%	289
<b>Average</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>86.1%</b>	<b>7,073</b>

## Access Un-Collapsed

Table A.62 When you want to go somewhere, do you always have a way to get there?

State	No, almost never	Sometimes	Yes, almost always	N
AL	2.9%	36.5%	60.6%	241
AR	1.2%	8.4%	90.4%	250
AZ	0.3%	19.1%	80.6%	324
CT	3.5%	15.1%	81.5%	259
GA	1.6%	21.5%	76.9%	376
HI	2.8%	9.0%	88.2%	211
IL	0.4%	15.9%	83.7%	239
KY	0.6%	9.1%	90.2%	317
LA	1.4%	13.6%	84.9%	279
MA	1.5%	18.1%	80.4%	393
ME	1.7%	8.0%	90.3%	237
MEORC	2.4%	10.6%	87.0%	293
MI	3.4%	15.2%	81.4%	263
MO	0.6%	6.4%	93.0%	342
NC	2.5%	16.3%	81.2%	515
NJ	1.7%	12.9%	85.3%	348
NY	1.8%	13.7%	84.6%	1,750
OH	2.3%	9.5%	88.3%	349
PA	2.6%	10.1%	87.3%	859
SC	2.5%	20.1%	77.4%	319
<b>Average</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>83.7%</b>	<b>8,164</b>

Table A.63 How do you usually get places you need to go (not mutually exclusive)?

State	Taxi	Specialized Transport	Public Transport	Ride from staff in provider vehicle	Ride from staff in staff's car	Family or friends	Transfers self
AL	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	50.4%	13.3%	53.2%	5.6%
AR	1.2%	1.6%	4.3%	49.2%	66.7%	45.0%	11.6%
AZ	1.5%	7.8%	11.6%	47.8%	22.7%	67.8%	11.3%
CT	3.3%	7.3%	14.5%	59.3%	34.9%	45.1%	20.0%
GA	1.0%	11.0%	9.5%	62.1%	35.9%	67.9%	10.5%
HI	0.4%	26.3%	17.5%	32.0%	42.1%	53.9%	32.5%
IL	4.8%	14.8%	18.0%	54.0%	13.6%	36.4%	14.8%
KY	2.1%	10.2%	12.7%	50.0%	49.4%	55.1%	1.8%
LA	0.4%	4.2%	5.3%	41.1%	41.1%	57.5%	4.2%
MA	6.3%	22.7%	18.3%	61.7%	49.3%	52.0%	24.6%
ME	3.9%	4.7%	15.9%	63.4%	50.0%	29.7%	18.5%
MEORC	4.7%	0.9%	8.5%	33.1%	44.5%	41.3%	12.0%
MI	2.9%	16.7%	31.5%	43.5%	36.6%	54.3%	32.2%
MO	1.7%	3.6%	4.5%	35.5%	68.7%	14.5%	10.1%
NC	2.0%	7.5%	10.8%	39.7%	48.3%	62.9%	16.1%
NJ	0.6%	4.2%	5.0%	80.3%	4.2%	27.1%	3.3%
NY	8.4%	20.4%	22.9%	54.6%	16.1%	39.8%	24.9%
OH	1.8%	0.8%	6.1%	60.6%	17.4%	26.3%	8.7%
PA	0.1%	2.4%	3.4%	22.0%	10.6%	40.4%	21.1%
SC	1.8%	0.9%	7.9%	77.0%	10.0%	56.8%	13.3%
<b>Average</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>33.8%</b>	<b>46.4%</b>	<b>14.9%</b>

**Table A.64 Do you (does this person) get all the services needed?**

State	No	Sometimes, or doesn't get enough of the services needed	Yes	N
AL	1.6%	11.1%	87.2%	431
AR	7.4%	4.6%	87.9%	390
AZ	6.7%	9.6%	83.6%	342
CT	4.9%	11.1%	84.0%	369
GA	10.6%	11.9%	77.5%	519
HI	11.7%	23.7%	64.6%	426
IL	4.8%	3.6%	91.6%	335
KY	7.2%	3.4%	89.4%	471
LA	6.8%	22.0%	71.1%	395
MA	9.0%	18.3%	72.7%	491
ME	0.6%	5.6%	93.8%	338
MEORC	3.6%	2.7%	93.7%	366
MI	7.4%	17.6%	75.1%	393
MO	6.0%	5.4%	88.5%	496
NC	15.4%	14.0%	70.6%	885
NJ	4.1%	3.9%	92.0%	414
NY	5.9%	11.3%	82.9%	2,484
OH	6.3%	3.1%	90.6%	446
PA	8.1%	9.1%	82.8%	1,241
SC	4.8%	21.3%	74.0%	400
<b>Average</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>82.7%</b>	<b>11,632</b>

**Table A.65 Does your (this person's) support staff have the right training to meet your needs?**

State	No	Maybe, not sure	Yes	N
AL	2.1%	3.3%	94.7%	337
AR	2.3%	2.6%	95.2%	311
AZ	2.2%	3.5%	94.3%	315
CT	2.5%	6.3%	91.3%	320
GA	1.5%	7.7%	90.7%	453
HI	3.4%	5.9%	90.7%	321
IL	0.7%	6.0%	93.3%	283
KY	11.1%	4.2%	84.7%	307
LA	1.4%	3.4%	95.1%	348
MA	3.2%	5.9%	90.9%	438
ME	2.0%	4.7%	93.3%	297
MEORC	1.8%	4.7%	93.6%	342
MI	2.8%	7.5%	89.8%	361
MO	2.5%	6.5%	91.0%	323
NC	2.9%	5.9%	91.1%	790
NJ	2.7%	4.0%	93.3%	375
NY	2.9%	5.2%	92.0%	2,134
OH	0.9%	4.5%	94.6%	446
PA	1.6%	3.2%	95.2%	901
SC	4.3%	5.6%	90.1%	372
<b>Average</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>92.2%</b>	<b>9,774</b>

## Health Un-Collapsed

Table A.66 Does person have primary care doctor?

State	No	Yes	N
AL	7.8%	92.2%	434
AR	0.8%	99.2%	394
AZ	2.1%	97.9%	387
CT	1.0%	99.0%	399
GA	2.0%	98.0%	542
HI	3.2%	96.8%	434
IL	2.3%	97.7%	349
KY	3.4%	96.6%	464
LA	0.9%	99.1%	429
MA	3.2%	96.8%	503
ME	2.4%	97.6%	340
MEORC	0.8%	99.2%	386
MI	0.7%	99.3%	417
MO	0.4%	99.6%	499
NC	0.7%	99.3%	922
NJ	2.3%	97.7%	428
NY	1.2%	98.8%	2,572
OH	4.4%	95.6%	453
PA	50.2%	49.8%	1,212
SC	2.5%	97.5%	407
<b>Average</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>95.4%</b>	<b>11,971</b>

**Table A.67 When was this person’s last complete annual physical exam?**

State	In the past year	One year ago or more	N
AL	91.1%	8.9%	428
AR	99.0%	1.0%	391
AZ	73.1%	26.9%	360
CT	91.1%	8.9%	361
GA	90.0%	10.0%	518
HI	88.8%	11.2%	430
IL	89.8%	10.2%	333
KY	91.2%	8.8%	419
LA	93.5%	6.5%	416
MA	91.7%	8.3%	492
ME	97.4%	2.6%	343
MEORC	87.0%	13.0%	353
MI	84.8%	15.2%	356
MO	93.3%	6.7%	489
NC	87.7%	12.3%	851
NJ	96.9%	3.1%	420
NY	92.3%	7.7%	2,482
OH	88.6%	11.4%	405
PA	93.7%	6.3%	1,123
SC	88.1%	11.9%	360
<b>Average</b>	<b>90.4%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>11,330</b>

**Table A.68 What is this person's overall health?**

State	Poor health	N
AL	4.8%	433
AR	5.6%	395
AZ	4.6%	394
CT	4.6%	394
GA	2.4%	537
HI	3.2%	433
IL	2.6%	351
KY	8.3%	472
LA	6.9%	419
MA	2.8%	498
ME	3.9%	335
MEORC	5.7%	383
MI	6.8%	409
MO	3.8%	497
NC	6.2%	922
NJ	4.4%	429
NY	4.0%	2,553
OH	6.5%	461
PA	5.2%	1,198
SC	4.5%	403
<b>Average</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>11,916</b>

Table A.69 When was this person's last dentist visit?

State	Within the last six months	Within the past year	One year ago or more	N
AL	34.3%	34.8%	31.0%	400
AR	50.0%	32.8%	17.2%	332
AZ	40.4%	24.9%	34.7%	329
CT	58.0%	32.1%	9.9%	352
GA	36.9%	33.0%	30.1%	445
HI	32.8%	36.0%	31.3%	400
IL	54.7%	30.7%	14.6%	316
KY	40.2%	39.9%	19.9%	346
LA	45.5%	29.3%	25.2%	365
MA	61.5%	29.1%	9.5%	475
ME	55.6%	28.0%	16.5%	322
MEORC	31.1%	45.4%	23.5%	328
MI	38.2%	30.4%	31.4%	296
MO	46.9%	39.8%	13.3%	490
NC	50.1%	30.9%	19.0%	796
NJ	32.7%	59.7%	7.6%	382
NY	58.3%	29.7%	12.0%	2,228
OH	38.9%	42.4%	18.6%	370
PA	51.7%	35.0%	13.3%	967
SC	54.5%	27.8%	17.7%	345
<b>Average</b>	<b>45.6%</b>	<b>34.6%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>10,284</b>

Table A.70 When was this person's last eye exam or vision screening?

State	Within past year	Within past 2 years	Within past 3 years	Within past 5 years	5 or more years ago	Has never had a vision screening	N
AL	55.6%	29.5%	8.6%	1.9%	3.5%	1.0%	315
AR	83.0%	9.9%	3.0%	1.4%	1.9%	0.8%	365
AZ	49.5%	29.5%	6.0%	2.9%	8.3%	3.8%	315
CT	67.6%	19.7%	5.6%	1.1%	4.2%	1.8%	284
GA	50.0%	22.4%	7.0%	6.3%	9.1%	5.2%	384
HI	45.9%	15.5%	6.4%	5.8%	8.8%	17.6%	329
IL	75.9%	19.2%	1.4%	0.7%	2.4%	0.3%	286
KY	74.0%	17.9%	3.2%	2.2%	1.9%	0.6%	312
LA	60.5%	15.5%	4.1%	4.4%	11.6%	3.9%	362
MA	53.8%	25.7%	6.9%	6.4%	3.1%	4.2%	452
ME	55.6%	35.6%	3.1%	2.2%	1.9%	1.6%	320
MEORC	48.3%	39.6%	6.0%	3.0%	2.3%	0.7%	298
MI	36.3%	33.6%	8.9%	9.3%	10.0%	1.9%	259
MO	66.8%	27.0%	4.3%	0.6%	1.0%	0.2%	488
NC	49.1%	26.1%	9.3%	4.7%	7.0%	3.9%	744
NJ	75.2%	21.3%	1.0%	0.3%	0.7%	1.4%	286
NY	65.4%	25.1%	3.7%	1.8%	3.0%	1.0%	2,118
OH	47.1%	37.1%	6.9%	4.0%	4.3%	0.6%	350
PA	68.8%	23.2%	3.3%	2.0%	2.1%	0.7%	906
SC	69.0%	17.3%	4.9%	2.4%	4.3%	2.1%	329
<b>Average</b>	<b>59.9%</b>	<b>24.5%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>9,502</b>

**Table A.71 When was this person's last hearing test?**

State	Within past 5 years	5 years ago or more	Has never had a hearing test	N
AL	79.7%	12.7%	7.6%	251
AR	89.4%	9.4%	1.2%	340
AZ	71.2%	23.7%	5.1%	274
CT	79.1%	14.7%	6.3%	191
GA	53.7%	33.7%	12.6%	309
HI	47.8%	14.7%	37.4%	278
IL	77.1%	18.3%	4.6%	218
KY	81.3%	12.4%	6.2%	193
LA	57.2%	27.0%	15.7%	318
MA	58.9%	21.0%	20.2%	372
ME	53.0%	29.8%	17.2%	215
MEORC	69.5%	16.2%	14.2%	197
MI	51.6%	30.8%	17.6%	182
MO	58.4%	10.7%	30.9%	317
NC	51.9%	33.6%	14.6%	563
NJ	81.3%	14.5%	4.1%	193
NY	77.6%	16.3%	6.1%	1,824
OH	62.9%	28.9%	8.2%	232
PA	88.9%	6.7%	4.4%	612
SC	47.6%	23.8%	28.7%	164
<b>Average</b>	<b>66.9%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>7,243</b>

**Table A.72 When was this person's last pap test screening (women)?**

State	Within past year	Within past 2 years	Within past 3 years	Within past 5 years	5 or more years ago	Has never had a pap test	N
AL	52.5%	23.8%	8.2%	4.1%	1.6%	9.8%	122
AR	58.0%	8.4%	7.6%	3.1%	3.8%	19.1%	131
AZ	26.9%	17.3%	5.8%	6.7%	3.8%	39.4%	104
CT	51.4%	18.0%	11.7%	0.9%	6.3%	11.7%	111
GA	50.3%	13.8%	1.9%	5.0%	3.8%	25.2%	159
HI	30.7%	17.6%	7.2%	4.6%	7.8%	32.0%	153
IL	51.0%	20.4%	5.1%	4.1%	5.1%	14.3%	98
KY	53.6%	15.2%	8.0%	4.5%	4.5%	14.3%	112
LA	46.6%	17.6%	4.7%	1.4%	6.8%	23.0%	148
MA	42.4%	19.2%	7.3%	5.6%	11.3%	14.1%	177
ME	38.8%	14.9%	6.6%	7.4%	12.4%	19.8%	121
MEORC	52.3%	18.5%	10.8%	1.5%	9.2%	7.7%	130
MI	47.5%	11.1%	7.1%	5.1%	9.1%	20.2%	99
MO	52.7%	15.4%	6.5%	6.5%	4.5%	14.4%	201
NC	42.9%	16.0%	5.2%	6.3%	5.2%	24.3%	268
NJ	55.0%	21.4%	9.9%	0.8%	2.3%	10.7%	131
NY	55.3%	17.5%	6.4%	4.0%	4.2%	12.6%	841
OH	43.9%	24.4%	4.1%	6.5%	6.5%	14.6%	123
PA	59.9%	19.7%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	7.8%	309
SC	43.4%	19.8%	3.8%	7.5%	10.4%	15.1%	106
<b>Average</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>3,644</b>

Table A.73 When was this person's last mammogram (women 40 and older)?

State	Within past year	Within past 2 years	Within past 3 years	Within past 5 years	5 or more years ago	Has never had a mammogram	N
AL	55.2%	28.1%	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	7.3%	96
AR	66.2%	13.2%	2.9%	2.9%	1.5%	13.2%	68
AZ	41.2%	23.5%	5.9%	9.8%	2.0%	17.6%	51
CT	68.6%	27.1%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	70
GA	50.6%	19.5%	6.5%	1.3%	5.2%	16.9%	77
HI	44.4%	21.1%	6.7%	3.3%	5.6%	18.9%	90
IL	70.8%	20.0%	0.0%	4.6%	3.1%	1.5%	65
KY	63.2%	10.3%	7.4%	1.5%	1.5%	16.2%	68
LA	71.6%	8.1%	2.7%	0.0%	1.4%	16.2%	74
MA	63.6%	20.5%	2.3%	1.5%	3.8%	8.3%	132
ME	66.3%	7.0%	3.5%	2.3%	3.5%	17.4%	86
MEORC	64.6%	19.5%	2.4%	2.4%	6.1%	4.9%	82
MI	64.6%	15.4%	3.1%	4.6%	1.5%	10.8%	65
MO	68.8%	14.1%	3.9%	0.8%	0.0%	12.5%	128
NC	63.3%	10.9%	6.1%	3.4%	4.8%	11.6%	147
NJ	64.5%	21.8%	3.2%	2.4%	1.6%	6.5%	124
NY	68.9%	16.9%	3.9%	3.0%	1.7%	5.6%	592
OH	65.9%	23.1%	1.1%	4.4%	1.1%	4.4%	91
PA	69.1%	21.6%	2.0%	2.0%	1.0%	4.4%	204
SC	68.3%	12.7%	0.0%	1.6%	1.6%	15.9%	63
<b>Average</b>	<b>63.0%</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>2,373</b>

Table A.74 When was this person's last PSA test (males 50 and over)?

State	Within past year	Within past 2 years	Within past 3 years	Within past 5 years	5 or more years ago	Has never had a PSA test	N
AL	58.3%	23.3%	3.3%	3.3%	1.7%	10.0%	60
AR	58.3%	8.3%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	29.2%	24
AZ	52.0%	32.0%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%	4.0%	25
CT	53.3%	22.2%	8.9%	4.4%	2.2%	8.9%	45
GA	45.2%	9.5%	4.8%	2.4%	0.0%	38.1%	42
HI	36.7%	8.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	48.3%	60
IL	62.1%	13.8%	3.4%	3.4%	0.0%	17.2%	29
KY	54.5%	13.6%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	27.3%	22
LA	48.8%	9.8%	2.4%	0.0%	7.3%	31.7%	41
MA	47.2%	15.7%	2.2%	9.0%	4.5%	21.3%	89
ME	37.0%	17.4%	10.9%	2.2%	0.0%	32.6%	46
MEORC	44.4%	20.0%	4.4%	4.4%	0.0%	26.7%	45
MI	54.1%	21.6%	5.4%	2.7%	0.0%	16.2%	37
MO	75.9%	9.2%	6.9%	2.3%	0.0%	5.7%	87
NC	52.7%	19.8%	3.3%	4.4%	3.3%	16.5%	91
NJ	39.3%	42.9%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	14.3%	28
NY	56.3%	18.6%	3.5%	3.8%	2.7%	15.0%	339
OH	52.5%	15.0%	2.5%	2.5%	0.0%	27.5%	40
PA	75.3%	13.4%	0.0%	3.1%	1.0%	7.2%	97
SC	33.3%	6.7%	13.3%	3.3%	0.0%	43.3%	30
<b>Average</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>1,277</b>

**Table A.75 When was this person’s last colorectal cancer screening (individuals 50 and over)?**

State	Within past year	Within past 2 years	Within past 3 years	Within past 5 years	5 or more years ago	Has never had a screening for colorectal cancer	N
AL	20.7%	19.5%	13.8%	4.6%	5.7%	35.6%	87
AR	15.5%	11.3%	8.5%	4.2%	5.6%	54.9%	71
AZ	26.3%	18.4%	7.9%	5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	38
CT	21.8%	11.9%	13.9%	18.8%	11.9%	21.8%	101
GA	18.8%	12.5%	2.5%	2.5%	5.0%	58.8%	80
HI	13.6%	3.4%	5.7%	3.4%	4.5%	69.3%	88
IL	25.5%	14.9%		10.6%	8.5%	40.4%	47
KY	23.3%	15.0%	13.3%	11.7%	5.0%	31.7%	60
LA	13.5%	13.5%	6.7%	2.2%	3.4%	60.7%	89
MA	20.6%	12.7%	6.9%	13.8%	14.8%	31.2%	189
ME	13.5%	18.8%	9.4%	9.4%	6.3%	42.7%	96
MEORC	11.7%	20.2%	6.4%	6.4%	8.5%	46.8%	94
MI	16.7%	12.8%	10.3%	5.1%	7.7%	47.4%	78
MO	12.8%	9.2%	8.5%	16.3%	6.4%	46.8%	141
NC	26.2%	16.3%	7.6%	10.5%	5.8%	33.7%	172
NJ	17.5%	15.5%	13.4%	14.4%	11.3%	27.8%	97
NY	22.0%	16.3%	12.6%	13.9%	9.3%	25.8%	728
OH	25.0%	13.0%	3.3%	12.0%	1.1%	45.7%	92
PA	28.2%	15.3%	6.1%	9.9%	8.4%	32.1%	131
SC	22.8%	8.8%	12.3%	7.0%	7.0%	42.1%	57
<b>Average</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>41.6%</b>	<b>2,536</b>

**Table A.76 Has this person had a flu vaccination in the past 12 months?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	24.9%	75.1%	350
AR	12.4%	87.6%	290
AZ	32.9%	67.1%	210
CT	17.1%	82.9%	257
GA	36.9%	63.1%	412
HI	26.2%	73.8%	290
IL	17.2%	82.8%	204
KY	30.1%	69.9%	286
LA	30.1%	69.9%	346
MA	23.4%	76.6%	432
ME	16.6%	83.4%	295
MEORC	27.2%	72.8%	250
MI	26.8%	73.2%	261
MO	12.4%	87.6%	450
NC	23.6%	76.4%	741
NJ	12.8%	87.2%	235
NY	16.4%	83.6%	1,930
OH	23.1%	76.9%	277
PA	25.5%	74.5%	639
SC	22.2%	77.8%	270
<b>Average</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>77.1%</b>	<b>8,425</b>

**Table A.77 Has this person ever been vaccinated for pneumonia?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	37.5%	62.5%	296
AR	51.6%	48.4%	217
AZ	59.7%	40.3%	134
CT	55.6%	44.4%	133
GA	76.3%	23.7%	363
HI	72.1%	27.9%	190
IL	61.6%	38.4%	146
KY	47.4%	52.6%	192
LA	70.4%	29.6%	277
MA	53.7%	46.3%	339
ME	56.4%	43.6%	225
MEORC	55.7%	44.3%	140
MI	59.8%	40.2%	184
MO	54.5%	45.5%	352
NC	62.4%	37.6%	587
NJ	89.7%	10.3%	165
NY	54.1%	45.9%	1,500
OH	52.2%	47.8%	201
PA	50.6%	49.4%	419
SC	73.6%	26.4%	148
<b>Average</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>40.2%</b>	<b>6,208</b>

## Medication Un-Collapsed

Table A.78 Person takes at least one medication for mood, behavior, anxiety, or psychotic disorder.

State	No meds	At least one kind	N
AL	49.5%	50.5%	432
AR	46.3%	53.7%	395
AZ	60.7%	39.3%	382
CT	46.0%	54.0%	389
GA	56.6%	43.4%	530
HI	66.7%	33.3%	430
IL	54.5%	45.5%	334
KY	38.9%	61.1%	452
LA	49.8%	50.2%	420
MA	39.0%	61.0%	498
ME	29.4%	70.6%	350
MEORC	43.1%	56.9%	367
MI	42.6%	57.4%	399
MO	23.5%	76.5%	486
NC	44.5%	55.5%	901
NJ	41.4%	58.6%	420
NY	47.0%	53.0%	2,417
OH	50.0%	50.0%	448
PA	46.3%	53.7%	1,150
SC	53.9%	46.1%	395
<b>Average</b>	<b>46.5%</b>	<b>53.5%</b>	<b>11,595</b>

**Table A.79 Does this person take medications for a mood disorder?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	70.3%	29.7%	435
AR	57.6%	42.4%	389
AZ	71.2%	28.8%	375
CT	66.2%	33.8%	370
GA	73.7%	26.3%	532
HI	80.6%	19.4%	428
IL	66.0%	34.0%	332
KY	56.5%	43.5%	448
LA	67.5%	32.5%	409
MA	58.2%	41.8%	498
ME	40.9%	59.1%	342
MEORC	56.8%	43.2%	366
MI	55.6%	44.4%	390
MO	38.9%	61.1%	483
NC	64.0%	36.0%	875
NJ	58.0%	42.0%	412
NY	64.1%	35.9%	2,348
OH	62.4%	37.6%	447
PA	59.1%	40.9%	1,135
SC	73.6%	26.4%	383
<b>Average</b>	<b>62.1%</b>	<b>37.9%</b>	<b>11,397</b>

**Table A.80 Does this person take medication for anxiety?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	79.1%	20.9%	435
AR	67.8%	32.2%	388
AZ	81.0%	19.0%	357
CT	74.2%	25.8%	364
GA	80.6%	19.4%	535
HI	86.2%	13.8%	426
IL	74.6%	25.4%	327
KY	65.5%	34.5%	440
LA	76.9%	23.1%	402
MA	58.6%	41.4%	500
ME	53.3%	46.7%	336
MEORC	67.5%	32.5%	351
MI	69.6%	30.4%	372
MO	62.7%	37.3%	475
NC	70.9%	29.1%	856
NJ	66.4%	33.6%	411
NY	69.5%	30.5%	2,307
OH	76.2%	23.8%	433
PA	71.5%	28.5%	1,100
SC	75.7%	24.3%	383
<b>Average</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>11,198</b>

**Table A.81 Does this person take medication for behavior problems?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	74.7%	25.3%	435
AR	68.5%	31.5%	384
AZ	76.2%	23.8%	374
CT	71.5%	28.5%	362
GA	78.0%	22.0%	536
HI	76.1%	23.9%	431
IL	76.9%	23.1%	329
KY	74.5%	25.5%	444
LA	73.7%	26.3%	415
MA	80.2%	19.8%	496
ME	66.0%	34.0%	332
MEORC	86.9%	13.1%	359
MI	76.3%	23.7%	380
MO	60.5%	39.5%	486
NC	69.0%	31.0%	870
NJ	68.8%	31.2%	413
NY	72.9%	27.1%	2,355
OH	78.7%	21.3%	446
PA	79.3%	20.7%	1,104
SC	72.0%	28.0%	382
<b>Average</b>	<b>74.0%</b>	<b>26.0%</b>	<b>11,333</b>

**Table A.82 Does this person take medication for psychotic disorders?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	83.9%	16.1%	435
AR	81.7%	18.3%	389
AZ	91.5%	8.5%	355
CT	79.0%	21.0%	362
GA	84.5%	15.5%	537
HI	89.5%	10.5%	427
IL	79.8%	20.2%	326
KY	79.7%	20.3%	448
LA	83.3%	16.7%	408
MA	80.2%	19.8%	491
ME	74.6%	25.4%	335
MEORC	84.3%	15.7%	364
MI	75.3%	24.7%	389
MO	74.6%	25.4%	480
NC	81.0%	19.0%	868
NJ	76.6%	23.4%	414
NY	82.0%	18.0%	2,336
OH	81.6%	18.4%	445
PA	85.7%	14.3%	1,102
SC	85.3%	14.7%	381
<b>Average</b>	<b>81.7%</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>11,292</b>

## Wellness Un-Collapsed

**Table A.83 Does this Person Engage in Physical Activity for at least 30 minutes 3 or more times a week?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	79.3%	20.7%	425
AR	70.6%	29.4%	380
AZ	73.7%	26.3%	299
CT	78.1%	21.9%	308
GA	69.9%	30.1%	531
HI	66.6%	33.4%	392
IL	71.5%	28.5%	316
KY	80.8%	19.2%	412
LA	69.9%	30.1%	379
MA	64.4%	35.6%	478
ME	65.5%	34.5%	339
MEORC	82.0%	18.0%	334
MI	81.3%	18.7%	358
MO	71.0%	29.0%	473
NC	72.1%	27.9%	863
NJ	70.8%	29.2%	403
NY	79.2%	20.8%	2,243
OH	86.5%	13.5%	394
PA	87.8%	12.2%	680
SC	78.6%	21.4%	326
<b>Average</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>10,333</b>

Table A.84 Person's BMI category

State	Underweight	Normal	Overweight	Obese	N
AL	4.6%	33.7%	25.4%	36.3%	433
AR	6.2%	36.8%	25.4%	31.6%	389
AZ	6.3%	37.7%	27.0%	29.0%	252
CT	2.6%	38.0%	28.9%	30.5%	266
GA	19.9%	24.8%	27.7%	27.6%	537
HI	9.6%	36.2%	28.0%	26.1%	425
IL	7.2%	33.0%	28.2%	31.6%	348
KY	3.4%	26.8%	29.6%	40.2%	470
LA	9.8%	30.6%	28.4%	31.3%	409
MA	3.2%	30.2%	33.1%	33.5%	474
ME	5.3%	28.6%	31.4%	34.6%	318
MEORC	34.1%	14.4%	20.5%	30.9%	375
MI	6.7%	34.1%	24.2%	35.0%	314
MO	5.8%	31.1%	30.7%	32.3%	498
NC	7.3%	34.9%	29.3%	28.5%	817
NJ	3.5%	27.8%	31.8%	36.9%	396
NY	11.9%	29.9%	27.8%	30.4%	2,535
OH	6.3%	25.8%	29.9%	38.0%	461
PA	12.7%	27.6%	25.4%	34.3%	134
SC	4.7%	27.4%	24.7%	43.2%	296
<b>Average</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>30.5%</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>33.1%</b>	<b>10,147</b>

**Table A.85 Does this person smoke or chew tobacco?**

State	No	Yes	N
AL	93.2%	6.8%	429
AR	93.4%	6.6%	392
AZ	96.3%	3.7%	376
CT	96.0%	4.0%	379
GA	93.5%	6.5%	538
HI	96.4%	3.6%	421
IL	95.0%	5.0%	339
KY	89.9%	10.1%	455
LA	93.1%	6.9%	405
MA	95.0%	5.0%	502
ME	93.5%	6.5%	355
MEORC	90.6%	9.4%	373
MI	92.2%	7.8%	411
MO	92.9%	7.1%	495
NC	92.7%	7.3%	918
NJ	96.7%	3.3%	425
NY	95.3%	4.7%	2,524
OH	91.8%	8.2%	453
PA	93.3%	6.7%	1,160
SC	92.2%	7.8%	398
<b>Average</b>	<b>93.7%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>11,748</b>

## Respect and Rights Un-Collapsed

Table A.86 Do people let you know before entering home?

State	No	Sometimes	Yes	N
AL	1.6%	4.5%	93.8%	243
AR	4.5%	4.0%	91.5%	247
AZ	1.6%	3.5%	94.9%	311
CT	5.5%	5.1%	89.5%	256
GA	2.4%	9.8%	87.8%	378
HI	16.4%	9.2%	74.4%	207
IL	7.5%	6.7%	85.8%	240
KY	2.4%	0.9%	96.7%	330
LA	1.8%	2.5%	95.7%	278
MA	5.6%	5.4%	89.0%	390
ME	3.7%	11.2%	85.1%	242
MEORC	3.0%	3.0%	94.0%	301
MI	5.3%	9.1%	85.6%	263
MO	4.0%	8.3%	87.7%	349
NC	5.9%	6.3%	87.8%	523
NJ	12.2%	6.4%	81.4%	345
NY	2.7%	4.8%	92.5%	1,722
OH	5.4%	2.3%	92.3%	351
PA	5.6%	3.9%	90.5%	854
SC	4.3%	4.3%	91.5%	328
<b>Average</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>89.4%</b>	<b>8,158</b>

**Table A.87 Do people let you know before entering bedroom?**

State	No	Sometimes	Yes	N
AL	9.2%	5.5%	85.3%	238
AR	6.8%	5.2%	88.0%	250
AZ	4.1%	5.4%	90.5%	316
CT	12.7%	6.0%	81.3%	251
GA	5.6%	5.9%	88.5%	375
HI	26.6%	7.9%	65.5%	203
IL	10.7%	10.7%	78.6%	234
KY	2.4%	1.8%	95.8%	331
LA	4.0%	5.1%	90.9%	276
MA	10.1%	6.1%	83.7%	375
ME	3.4%	6.8%	89.8%	235
MEORC	9.5%	7.6%	82.9%	263
MI	8.9%	10.6%	80.5%	246
MO	11.5%	7.5%	81.0%	347
NC	8.5%	9.9%	81.6%	527
NJ	15.1%	6.4%	78.5%	344
NY	6.9%	5.4%	87.6%	1,659
OH	15.6%	6.6%	77.8%	333
PA	10.2%	7.5%	82.4%	817
SC	10.2%	8.0%	81.8%	324
<b>Average</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>83.6%</b>	<b>7,944</b>

**Table A.88 Do you have enough privacy at home?**

State	No, would like more privacy	Yes, has enough privacy	N
AL	11.5%	88.5%	226
AR	9.7%	90.3%	226
AZ	2.9%	97.1%	311
CT	6.9%	93.1%	247
GA	5.0%	95.0%	381
HI	18.4%	81.6%	201
IL	13.0%	87.0%	231
KY	4.7%	95.3%	319
LA	7.4%	92.6%	258
MA	9.2%	90.8%	379
ME	2.7%	97.3%	220
MEORC	7.2%	92.8%	279
MI	11.1%	88.9%	244
MO	12.6%	87.4%	341
NC	9.4%	90.6%	499
NJ	19.2%	80.8%	338
NY	9.0%	91.0%	1,637
OH	8.7%	91.3%	345
PA	6.0%	94.0%	835
SC	20.7%	79.3%	300
<b>Average</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>90.2%</b>	<b>7,817</b>

**Table A.89 Can you (this person) be alone with friends or visitors?**

State	There are rules against being alone with friends /visitors	Can be alone with friends /visitors	N
AL	12.9%	87.1%	394
AR	14.9%	85.1%	376
AZ	40.2%	59.8%	316
CT	24.4%	75.6%	332
GA	21.2%	78.8%	472
HI	37.3%	62.7%	332
IL	16.5%	83.5%	315
KY	7.5%	92.5%	466
LA	28.5%	71.5%	368
MA	13.8%	86.2%	449
ME	18.5%	81.5%	297
MEORC	16.9%	83.1%	290
MI	21.1%	78.9%	374
MO	26.8%	73.2%	456
NC	22.2%	77.8%	817
NJ	12.3%	87.7%	391
NY	26.3%	73.7%	2,217
OH	15.3%	84.7%	425
PA	12.4%	87.6%	1,141
SC	16.6%	83.4%	367
<b>Average</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>79.5%</b>	<b>10,595</b>

**Table A.90 Are the staff who help you at home nice and polite?**

State	No	Sometimes or some staff	Yes	N
AL	0.0%	9.2%	90.8%	141
AR	2.1%	2.9%	95.1%	243
AZ	0.5%	3.8%	95.8%	212
CT	0.5%	4.9%	94.5%	182
GA	0.6%	3.4%	96.0%	177
HI	3.0%	4.0%	93.0%	100
IL	0.6%	3.8%	95.6%	158
KY	3.8%	9.4%	86.8%	159
LA	0.0%	1.3%	98.7%	225
MA	1.0%	5.9%	93.1%	304
ME	0.4%	10.3%	89.3%	224
MEORC	0.5%	4.8%	94.8%	210
MI	1.1%	5.1%	93.8%	178
MO	0.9%	3.5%	95.6%	341
NC	0.3%	4.8%	95.0%	378
NJ	0.0%	5.8%	94.2%	292
NY	0.3%	4.7%	95.0%	1,149
OH	1.3%	3.8%	94.9%	234
PA	0.6%	4.8%	94.6%	482
SC	1.0%	8.2%	90.8%	195
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>93.9%</b>	<b>5,584</b>

**Table A.91 Are the staff who help you at your job nice and polite?**

State	No	Sometimes or some staff	Yes	N
AR	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	18
AZ	1.0%	6.3%	92.7%	96
CT	0.6%	4.8%	94.6%	168
GA	0.0%	1.5%	98.5%	66
HI	0.0%	3.3%	96.7%	30
IL	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	21
KY	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	19
LA	0.0%	4.3%	95.7%	46
MA	1.3%	3.8%	94.9%	79
ME	0.0%	3.9%	96.1%	77
MEORC	2.8%	2.8%	94.4%	36
MI	0.0%	5.6%	94.4%	71
MO	0.0%	5.1%	94.9%	39
NC	1.9%	0.9%	97.2%	106
NJ	0.0%	2.0%	98.0%	50
NY	0.3%	3.0%	96.7%	332
OH	0.0%	5.1%	94.9%	39
PA	0.0%	1.9%	98.1%	104
SC	1.5%	7.6%	90.9%	66
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>96.2%</b>	<b>1,463</b>

**Table A.92 Are staff who help you at your day program/other activity staff nice and polite?**

State	No	Sometimes or some staff	Yes	N
AL	0.9%	6.5%	92.6%	231
AR	1.3%	5.1%	93.7%	158
AZ	0.7%	5.4%	94.0%	149
CT	0.0%	5.5%	94.5%	145
GA	0.3%	0.7%	99.0%	302
HI	0.6%	4.5%	94.9%	176
IL	0.0%	5.1%	94.9%	198
KY	0.7%	7.2%	92.1%	279
LA	0.0%	1.6%	98.4%	123
MA	0.7%	7.5%	91.9%	307
ME	0.0%	8.9%	91.1%	168
MEORC	0.4%	5.7%	93.9%	264
MI	1.5%	3.7%	94.8%	134
MO	1.2%	6.2%	92.6%	162
NC	0.0%	4.8%	95.2%	351
NJ	1.5%	3.0%	95.5%	336
NY	0.6%	4.5%	94.9%	1,309
OH	0.0%	1.3%	98.7%	298
PA	0.2%	3.9%	95.9%	486
SC	0.4%	5.6%	94.0%	248
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>94.6%</b>	<b>5,824</b>

**Table A.93 Do people read your (this person’s) mail or email without asking person first?**

State	No, person reads own mail/email or others read with permission	Yes, mail/email is read without permission	N
AL	99.0%	1.0%	309
AR	85.9%	14.1%	348
AZ	87.5%	12.5%	287
CT	76.3%	23.7%	299
GA	84.1%	15.9%	402
HI	70.8%	29.2%	312
IL	87.4%	12.6%	278
KY	95.5%	4.5%	447
LA	91.5%	8.5%	355
MA	82.8%	17.2%	466
ME	91.1%	8.9%	313
MEORC	92.7%	7.3%	315
MI	80.6%	19.4%	341
MO	83.0%	17.0%	465
NC	85.8%	14.2%	773
NJ	88.4%	11.6%	352
NY	84.3%	15.7%	2,074
OH	92.6%	7.4%	407
PA	89.7%	10.3%	1,131
SC	88.5%	11.5%	358
<b>Average</b>	<b>86.9%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>10,032</b>

**Table A.94 Are you (is this person) allowed to use phone or internet at any time?**

State	No, there are rules/Restrictions on use of phone/Internet	Yes, can use anytime, either independently or with assistance	N
AL	6.9%	93.1%	335
AR	13.2%	86.8%	311
AZ	22.0%	78.0%	286
CT	11.0%	89.0%	282
GA	7.5%	92.5%	439
HI	13.4%	86.6%	231
IL	9.7%	90.3%	258
KY	4.8%	95.2%	352
LA	8.4%	91.6%	332
MA	5.1%	94.9%	428
ME	9.2%	90.8%	283
MEORC	6.4%	93.6%	298
MI	8.9%	91.1%	325
MO	6.5%	93.5%	400
NC	10.8%	89.2%	731
NJ	12.7%	87.3%	378
NY	9.3%	90.7%	1,928
OH	11.1%	88.9%	386
PA	5.4%	94.6%	980
SC	10.2%	89.8%	352
<b>Average</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>90.8%</b>	<b>9,315</b>

**Table A.95 Have you (has this person) ever participated in a self-advocacy event or meeting?**

State	No	Had the opportunity but chose not to participate	Yes	N
AL	72.3%	0.8%	26.9%	379
AR	74.3%	4.6%	21.1%	350
AZ	79.6%	0.8%	19.6%	255
CT	65.4%	9.2%	25.4%	327
GA	63.4%	12.7%	24.0%	434
HI	80.9%	0.5%	18.5%	383
IL	62.2%	5.8%	32.0%	275
KY	53.5%	7.8%	38.8%	129
LA	79.0%	2.0%	19.0%	343
MA	60.3%	9.4%	30.3%	406
ME	51.8%	14.9%	33.3%	276
MEORC	73.5%	0.8%	25.8%	264
MI	74.9%	4.6%	20.5%	307
MO	66.4%	13.0%	20.6%	423
NC	67.5%	2.3%	30.2%	778
NJ	38.8%	4.4%	56.8%	366
NY	71.5%	4.6%	23.9%	1,876
OH	75.5%	2.6%	21.9%	392
PA	82.1%	3.2%	14.7%	875
SC	62.5%	9.2%	28.3%	293
<b>Average</b>	<b>67.8%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>9,131</b>

## Safety Un-Collapsed

Table A.96 Do you ever feel afraid or scared at home?

State	No- rarely	Sometimes	Yes- most of the time	N
AL	91.5%	8.5%	0.0%	246
AR	81.3%	12.7%	6.0%	252
AZ	89.1%	7.1%	3.7%	322
CT	81.0%	11.6%	7.4%	258
GA	88.5%	6.8%	4.7%	384
HI	81.7%	11.9%	6.4%	218
IL	73.6%	15.7%	10.7%	242
KY	90.6%	6.9%	2.4%	331
LA	83.7%	13.0%	3.3%	276
MA	76.9%	15.0%	8.0%	386
ME	79.0%	17.6%	3.4%	238
MEORC	79.6%	16.2%	4.2%	309
MI	77.3%	18.6%	4.1%	269
MO	84.3%	11.3%	4.4%	344
NC	77.3%	15.3%	7.4%	537
NJ	80.1%	11.1%	8.8%	351
NY	84.9%	11.9%	3.2%	1,709
OH	82.2%	11.7%	6.0%	366
PA	85.5%	11.3%	3.2%	884
SC	80.4%	13.1%	6.5%	321
<b>Average</b>	<b>82.4%</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>8,243</b>

**Table A.97 Do you ever feel afraid or scared in your neighborhood?**

State	No- rarely	Sometimes	Yes- most of the time	N
AL	92.6%	6.6%	0.8%	244
AR	82.7%	10.9%	6.5%	248
AZ	91.8%	6.9%	1.3%	306
CT	83.0%	9.5%	7.5%	253
GA	89.3%	6.8%	3.9%	384
HI	80.9%	13.5%	5.6%	215
IL	75.3%	14.4%	10.3%	243
KY	91.8%	6.9%	1.2%	331
LA	89.4%	6.9%	3.6%	274
MA	77.0%	15.4%	7.6%	383
ME	84.5%	12.9%	2.6%	233
MEORC	84.9%	10.9%	4.3%	304
MI	83.0%	12.9%	4.2%	264
MO	86.0%	7.3%	6.7%	343
NC	83.3%	10.8%	5.9%	526
NJ	84.0%	9.3%	6.7%	343
NY	85.4%	10.9%	3.7%	1,700
OH	82.5%	10.5%	7.0%	342
PA	85.5%	10.5%	4.0%	876
SC	82.6%	11.4%	6.0%	317
<b>Average</b>	<b>84.8%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>8,129</b>

**Table A.98 Do you ever feel afraid or scared at work or at day program/activity?**

State	No- rarely	Sometimes	Yes- most of the time	N
AL	95.7%	3.9%	0.4%	232
AR	86.1%	9.6%	4.3%	187
AZ	92.4%	4.5%	3.0%	264
CT	87.8%	8.4%	3.8%	237
GA	93.5%	4.0%	2.5%	353
HI	84.3%	5.9%	9.7%	185
IL	81.1%	8.7%	10.2%	206
KY	93.0%	5.6%	1.4%	287
LA	85.9%	7.1%	7.1%	170
MA	82.8%	10.8%	6.4%	343
ME	89.8%	9.1%	1.1%	186
MEORC	88.5%	7.6%	3.8%	288
MI	88.7%	9.3%	2.1%	194
MO	87.9%	9.6%	2.5%	198
NC	83.3%	9.2%	7.5%	412
NJ	84.0%	7.7%	8.3%	349
NY	89.4%	7.8%	2.8%	1,512
OH	88.1%	9.4%	2.5%	318
PA	89.7%	7.9%	2.4%	623
SC	86.7%	7.1%	6.1%	294
<b>Average</b>	<b>87.9%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>6,838</b>

**Table A.99 If you ever feel afraid, is there someone you can go to for help?**

State	No	Maybe, not sure	Yes	N
AL	10.3%	1.9%	87.7%	155
AR	2.2%	3.1%	94.7%	227
AZ	37.8%	2.3%	59.9%	299
CT	3.5%	1.8%	94.7%	227
GA	6.9%	3.1%	90.0%	319
HI	6.3%	3.6%	90.1%	192
IL	6.7%	6.1%	87.2%	180
KY	11.5%	16.4%	72.1%	61
LA	2.7%	1.6%	95.7%	257
MA	4.1%	3.2%	92.7%	317
ME	2.5%	1.3%	96.2%	159
MEORC	2.4%	6.7%	90.9%	165
MI	2.9%	7.5%	89.5%	239
MO	3.2%	2.8%	94.0%	282
NC	2.1%	5.1%	92.8%	390
NJ	4.2%	3.0%	92.8%	264
NY	5.4%	3.3%	91.3%	1,098
OH	3.4%	1.7%	94.9%	353
PA	3.4%	2.3%	94.3%	645
SC	2.4%	2.8%	94.8%	251
<b>Average</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>89.8%</b>	<b>6,080</b>

## Appendix C

### STATE SAMPLE STRATEGIES

**ALABAMA-** A random sample was drawn from just the people receiving ICF/MR and HCBS Waiver services. This sample was random within this parameter. All drawn were adults 18 and over who received at least one service besides case management.

**ARKANSAS-** A sample of approximately 300 individuals receiving waiver services and approximately 100 individuals receiving ICF/MR services. All were over 18. The sample was stratified by provider in that at least one person from each provider was included in the sample. The sample was otherwise random within these parameters.

**ARIZONA-** Historically, Arizona has pulled 100 random sample cases per geographic region with 50 from the Medicaid Waiver and 50 from the non-waiver population. This year, there were not adequate numbers from the non-waiver population to reach the 50 cases per geographic region. Thus, for this year all non-waiver consumers were pulled for each geographic region and a random sample of Medicaid Waiver population age 18 and older was pulled to reach a total of 100 per geographic region. There are 5 geographic regions in the state therefore, at least 500 random sample cases were drawn and of these, 401 surveys were completed.

**CONNECTICUT-**A random sample was drawn from the entire service population of adults over age 18, who receive at least one service besides case management. The sample was then stratified by Region.

**GEORGIA-** The Georgia Quality Management System (GQMS) contract mandates that each provider rendering services through the Medicaid waivers to individuals with developmental disabilities has one annual review over the course of five years. Forty providers are reviewed each year through the Quality Enhancement Provider Review (QEPR) process (39 service providers and one support coordinator agency). Providers to receive the QEPR are randomly selected each year and 480 individuals for the Person Centered Reviews (who receive the NCI Adult Consumer Survey) are randomly selected from the caseloads of the 39 service providers. The PCR sample is stratified by region and providers, meaning providers were first

randomly selected proportionately from each region, and then individuals were randomly selected from those providers.

**HAWAII-** A random sample was drawn from the entire service population of adults over age 18, who receive at least one waiver service besides case management.

**ILLINOIS-** A random sample was drawn from the entire service population of adults over age 18, receiving waiver services only, who receive at least one service besides case management.

**KENTUCKY-** Sampled the entire service population of adults over 18 receiving at least one service besides case management.

**LOUISIANA-** Draws random sample of entire service population of adults over 18 receiving at least once service besides case management, and checks to make sure the resulting sample matches the service population in terms of distribution by region, type of funding, and type of services provided.

**MASSACHUSETTS-** A random sample was drawn from the population of adults over age 18, who receive at least one waiver service besides case management. The sample was then stratified by Region.

**MAINE-** The service population is divided into thirds, and every year 1/3 are selected to be surveyed. The service population is adults over age 18 receiving state or community case management and a residential service.

**MEORC-** A random sample was drawn from the entire service population of adults over age 18, who receive at least one waiver service besides case management.

**MICHIGAN-**A random sample was drawn from the entire service population of adults over age 18, who receive at least one service besides case management.

**MISSOURI-** A sample was drawn to assure that all regions of the state were represented. There was one small Habilitation Center (institutional setting) that was not included, as all of the residents had been surveyed recently. Within this parameter, the sample was random and from the entire service population of adults over 18 receiving at least one service besides case management.

**NORTH CAROLINA-** Two samples were drawn:

1. The sample consisted of adults 18 and over residing in Local Management Entities (LMEs) who had been diagnosed with intellectual disabilities and who received at least one state or federally-funded service in the past year in addition to case management. Each LME was given a quota of 40 consumers to meet with. The State drew a random sample of 105 names for each LME. Piedmont Behavioral Healthcare drew its own sample. Because of its waiver designation, Piedmont does not submit paid claims to the Division in the same manner as other LMEs (i.e., Piedmont submits paid claims directly to CMS; its paid claims data are not included in the database from which the NCI sample is drawn).
2. The sample consisted of adults 18 and over residing in the State's Developmental Centers. Four Developmental Centers and one Neuro-medical Facility participated in the 2009 survey. The Developmental Centers drew their own samples. Each was asked to select at least 30 participants for the adult consumer survey.

**NEW JERSEY-** A random sample of individuals over the age of 21 who were receiving case management and at least one additional Division service.

**NEW YORK-** A random stratified sample of individuals who are age 18 or older and who receive at least one service other than service coordination. Approximately 200 individuals are interviewed in each of the 13 regions of the state. The sample is stratified by service category to ensure that all types of services and supports (residential, day and individualized services) are represented.

**OHIO-** A random sample of individuals 18 years and over who receive services from the county boards of development disabilities. An individual must be receiving services other than solely service and support administration or family support services.

**PENNSYLVANIA-** A sample was drawn from the entire service population of ODP (Office of Developmental Persons) registered adults over 18 receiving at least case management or one other service. People surveyed in the prior year are excluded. Each of 48 Administrative Entities across the State (which cover a county of group

of smaller counties) arrange with an independent monitoring program to have up to 30 surveys completed from a random sample of 90 individuals issued by the State.

**SOUTH CAROLINA-** A random sample was drawn from the entire service population of adults over age 18, who receive at least one service besides case management. All regions of the state were represented.